

CORNELL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

ENGLISH COLLECTION



THE GIFT OF

JAMES MORGAN HART

PROFESSOR OF ENGLISH

A.294108

Cornell University Library
PE 1137.G11

Rime as a criterion of the pronunciation

3 1924 027 389 398



The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

RIME

AS A CRITERION OF THE PRONUNCIATION

OF

SPENSER, POPE, BYRON, AND SWINBURNE

A CONTRIBUTION TO THE HISTORY OF THE PRESENT ENGLISH STRESSED VOWELS

BY

ARVID GABRIELSON

Preface.

This work deals only with the present English stressed vowels. The present English weak-stressed vowels — the history of which presents many difficulties, especially as regards the pronunciation (including the degree of stress) of such vowels when they are used as bearers of rimes — I have had to leave out of consideration for the present, as well as the consonants, of whose pronunciation the rimes are, however, far less illustrative than in the case of the vowels. As a rule I have also disregarded — as being of no interest for the pronunciation of the stressed vowels concerned — the rimes between present English stressed vowels and present English weak-stressed vowels; only mentioning by the way such rimes of this kind in Spenser and Pope as I have considered to be of interest.

I here take the opportunity of expressing my gratitude to Professor Erdmann, my esteemed teacher, for the suggestion of the subject of this treatise and also for his sympathetic kindness throughout the course of my English studies at this University. — My thanks are also due to Mr. E. Classen, M. A., for the revision of the English of the MS and for assistance in the proof-reading.

Upsala, February 1909.

ARVID GABRIELSON.

Table of Contents.

Preface.
Table of Contents.
Phonetic Notation.
Abbreviations and Signs.
Chief Phonetic Authorities.
Works Consulted.

Introduction.	Page
General remarks. Textual emendations. Original spelling. Influence on riming of tradition and orthography. Dialect influence.	
§§ 1—27	1
Chapter I. Rime-Lists.	
A. Rimes to obsolete words (in Spenser). — §§ 28—49	18
B. Rimes correct in present English.	
Present E. $ai(a): ai(a)$, oi: oi, ij: ij, ia: ia, i: i, e: e, ei: ei, ea: ea,	
æ:æ, aa:aa, ɔɔ:ɔɔ, ou:ou, ɔ:ɔ, uw:uw, uə:uə, u:u,	
au(ə): au(ə), v:v, əə:əə. — 🐧 50—85	25
C. Rimes incorrect in present English.	
I. Rimes containing two different rime-vowels (in present E. pronunciation).	
Present E. ai(a) and oi: other vowels. — §§ 86—92	49
Present E. ia: ij(a). — § 93 \dots	53
Present E. i:ij, iə. — 🐧 94, 95	54
Present E. e:i, ij, iə. — §§ 96—104	56
Present E. $ea: ei(a)$; $ei, ea: e, i, ij, ia. — §§ 105—111.$	63
Present E. æ: ei, eə, e, ij. — 🐧 112—115	68
Present E. aa: æ, eə, ei, e, iə. — 🐧 116—121	71
Present E. $99:aa$, x , eə, ei, e, iə. $-$ §§ $122-127$	75
Present E. ou: 20. — § 128	78
Present E. 2:0u, 22, aa, æ, ei, e. — §§ 129—135 · · · ·	79
Present E. uw, uə:0, ou, 00, ouə. — §§ 136—138	83
Present E. u:uw, o, ou. — §§ 139—141	86
Present E. au(ə): u, uə, uw, ɔ, ou, ɔɔ, ɔuə. — §§ 142—150	88
Present E. v: au, u, (j)uw, o, ou, oo, aa, æ, e, i. — §§ 151—160	91
Present E. 22: E. 12. Ou. 22. aa. ea. e. ia. — 🐧 161—174.	98

•	D
II. Rimes containing more than two different rime-vowels (in	Page
present E. pronunciation).	
Present E. ai(ə): ij & i, iə & eə. — §§ 175, 176	105
Present E. e: ij & ia. — § 177	106
Present E. ei, ea: e & i, e & ij. — §§ 178, 179	106
Present E. æ: ei & ij, e & ij §§ 180, 181	106
Present E. aa: æ & ei. — § 182	106
Present E. 20: aa & ea, aa & ei. — §§ 183, 184	107
Present E. o: ou & oo, oo & aa, oo & æ, oo & ei, aa & æ,	
æ & ei. — §§ 185—191	107
Present E. uw: 5 & ou, ou & 55. — §§ 192, 193	108
Present E. u:uw & 5, 5 & ou. — §§ 194, 195	109
Present E. au(ə): u & ou, uə & ɔɔ(ə), uw & ou, ɔ & ou. —	
§§ 196—199	109
Present E. v: au & u, au & o, au & ou, u & (j)uw, u & o,	
uw & o (& ou), uw & oo, o & ou, o & oo, o & aa, o & æ,	
oo & æ, æ & e. — §§ 200—211	
Present E. əə: o & oo, oo & aa, oo & eə, eə & iə. — § 212—215	112
Chapter II. Relations of the Rimes to the Pronunciation of the Rime-	
Vowels.	
Present E. ai. — §§ 216—219	I 1.4
Present E. oi. — §§ 220—223	
Present E. ij. — §§ 224—227	
Present E. ia. Development of ME \bar{e} & \bar{e} before r	110
(final). b. Rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ er (= present E. ia). $-$ §§ 228–241	
Present E. i and e. a . $\mu \in \check{e} < ME$, \bar{e} . b . Quality of $\mu \in \Upsilon$ and	
με ε. c. Special cases (any, many, said, says, saith; wind s.,	
been, again). — §§ 242—251	130
Present E. ei, eo. a. µE a(r) & µE ai(r). b. break, steak,	
great; bear etc. — §§ 252—263	140
Present E. æ. a. Quality of µe ă. b. Special cases (am,	
had, has, hath, hast). — §§ 264—268	146
Present E. aa and ∞ . a. Quality of $\mu \epsilon$ au. b. ME $\breve{a}l^{(c)} >$	
present E. aa., c. ME -ought, -aught. d. us war(c). e. ME	
au $<$ OF \bar{a} . f : $\mu \in \check{a}r(c)$, $\check{a}th$, etc. g . Present E. $50 < ME \bar{u}$ &	
$\breve{o} \mid r^{(c)}$ (= Walker o ¹ & o ² $r^{(c)}$). h. $\mu \varepsilon \breve{o}$ th etc. i. broad,	
abroad, groat. — §§ 269—302	149
Present E. ou. — §§ 303—307	171
Present E. ο. a. με wä; and was. b. με ö. — 🐧 308—317	174
Present E. uw, uə. — 🐧 318—323	181
Present E. u. a. could, should, would. b. ME o k. c. ME	
$\bar{0} \mid d \& t. \ d. \ ME \ u \S \S 324 - 327$	185
Present E. au(ə). — §§ 328—331	188
Present E. v. a. Special groups (present E. $v < ME \bar{\varrho}$;	
ō; ŭ-; ŏ & ŭ ng). b. Quality of με ŭ. — §§ 332—343	191
Present E. 22. a. ME er(c) of Rom. origin. b. us ir, us	
ĕr, με ŭr. — §§ 344—358	199

Phonetic Notation.

For the present E. vowels I have availed myself of the symbols used in Sweet's »Elementarbuch des gesprochenen English», except that the vowels »Mid back narrow», »Low mixed narrow», »Low back narrow round», and »Low back wide round» are given as v, əə, oo, o, respectively, instead of Sweet's a, ə, o, o. — For the OE and ME vowels I have used the ordinary symbols: i, e, a, o, u, ü (< French), y (< OE), oi, ui, eu, au, ou. ē, ĕ, etc. denote long and short, e, e, etc., open and close vowels respectively; a, o, etc. represent the (O)F nasalized vowels. — In the case of the early Mod. E. vowels in special words or groups of words it has often been of importance to indicate which ME vowels they represent, not historically (i. e. etymologically), but acoustically. This has been done by distinguishing between the two symbols ME and µs. Thus, for instance, ME ă denotes the vowel actually used in Middle English, independently of later development; whilst us a denotes the sound representing, in the language of any given epoch or of any given individual, the regular development of the ME vowel in question. break, great, for instance, have ME ξ, and up to the end of the 17th c. also με ξ (i. e. the same vowel as the bulk of the words with ME &; but in present E. they have $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$ (i. e. the same vowel as the bulk of the words with ME a). I have adopted this notation from Bauermeister [p. 17: »Zur Verhütung von Missverständnissen, namentlich auch bei irregulärem Lautwandel vom Mittelenglischen zu Spenser, wird statt »ME» mehrfach »ue» verwandt. room hat im ME (û), doch bei Spenser ue (ô) »]. — When there was a question of directly transcribing (as a rule only approximately) the quality of early Mod. E. vowels, the symbols used haven been given in brackets.

For the sake of convenience I have sometimes used the notation μs ew, which denotes the vowel in all words with present E. (j)uw, (j)uə < ME eu, eu, \ddot{u} . — μs ăl(c) represents the development of ME \ddot{a} + l(c) in words as all, bald, walk, etc. (= present E. 20).

i-, o-, etc. denote vowels in open syllables. — $ir^{(c)}$, $or^{(c)}$, etc. denote vowels followed by r final or by r + another consonant; ir^c , or^c , etc. only the latter alternative.

Abbrevations and Signs.

For abbreviations of works consulted, see »Works Consulted» below. — Of other abbreviations used the following may be mentioned:

AF = Anglo French.

Bch = Buchanan.

Bll = Bullokar.

c. = century, centuries.

cons., cs = consonant (cs, cs = short and long, respectively).

E. = English.

EO = The Expert Orthographist (1704).

F. = French.

Lat. = Latin.

ME = Middle English (for μs, see »Phonetic Notation»).

Mod. E. = Modern English (i. e. 16th c. down, including early Mod. E. and present E.).

n. pr. = proper name.

OE = Old English.

OF = Old French.

Sc. = Scotch (Sc. E. = Scotch English).

St. E. = Standard English.

Teut. = Teutonic.

vl = vowel ($\breve{v}l$, $\breve{v}l = short$ and long, respectively. A rime $\breve{v}l: \breve{v}l$ means a rime between a short and a long vowel of the same quality).

W. = Walker.

| (vertical stroke) means »placed before», »followed by» (e. g. a | r). — χ denotes a difference, a contrast; = »as opposed to», *as against ». — The sign ~ between two vowel-symbols denotes that both sounds are to be found. — The signs > and < will be understood without explanation.

Chief Phonetic Authorities.

Palsgrave 1530. Salesbury 1547 (1567). Cheke 1555. Smith 1568. Hart 1569, 1570. Levins 1570. Bullokar 1580. [Bll] Cotgrave 1611. Gill 1621 (1619). Mason 1622 (1633). Butler 1633. Wallis 1653. Price 1668. Cooper 1685. Miège 1688. Jones 1701. The Expert Orthographist 1704. [EO] Ludwig 1705 (1717, 1726). Lediard 1725. Buchanan 1766. [Bch] Kenrick 1773. Sheridan 1780. Walker 1791. [W.]

Works Consulted.

[Quoted in the present work as given below, in brackets.] This list is chiefly intended to be an index to the abbreviations of the works I have quoted; and consequently it does not include papers published in periodicals, when such papers are quoted by the names of the authors as well as by the names of the periodicals.

```
Spenser. The Globe Edition. The Works of Edmund Spenser. Edited . . . by R. Morris . . London 1904. [FQ = The Faerie Queene.]
```

Pope. The Globe Edition. The Poetical Works of Alexander Pope. Edited... by Adolphus William Ward. . London 1904.

Byron. Oxford Edition. The Poetical Works of Lord Byron. London 1896. [CH = Childe Harold's Pilgrimage; Bp = Beppo; DJ = Don Juan.]

SWINBURNE (Chatto & Windus, London).

```
(Publ. 1865). A new ed.
                                                 1899 [AC].
Atalanta in Calydon
                               1866). A new ed.
                                                 Igoo [PBI].
Poems and Ballads
Songs before Sunrise
                               1871). A new ed.
                                                 1899 [SBS].
                                                 1893 [SN].
Songs of two Nations
                               1875). Second ed.
                                                 1894 [E].
                               1876). A new ed.
Erectheus
                                                 Igoi [PB II].
                               1878). A new ed.
Poems and Ballads II
                                                  1891 [SSp].
Songs of the Springtides (
                               1880). Third ed.
                               1880). Second ed. 1896 [SS].
Studies in Song
                                                 1899 [TL].
                               1882). Sixth ed.
Tristram of Lyonesse
                               1883). Third ed.
                                                 1892 [CR].
A Century of Roundels
                        (
                               1884). Third ed.
                                                 1889 [MH].
A Midsummer Holiday
                           >>
                               1887). Second ed. 1896 [L].
Locrine
                               1889). Sixth ed.
                                                 1899 [PBIII].
Poems and Ballads III
```

Astrophel (» 1894). Second ed. 1894 [A].
The Tale of Balen.
A Channel Passage. 1904 [Ch].

- Anglia. Zeitschrift für englische Philologie. [Anglia]
- Annandale, C. The Concise English Dictionary. London 1900. [Annandale]
- BARTLING, G. Rhymes of English Poets of the XIXth Century.

 Dissertatio . . . in Universitate-Rostochiensi. Barmenæ
 1874. [Bartling]
- BAUERMEISTER, K. Zur Sprache Spenser's auf Grund der Reime in der Faerie Queene. Freiburg i. B. 1896. [B. or Bauermeister]
- Behrens, D. Beiträge zur Geschichte der französischen Sprache in England. Heilbronn 1886 (Französische Studien V:2).
 [Behrens]
- Bell, A. Melville. Visible Speech. London 1867. [Bell, Visible Speech]
- ——. Sounds and their Relations. London 1882. [Bell Sounds]
- ---. Elocutionary Manual. Third edition. London 1859. [Bell El. Man.]
- —, »Elocutionary Manual». The Principles of Elocution. Seventh edition. Washington 1899. [Bell Pr. of El.]
- Bernigau, K. Orthographie und Aussprache in Richard Stanyhursts englischer Übersetzung der Äneide (1582). Marburg 1904 (Marburger Studien zur engl. Philologie. Heft 8). [Bernigau]
- BJÖRKMAN, E. Scandinavian Loan-Words in Middle English. Parts I—II. Halle a. S. 1900 & 1902 (in Morsbach's Studien zur englischen Philologie). [Björkman]
- TEN BRINK, B. Chaucers Sprache und Verskunst. Zweite Aufl. Ed. Kluge. Leipzig 1899. [ten Br.]
- BROTANEK, R. George Mason's Grammaire Angloise. Halle a. S. 1905 (Neudrucke etc. Heft I). [Brotanek]
- BRUGGER, E. Zur lautlichen Entwickelung der englischen Schriftsprache im Anfang des XVI. Jahrhunderts. Erster Teil. Quantitätsverhältnisse. Halle a. S. 1893 (Also in Anglia 15). [Brugger]
- THE CENTURY DICTIONARY. Edited by W. D. Whitney. New York 1889—1891. [CD]
- COOPER, C. Grammatica Linguæ Anglicanæ. London 1685-[Cooper]

- CROMIE, H. Ryme-Index to the Ellesmere Manuscript of Chaucer's Canterbury Tales. London 1875 (Reprinted 1891). [Cromie]
- VAN DAM, B. A. P. & STOFFEL, C. Chapters on English Printing, Prosody, and Pronunciation (1550—1700). Heidelberg 1902 (Hoops, Anglistische Forschungen, Heft 9). [Dam & Stoffel, Chapters]
- —. William Shakespeare: Prosody and Text. Leyden 1900. [Dam & Stoffel, Shakespeare]
- DIBELIUS, W. John Capgrave und die englische schriftsprache (Anglia 23). [Dib. or Dibelius]
- DIEHL, L. Englische Schreibung und Aussprache im Zeitalter Shakespeares nach Briefen und Tagebüchern. Halle a. S. 1906 (Also in Anglia 29). [Diehl]
- Dierberger, J. John Dryden's Reime. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der englischen Tonvokale. Freiburg i. Br. 1895. [Dierb. or Dierberger]
- THE ENGLISH DIALECT DICTIONARY (by J. Wright). London 1898—1905. [EDD]
- THE ENGLISH DIALECT GRAMMAR (by J. Wright). Oxford 1905. [EDGr.]
- ELLIS, A. J. On Early English Pronunciation. London 1869—1889. [Ellis]
- EKWALL, E. Dr. John Jones's Practical Phonography (1701).

 Halle a. S. 1907 (Brotanek's Neudrucke etc. Band 2).

 [Ekw. or Ekwall]
- Englische Studien. Organ für englische philologie. [E. St.]
- Franz, W. Orthographie, Lautgebung und Wortbildung in den Werken Shakespeare's. Heidelberg 1905. [Franz]
- Godefroy, F. Dictionnaire de l'ancienne langue française. Paris 1881—1902. [Godefroy]
- Grundriss der germanischen Philologie. Edited by H. Paul. Strassburg 1889—1893. [Grundr.]
- HAUCK, E. Systematische Lautlehre Bullokars (Vokalismus).

 Marburg 1906 (Marburger Studien zur englischen Philologie.

 Heft 12). [Hauck]
- Hirst, T. O. A Grammar of the Dialect of Kendal (Westmoreland). Heidelberg 1906. [Hirst]
- HOELPER, F. Die Englische Schriftsprache in Tottel's »Miscellany» (1557) und in Tottel's Ausgabe von Brooke's »Romeus and Juliet» (1562). Strassburg 1894. [Hoelper]

- HORN, W. Untersuchungen zur neuenglischen Lautgeschichte. Strassburg 1905 (Quellen und Forschungen 98). [Horn U.]
- —. Historische neuenglische Grammatik. I. Strassburg 1908. [Horn Gr.]
- Jamieson, J. An Etymological Dictionary of the Scottish Language. The second edition, by John Johnstone. Edinburgh 1840. [Jamieson]
- JESPERSEN, O. John Hart's Pronunciation of English (1569 and 1570). (Hoops, Anglistische Forschungen, Heft 22.) [Jespersen]
- JIRICZEK, O. L. Alexander Gill's Logonomia Anglica (1621). Strassburg 1903. (Quellen und Forschungen 90.) [Jiriczek]
- KENRICK, W. A New Dictionary of the English Language. London 1773. [Kenrick]
- Kluge, F. & Lutz, F. English Etymology. Strassburg 1898. [Kluge-Lutz]
- KOEPPEL, E. Spelling-Pronunciations: . . . (Quellen und Forschungen 89). [Koeppel]
- KRUISINGA, E. A Grammar of the Dialect of West Somerset. Bonn 1905. [Kruisinga]
- Liese, R. Die Flexion des Verbums bei Spenser. Halle a. S. 1891. [Liese]
- LLOYD, R. J. Northern English. Leipzig 1899. [Lloyd]
- Löwisch, M. Zur englischen Aussprache von 1650—1750nach frühenglischen Grammatiken. Kassel 1889. [Löwisch]
- Luick, K. Untersuchungen zur englischen Lautgeschichte. Strassburg 1896. [Luick U.]
- Studien zur englischen Lautgeschichte. Wien u. Leipzig 1903 (Wiener Beiträge zur englischen Philologie XVII). [Luick St.]
- Lummert, A. Die Orthographie der ersten Folioausgabe der Shakspere'schen Dramen. Halle 1883. [Lummert]
- MEAD, W. E. The Versification of Pope. Leipzig 1889. [Mead] MORSBACH, L. Mittelenglische Grammatik. I. Halle a. S. [Morsb. or Morsbach]
- MOREL, L. De Johannis Wallisii Grammatica Linguæ Anglicanæ et Tractatu de Loquela. Parisiis 1895. [Morel]
- MURRAY, J. A. H. The Dialect of the Southern Counties of Scotland. London 1873 (Transactions of the Philological Society 1870—1872). [Murray]
- A New English Dictionary. Edited by James A. H. Murray. Oxford 1888 ff. [NED]

- NEUMANN, G. Die Orthographie der Paston Letters von 1422—1461. Marburg 1904 (Marburger Studien zur englischen Philologie. Heft 7). [Neumann]
- NICHOL, J. Byron. New edition. London 1888 (English Men of Letters, edited by John Morley). [Nichol]
- PHONETISCHE STUDIEN. Edited by Vietor. Marburg i. H. 1888—1893. [Phonetische Studien]
- Röмsтерт, H. Die englische Schriftsprache bei Caxton. Göttingen 1891. [Römstedt]
- Schoeneberg, G. Die Sprache John Skeltons in seinen kleineren Werken. Marburg 1888. [Schoeneberg]
- Skeat, W. W. An Etymological Dictionary of the English Language. Oxford 1882. [Skeat Et. D.]
- ----. A Concise Etymological Dictionary of the English Language. Oxford 1901. [Skeat, Concise D.]
- Sopp, W. Orthographie und Aussprache der ersten neuenglischen Bibelübersetzung von William Tyndale. Marburg 1889. [Sopp]
- STANDARD DICTIONARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. Edited by I. K. Funk. London 1904. [St. D.]
- Stoffel, C. Studies in English written and spoken. First series. London etc. 1894. [Stoffel, Studies]
- STORM, J. Englische Philologie. I. Leipzig 1892 & 1896. [Storm]
 STRATMANN & BRADLEY. A Middle-English Dictionary. Oxford
 1891. [Stratmann-Bradley]
- SWEET, H. A History of English Sounds. Oxford 1888. [Sweet HES]
- ——. A Primer of Phonetics. Third edition. Oxford 1906. [Sweet Pr. of Ph.]
- —. Elementarbuch des gesprochenen Englisch. Dritte Aufl. Oxford u. Leipzig 1904.
- ——. A New English Grammar. Oxford 1892 & 1903. [Sweet NEGr.]
- Unna, J. Die Sprache John Heywood's in seinem Gedichte The Spider and the Flie. Berlin 1903. [Unna]
- VIETOR, W. Elemente der Phonetik des Deutschen, Englischen und Französischen. Fünfte Aufl. Leipzig 1904. [Viëtor El.]
- —. A Shakespeare Phonology. Marburg & London 1906. [Viëtor Sh.]
- WALKER, J. A Critical Pronouncing Dictionary. London 1791.
 [W. or Walker]

- Walker, J. A. A Critical Pronouncing Dictionary. With a Supplement by E. Smith. London 1872. [W. 1872]
- —. The Rhyming Dictionary. Revised, enlarged, and prefaced by J. Longmuir. Third edition. London n. d. [W. Rhyming D.]
- Weisker, E. Über Zweck... des ersten frühneuenglischen Reimlexikons (Manipulus Vocabulorum 1570). Halle a. S. 1902. [Weisker]
- Wenström, E. & Lindgren, E. Engelsk-svensk ordbok. Stock-holm 1905. [Wenström-Lindgren]
- WRATISLAW, TH. Algernon Charles Swinburne. London 1900. [Wratislaw]

Introduction.

General remarks.

The present work is based upon an examination of all Spen-r SER'S rimes (i. e. all the rimes in the poems contained in the Globe Edition, with the exception of »The Doleful Lay of Clorinda », »The Mourning Muse of Thestylis », »A Pastoral Aeglogue », »An Elegie» etc., and two epitaphs on the Right Hon. Sir Philip Sidney — Globe Ed. pp. 562-572 — which are considered not to proceed from Spenser's hand); all Pope's rimes (Globe Ed.) except those in Pope's imitation of Chaucer, p. 177, which is evidently meant to imitate Chaucer's language as well as his style (the rimes in Pope's imitations of other English poets do not differ from the rimes in his other works); all Byron's rimes (Oxford Ed.); and the rimes in the works of SWINBURNE given above in »Works Consulted» (i. e. practically all Swinburne's rimed verse, with the exception of The Heptalogia (1880) — which has been intentionally omitted as it is meant to parody the language and versification of other poets — and occasional poems written in dialect language (the most extensive example occurs PB III: 134-170). Consequently I have given also the rimes (of interest) occurring in a series of translations of Villon (PB II), in which Swinburne, probably in order to represent the archaic language of the original, uses a great number of archaic and anomalous rimes; these cases have, however, always been indicated.

Spenser's rimes in FQ have been dealt with by Bauermeister, 2 to whose intelligent and conscientious work I have to acknowledge my great obligation. In numerous cases — of course always expressly pointed out — I have even ventured to avail myself of his results, without checking them, as to Spenser's rimeusage. — Mead's treatise on Pope's rimes deals only with those rimes which do not conform to present E. pronunciation and of

A. Gabrielson.

their correctness or incorrectness in Pope's time; I have used this work mainly to check my own excerpts from Pope's rimes. It does not seem necessary here to give the omissions — amounting to about 50 cases — or the mistakes I have found in Mead's rime-lists, especially as they are of little importance to the conclusions he draws from his material. — As to Byron and Swinburne, there does not, as far as I know, exist any comprehensive account of their rimes; Bartling gives only some groups of interesting rimes taken from Byron and other 19th c. poets, and Weiser, Anglia 1,273 f., deals with the rimes of Byron's juvenile poems only, and exclusively from the point of view of Pope's influence.

The value of rime as a criterion of pronunciation in the Mod. E. period was, as is well-known, totally denied by Ellis, who maintains, in the much quoted passage p. 865, that »beginning at least with the XVIth century we cannot trust rhymes to give us information on pronunciation». The opposite position is defended e. g. by Brugger (16th c. rimes), and especially by Dam & Stoffel, at least to judge from the assertion made in Chapters p. 37: ... * that there is not a single reason for assuming that English poets posterior to Chaucer did not use rhymes as pure as those employed by him, yet, since pure rimes here implies also traditional rimes, which are considered to belong to the »poetical dialect» (cf. § 12), this assertion does not apply to the individual pronunciation of the poets. — An intermediate position is held e. g. by Bauermeister (p. 7 ff.), and by Luick, cf. E. St. 26. 271 f.: »Selten sind wir im stande, durch interne betrachtung der reimverhältnisse das richtige zu treffen . . . Die neuenglischen reime können also erst dann richtig gedeutet werden, wenn wir schon auf anderem weg die hauptpunkte der lautentwicklung festgelegt haben, sie sind nur eine secundäre erkenntnissquelle . . . Als secundare quelle können aber gewiss die reime vielfach mit nutzen verwendet werden. Mit recht hebt B.1 in der einleitung... hervor dass der hauptmangel der grammatiker nicht so sehr ungenauigkeit, als vielmehr unvollständigkeit ist: sie lehren in der regel nur eine aussprache... Reime können daher vielfach als controlle wie als ergänzung unserer grammatiker sehr nützlich sein. Aber sie müssen stets systematisch und mit vorsichtiger kritik behandelt werden, ferner immer in verbindung mit den anderen kriterien, die wir zur bestimmung der lautgebung be-

^{1 =} Bauermeister.

sitzen, namentlich mit der schreibung, soweit sie nicht schon erstarrt ist.»

As to the purpose of the present work and the method of 4&5 investigation employed - the latter is based upon an estimate of the rimes as a criterion of pronunciation agreeing in the main with that of Luick (cf. § 3) - the following remarks may be of use to the reader. - I have examined, and brought together here (Chapter I) what is of interest in, the rimes of a number of poets of different epochs of the Mod. E. period, because only in this way can a tolerably certain estimate be made of the influence of tradition in each special group of rimes. My purpose has been to determine the relations between the rimes to each one of the present E. stressed vowels or its earlier representative(s) and the rimers' individual pronunciation of the respective vowels. — Rimes to special words with unsettled pronunciation (as evidenced by orthoepists or in other ways) have as a rule, for the sake of convenience, been dealt with in the rimelists (Chapter I). Such rimes have been regarded as — probably or possibly, according to the special circumstances of each case based upon the variants best suiting the rimes; though in many cases it has been expressly pointed out that the rimes can very well be explained otherwise. - The comprehensive discussion (Chapter II) of the rimes to each special vowel has been made on the results as to the history of the stressed vowels obtained in other ways. Yet it has always been pointed out when, in any case, the rimes by themselves are conclusive evidence of the pronunciation of a vowel.

The rime-lists (Chapter I) which I have deemed it necessary to give as a reference, contain I) all rimes — within the limits 6 given above (Preface, and § I) — which are incorrect according to present E. pronunciation (rimes as Byron's epopée: ennui 685a, "l'Eprouveuse": Muse 764a, "verflucter": conductor 772a, praise: "bouts rimés" 832b, have as a rule been disregarded); and 2) rimes which are correct in present E. but contain different ME vowels which have coalesced only in the course of the Mod. E. period. Rimes correct in ME as well as in present E. have been disregarded as irrelevant. As to the rimes 2), I have generally disregarded single cases like e. g. key, quay: present E. ij, when they occur only in Byron or Swinburne, but not in Spenser or Pope where they would have been of interest. Further, of Byron's and Swinburne's rimes 2) I have as a rule collected only those occurring in CH and SBS respectively, and

in many cases, after ascertaining that these rimes are just what might be expected, I have satisfied myself with mentioning that they offer nothing of interest (cf. e. g. §§ 59, 60, 78, 79). This inconsistency in the treatment of the two groups I) & 2) is due altogether to practical reasons: the rime-words used and the number of the rimes can be of interest, as a measure of the traditional power of each special group, in the case of group I), even in cases where there is no doubt whatever as to the correctness of the rimes; while on the other hand the rimes of group 2) are generally of no interest from this point of view.

- It has been found convenient to arrange the rimes cited according to the present E. pronuciation; hence the divisions containing the »Rimes incorrect in present E.» (Chapter I: C I & II) include also such rimes as are incorrect both in present E. and according to ME pronunciation. For the detailed arrangement of the rimes cf. the Table of Contents. It should be noticed that the rimes in Chapter I: C I & II are given in a definite order: 1) all rimes present E. ai(a): other present E. vowels, and present E. oi: other present E. vowels; 2) rimes present E. ij:iə; 3) rimes present E. i:ij & iə; 4) rimes present E. e:i, : ij & ia; 5) rimes present E. (ei : ea and) ei & ea : e, : i, : ij & ia; 6) rimes present E. æ:ei & eə, :e, (:i no cases,):ij (& iə no cases); etc. etc. Thus e. g. rimes present E. æ: other present E. vowels are to be looked for in § 112 ff., § 180 ff.; rimes present E. 22: other present E. vowels only in § 161 ff., § 212 ff. — This arrangement, adopted in order to avoid the necessity of giving each rime more than once in the rime-lists, evidently involves some difficulty in getting a comprehensive view of the rimes to each vowel; but this difficulty is lessened by the short summary given at the head of the discussion of the rimes to each vowel; cf. § 216 etc. These summaries and the references to irrelevant or uncertain cases given at the head of them, are meant to make up for the absence of a rime-index.
- The rimes have been cited by the pages of the editions used (cf. § 1). In the case of Spenser and Byron also the column has been given (a & b); in the case of Swinburne the abbreviated title of each volume has been prefixed to the number of the page.

Textual emendations.

9 In the case of Pope, Byron, and Swinburne, the texts given by the editions used may confidently be relied upon; but

this is not so as regards SPENSER. In numerous cases the old editions differ from each other, and in such cases I have as a rule tacitly accepted the readings adopted by the Editor of the Globe Edition. Emendations made by the Editor against all the old editions have been pointed out, in cases where the correctness of rime-vowels is concerned. Finally there are some cases where the Editor has kept the common readings of the old editions, though the words made to rime together differ very considerably from each other and must have done so also in Spenser's time. In some of these cases at least the original reading has no doubt been corrupted by the printer (cf. for the *high-handed ways *) of the 16th c. printers, Dam & Stoffel, Chapters p. 1 ff.). Such cases are to be found e. g. p. 203 b (Stanza 32): Had. . . assoyld, for Did... assoyl (= Church, cf. Globe Ed. p. 691 a); p. 225 b (Stanza 17) ... shee did tosse, for ... shee tost (= Church, cf. Globe Ed. p. 692 a); p. 314 b (Stanza 37) . . neare, for new (= Church, cf. Globe Ed. p. 604 b; later Dam & Stoffel, Shakespeare p. 304 f.); p. 354 a (Stanza 61) ... meed, for ... hyre (= Church, cf. Globe Ed. p. 605 a; Dam & Stoffel l. c.).

Cases of the same kind are probably also the following: p. 94 b 10 (Stanza 28) ... play, for sport v.; 266 a (Stanza 32) ... oft ... and oft, for eft . . . and eft (= again and again, cf. NED. OE eft) (rimed: bereft, left, theft); p. 532 a (Muipotmos 1. 38) . . . coast, for wast(e) s. (rimed: fast: wast v.); 539 b (Visions of Bellay VIII) ... shadowes, for fellowes (rimed: billowes); p. 586 a (Epigrams 1. 2)... baby, for sonny (rimed: honny); p. 589 a (Epithalamion l. 192)... womanhood, for womanhead (rimed: red pret.: hed s.); possibly also p. 515 a (Mother Hubberds Tale 1. 242). That like a handsome swaine it him became, for That it became him like a handsome swaine (rimed: straine); p. 529 a (The Ruines of Rome, Stanza 17) ... consum'd to pouder, for consum'd by thunder (rimed: asunder), or, perhaps, for . . . consum'd to cinder, as Spenser may may have had in view an M-form of asunder, cf. the ME forms sindry, in sinder (Stratmann-Bradley), and the present dial. E. sinder v., sinders adv. (EDD) [OE (a)syndrian ~ (ge)sundrian]; p. 296 a (Stanza 7) . . . theirs, for th'air(e)s, as well as 603 a (An Hymne of Heavenly Beautie l. 70), or perhaps, in both cases, for th'eirs (= the airs), as ai and ei were very often mixed in the 16th c. orthography. On the strength of these cases the printer might possibly be held responsible for many other, lesser anomalies in Spenser's rimes; the difficulty is however to know

where to draw the line. At any rate, this mode of explanation should be used very cautiously. I have pointed out, later, a few cases where it might be applied; cf. §§ 120, 155, 169.

Original spelling.

The spelling of the editions used has been kept only in quotations of single rimes; when several rime-words of the same kind are combined into groups, the present E. spelling has been adopted. Where the original spelling may be of importance for the determination of the pronunciation of the rime-words, it has been specially pointed out. This has been done very often in Spenser's rimes, in Pope's only in one or two cases, where I have had recourse to Warburton's edition (1752), as the Globe Edition gives on the whole the present E. spelling.

Influence (on riming) of tradition and orthography.

The influence of tradition on riming in the Mod. E. period has been pointed out by Dam & Stoffel among others, Chapters p. 37:... »rhymes, not of English poets only, often represent and require an obsolete or obsolescent pronunciation of the words in question. One poet imitates another, and in this way a sort of poetical dialect springs up, of which conservatism is one of the most strongly marked characteristics. This conservatism acts in various directions, in the retention of words and constructions that have altogether disappeared from the spoken language, as well as in pronunciation, for instance.» — Here, however, no attempt is made at determining the limits of this »poetical dialect» as regards pronunciation. But Luick, E. St. 26, 271 ff. in his review of Bauermeister's and Dierberger's treatises, adduces traditional influence to account for some groups of rimes in Spenser and Dryden; and later e. g. Horn Gr. (§ 19, and passim) considers different groups of rimes occurring in the Mod. E. period to be traditional. - It is evident that - disregarding the case of mere imitators — the influence of tradition is apt to grow stronger the more the knowledge of poets of past times is spread among authors and readers; so that, ceteris paribus, such influence should be more conspicuous in the rimes of a later poet than in those of an earlier. Yet there are factors which impair the practical validity of this assumption. Besides the individual dispositions of the poets there is also to be considered the general feeling as to phonetic correctness in riming, which feeling has changed considerably in the course of the Mod. E. period.

A very cursory investigation of Spenser's language will be 13 enough to show that he uses a great many forms no longer current in his time. Many of these can be traced back to ME authors, mainly Chaucer, with whom Spenser was compared by his contemporaries and whom he certainly took for a model (cf. Mother Hubberds Tale); Fuller, Worthies of England 1662 (cf. Globe Ed. p. XIII), expressly speaks of his many Chaucerisms. But if it is unquestionable that Spenser was greatly influenced by earlier poetry, it should be noticed with regard to his riming that as a rule he seems to borrow the obsolete form with a corresponding obsolete pronunciation, so that he feels his rimes of this kind to be phonetically correct (cf. e. g. hond, lond, etc. and the contemporary evidence of Gill: »lond pro land in Spenser»). This is certainly a kind of traditional influence, but of a far more casual and less definable type than that with which we are here concerned, viz. the traditional use of (groups of) rimes which were not felt by author or reader to be phonetically correct. Now, in Spenser there occur numerous rimes which may be traditional also in this sense of the term. Yet, the fact that so many of these as well as of other apparently anomalous rimes can be accounted for by the help of actually evidenced ME and early Mod. E. phonetic variants, certainly gives the impression that in these cases at least, and possibly in others as well, Spenser knew or had in mind a pronunciation suiting the rimes. — At any rate it may be asserted that there are few absolutely certain traces of traditional influence (of the kind here meant) in Spenser's rimes. For the rimes $\mu s \ \bar{e} : \mu s \ \bar{e}$, $\mu s \ \bar{e} : \mu s \ \bar{e}$, $\mu s \ \bar{e} : \mu s \ \bar{e}$, $\mu s \ \bar{e} : \mu s \ \bar{e}$ traditional according to Luick E. St. 26 l. c., cf. §§ 224, 320, 318 respectively.

In Pope's rimes the influence of tradition is distinctly dis-14 cernible. With the exception of occasional cases, practically all those of his rimes which must be considered phonetically incorrect, are authorized by the usage of older poets, especially those of the 17th c. (Dryden, Waller, etc.). — Obsolete forms, borrowed together with the corresponding obsolete pronunciations, occur occasionally in Pope's rimes as well as in Byron's and Swinburne's, but they are generally marked by the spelling in a way that leaves no doubt as to the pronunciations intended (cf. e. g. understond: Ireland in Pope's imitation of Chaucer, p. 177).

Byron's rimes show the influence of tradition at its height. This fact is partly accounted for by Byron's well-known admiration for the 17th c. poets, especially Pope; but the main cause is to be sought in the general opinion on riming which seems to have prevailed in the second half of the 18th c. and probably still in Byron's time. — As an illustration of this opinion I quote the following passage from Walker's Rhyming Dict. p. 6691 (»Preface to the index of perfect and allowable rhymes»): »Obs. 1. Whatever has been constantly practised by our most harmonious poets, may be safely presumed to be agreeable to the genius of our poetry: it is highly probable that our prosody has passed its meridian, and that no reformation can possibly be made without injuring it. The delicate ears of a Pope or an Addison, would scarcely have acquiesced in the usage of imperfect rhymes, and sanctioned them so often by their practice, if such rhymes had been really a blemish . . . * Here traditional rimes are expressly legalized, in spite of their admitted phonetical incorrectness. And these views on riming were certainly not the invention of Walker, but the common opinion in his time. Kenrick's disapproval of the poets (p. 39; cf. Ellis p. 1052) because of their rimes us oi: us ī is caused, not because these rimes were (at least as a rule) phonetically incorrect, but because they promoted the »vicious custom» of pronouncing oi, $oy = \mu \epsilon \bar{i}$. — Walker's opinion on riming as given in his *Obs. 1. * above, seems to spring from ignorance of the fact that many of these poets' »faulty» rimes were correct according to pronunciations prevalent or at least existing in their time. From that same point of view he is quite logical in continuing in his »Obs. 3.» (p. 670): »It will be necessary, therefore, to observe, that, although authorities are not brought for the usage of every individual allowable rhyme, the species of these rhymes, if I may call them so, are amply and repeatedly illustrated. For the same ear which allows glass to rhyme with place, or place with peace and distress, will permit decay'd to rime with fled, and shade with mead; ... ». This statement implies — to take a more striking instance then those given in this quotation — that e. g. a rime deep: gape would be allowable, on the authority of older poets; and that this is actually Walker's opinion is shown, in the very case quoted, by his rule under the heading EEP (p. 688): »Creep, deep, sheep . . . Allowable rhymes, ape, rape, etc. . . ». Now, such rimes — which may be called quasi-traditional — are obviously

¹ The first edition appeared 1775.

monstrosities from an historical point of view; they are not found in Dryden or Pope, and certainly not before them either. Rimes of this kind are of course utterly useless as a direct criterion of pronunciation, but on the other hand they are often most useful as an indirect one. That is to say, an 18th or 19th c. rime deep: gape would tell us nothing about the relations between the two vowels actually rimed together, but we could tell by it that the rimer had lost the old distinction $\mu s \in \chi \mu s \in g$, so that he rimed $\mu s \in g \in g$ and the authority of the actually existing older rimes $g \in g \in g$ and $g \in g \in g$ and they were equivalent in his own pronunciation.

In the course of the 10th c. a reaction set in against the excess-16 ive use of traditional (and quasi-traditional) rimes. Ellis is well known to have been very hard on the modern »bad rhymers» (cf. § 3); and the same views are held by different authors of theoretical works on riming, cf. the following illustrative instances. J. Longmuir writes in his Preface to Walker's Rhyming Dictionary, p. VI: »With regard to the ingenious Appendix, exhibiting Perfect, nearly perfect, and allowable rhymes, - had we been producing a new work instead of a new edition of a work of the former century, all that refers to allowable rhymes would certainly have been cancelled, as no longer tolerable to a poetic ear:...». Tom Hood (the Younger) in his »Guide to English Versification» (London 1877) criticizes Walker's »allowable» rimes (which he considers allowable to poets, but not to versifiers), pointing out that the pronunciation of English had changed since the 17th c., »which Walker evidently did not know». Cf. further Ellis' quotations (p. 866) from Prof. S. S. Haldeman: Rhymes of the poets by Felix Ago (Philadelphia 1868).

To such a growing craving, on the part of critics and theorists 17 generally, for phonetically correct rimes may be due the fact that SWINBURNE, in his long poetic career, has grown more and more careful about the phonetical likeness of the words he rimes: in PB I (1866), the sfaulty rimes are, comparatively, very numerous, whilst Ch (1904), the latest of his rimed works, is practically free from sfaulty rimes. This fact may lie partly in his growing power over his materials and partly in personal taste; but these are certainly not the only reasons.

The influence of orthography on riming can certainly not be 18 totally denied; but in my opinion it has generally been greatly overestimated and made responsible for many rimes that are due to other causes as well. — Above all, it should be kept in mind

that orthography cannot possibly be adduced as an excuse for a non-phonetic rime till it has been comparatively well fixed and has obtained some sort of supremacy over pronunciation. a supremacy can hardly be said to have existed in the 16th c. though occasional tendencies in this direction are actually recorded from that time (cf. Koeppel p. 2) — the influence of the spelling and pronunciation of Latin (and of learned loan-words), cf. § 347, is another matter altogether -- but it is to some extent implied for the 17th c. by Wallis' rules for pronunciation (cf. Luick U. § 17) and clearly appears in the 18th c., e. g. by Dr. Johnson's laying down (Preface to Dictionary; cf. Ellis p. 627) as »the best general rule, to consider those as the most elegant speakers who deviate least from the written words». As Keeppel points out 1. c. (referring to Miss Soames), this supremacy of orthography is at work even in present E., though its power has, of course, been greatly reduced. -- Apart from these theoretical relations of spelling and pronunciation, an unmistakable practical influence of orthography appears, in some cases very early, in different »spelling-pronunciations» of special words, cf. Keppel. These, however, naturally consist, in the main, of »book words», entering the spoken language by way of the written language; and they have little to do with the general relations of orthography and pronunciation.

Bauermeister p. 13 f. writes as follows about the orthography of Spenser's rimes: »Mit ganz besonderer Vorsicht sind die Schreibungen unserer Reime zu behandeln, weil Spenser oder der Drucker offenbar die Neigung besass, die Reimsilben fürs Auge identisch zu machen, z. T. um die lautliche Unreinheit zu verdecken (Ellis), z. T. aber auch, weil sie trotz der in der gewöhnlichen Orthographie verschiedenen Schreibung lautlich identisch sind.» - In fact, it is not certain that there are any cases which must be referred to the first of these categories. Besides, if there are really such cases, and if these cases should be ascribed to Spenser and not to the printers (as to the »high-handed ways» of these latter cf. Dam & Stoffel, Chapters p. 1 ff.), they certainly show that Spenser assigned some importance — though perhaps only from a decorative point of view — to the orthographical likeness of the riming syllables, but at the same time they imply such a disregard of the generally accepted orthography (i. e. in words in which there was a generally accepted orthography) that they can surely not prove Spenser to have considered orthographical likeness by itself a sufficient reason for using phonetically incorrect rimes. — After all, it may be asserted that orthography was a very unimportant factor in Spenser's riming, as well as in that of 16th c. poets generally (cf. e. g. Brugger p. 4). — On the rimes of Pope, Byron, and Swinburne, it has evidently exercised a certain influence, but in no or almost no cases can it be regarded as the sole reason of non-phonetic rimes. In practically all cases of so-called **eye-rimes** or **printer's rimes**, tradition must also be considered to have had some influence; in most cases also the lack (or scarcity) of phonetic rime-words. Signal examples of this kind are to be found in the use in present E. of love as a rime to move etc., grove etc., and of give, live to drive, alive, etc.

We have hitherto dealt with the influence of tradition and 20 orthography as positive factors in riming, i. e. their power of calling forth phonetically incorrect rimes; it remains to ascertain whether they have exercised any negative influence, i. e. totally prevented or materially delayed rimes between phonetically equivalent syllables. — In fact, Luick U. § 127 holds it possible or even probable that tradition has exercised a retarding influence of this kind in ME; and the same view is held by Kruisinga, Anglia, Beiblatt 1907, p. 260, as to the influence of orthography in Shakespeare's rimes. — In no case, however, has such a retarding influence of tradition and orthography been proved, to my knowledge; and it seems to me extremely improbable but for the case of mere imitators — both in ME and in the 16th c. where tradition had much less power and orthography was much less fixed and respected than at a later date (cf. above). In the case of later poets an influence of this kind would be more likely. Among the rimes of Byron there are in fact some cases where tradition and orthography could be considered to have acted in a restrictive way (e. g. rimes to us wăr(c), cf. § 278); but these cases should probably be ascribed to peculiarities in Byron's pronunciation. — The all but total absence in Swinburne of rimes such as laud: lord (I have found only the rime PB I: 173, given § 66) is not quite a case in point, partly because the words may differ in Swinburne's own pronunciation — at least in his own opinion and further because rimes of this kind have come to be used to represent the vulgar pronunciation[39] for[30] in words as all, law, thaw, etc.; cf. Stoffel, Studies p. 184; for other instances cf. Punch, e. g. 29/6 1889 p. 321 (»Lor'»: »hor» [= awe]), 27/7 1889 p. 42 (»Report »: » ort » [=ought]), 15/8 1891 p. 76 (» Broads »: » 'oards » [=hoards]). It is true that such rimes are given as correct by J. E. Carpenter:

»A Handbook of Poetry» etc. (London 1868) — e. g. born:corn: dawn:horn:lawn:warn:worn, etc. — but as this author declares (p. 11) that » Ear and hear, hair and air... having the same sound though of different meanings, are inadmissible as rimes, he is certainly guilty of the »cockneyish » pronunciation imputed to him by Tom Hood in his »Guide » p. 45 (cf. § 16), who further declares (p. 48) that »such atrocities as morn and dawn... fought and sort are fatal to the success of verse », do not »chime to an educated ear », and are as vulgar as the »dropping of the h».

On the other hand it is obvious that a considerable discrepancy of orthography would go a long way to reduce the power of tradition in preserving non-phonetic, traditional rimes.

Dialect influence.

It is well-known that the present (standard) E. though mainly based upon the ME East Midland dialect (later = the dialect of London) contains numerous loans from other dialects. In early Mod. E. this influence of other dialects was considerably more important than the present traces would seem to indicate, as appears from the statements of the orthoepists, who at least pretend to give the standard pronunciation of their time. — Such dialect variants as well as other variants actually recorded by the orthoepists as belonging to the standard language, must of course be noted in judging the rimes of all poets of those times. It is quite another matter if an author, on account of his birth and breeding, may be supposed to have used a really dialectal pronunciation, differing from the standard pronunciation of his time. — Spenser seems to have belonged to a Northern family and to have lived in the North — possibly with his relations for some time; but according to Morris (Globe Ed. p. XVIII) there is little doubt that he was not only London born but also London bred, and so his language ought to have been - in the main at least — in accordance with the language of London. His rimes, as regards the general value of the vowels, do not speak against this conclusion. It is true, he uses a great number of conspicuously Northern forms, especially in »The Shepheards Calender», which are expressly stated to be »Northern» by »E. K.», the contemporary author of the »Glosse» to this poem; but these Northern forms can very well have been intentionally and consciously borrowed by Spenser, as well as his numerous other unusual forms (cf. the numerous oldfashioned words and phrases evidently

taken from Chaucer or other ME authors) and need not spring from Spenser's own (habitual) pronunciation. — Pope was a Londoner by birth and breeding, and his language was certainly that of an educated Londoner of his time. — As to SWINBURNE, born in London (1837), educated at Eton and Oxford, and afterwards residing practically all his life in or in the neighbourhood of London, there is no reason to look for dialectal peculiarities in his language (the poetry he has written in dialect language — see above § I — is not taken into consideration).

With Byron, the question is not so easily decided. The main 22 events of his career are as follows: Born in London 1788, of a Scotch mother, who very soon took him to Aberdeen, where he lived to his tenth year in altogether Scotch surroundings. In 1798 he went with his mother to Nottingham and in 1799 to London to a private tutor; from 1801-1805 he was at Harrow, and from 1805-1808 at Cambridge; from 1809-1811 he travelled abroad, and was from 1811-1816 in England; afterwards he remained abroad until his death in 1824. — It seems a matter of course that in his childhood, while living at Aberdeen, Byron knew — and probably himself used — the dialect of that neighbourhood. In later years, especially through his education at Harrow and Cambridge. he no doubt acquired the standard E. pronunciation of his time, at least in its main characteristics; but it appears from a remark on his pronunciation made by one of his contemporaries (cf. Nichol p. 17) that even then he retained a slight Scotch accent, though he vigorously denied it himself. — After all, it seems hardly probable that Byron's rimes should show any forms of special words, conspicuously taken from his original dialect (Aberdeen). Some of his anomalous rimes — cf. e. g. §§ 24, 279 (lady: µs ĕ; water: us ă) could certainly be explained as being due to forms of this kind; but there always remains the possibility that they are mere individual licences, as these latter are very numerous among Byron's rimes. — It would be far more natural if Byron's rimes showed signs of Sc. E. pronunciation, i. e. one or other of the general pecularities which, as a rule quite unconsciously, adhere to the pronunciation even of such Sc. people as are tolerably well acquainted with the standard E. pronunciation. In fact, Byron's rimes have many points of correspondence with Sc. E. pronunciation, appearing as well in the frequent use of certain kinds of rimes as in the more or less complete avoidance of others; and these So. E. characteristics are certainly too numerous to be regarded as merely accidental.

- It will prove convenient to pass in review at this point the more important of the general Sc. E. vowel peculiarities from the point of view of their appearance or non-appearance in Byron's rimes. —The following differences may be left out of consideration. either as being due to late (19th c.) Southern E. developments, or as being too small to appear in rimes, or from there being no rimes where they could appear: St. E. ii, io (as in see, fear) Y Sc. E. close [i], [ir] (cf. e. g. Sweet, Primer of Ph. §§ 196, 211); St. E. ei, ea (as in sav. bare) (Sc. E. [e], [er] (cf. e. g. Sweet, 1. c. §§ 197, 198); St. E. 22(2) (as in more, lord) (= »Low back narrow round») X Sc. E. [or], i. e. »Mid back wide round» according to Murray p. III, Sweet l. c. § 202; St. E. ou (as in no) X Sc. E. [o], »Mid back wide round» (= the long of Sc. E. [o] in not, cf. below) according to Murray pp. 94, III; according to Sweet, 1. c. § 201 = the corresponding narrow vowel; St. E. (i)uw. (i)uə. u (as in new, do, cure, full) X Sc. E. close [ū], [ūr], [ŭ] (i. e. St. E. wide & Sc. E. narrow, cf. Sweet 1. c. § 200).
- Here may be mentioned also the peculiar laws for vowel quantity, characteristic of the Sc. dialects; cf. for the Southern dialects of Scotland, Murray p. 97. Of course Byron did not pronounce according to these purely dialectal laws; but his original dialect may have left in his later pronunciation an uncertainty as to vowel quantity which may, in part at least, be responsible for his numerous rimes between vowels of different quantity in St. E. Peculiarities of vowel quantity are actually recorded in many cases as a Sc. E. characteristic; cf. W. p. XI. Bell, Pr. of El. p. 34 ff. : Sc. E. [ŭ], for us ō (in food, mood, moon, soon, etc; pool, fool, etc.); Bell, l. c. : e. g. Sc. E. vl in feet, people. mean, etc.; paint, lady, trade, etc.; broken, loaf, coals, etc.; vl in death, edify, etc.; apple, axe, pacify, etc. Some of these peculiarities certainly seem to appear in Byron's rimes (cf. e. g. lady: με ĕ § 107); but as the rimes concerned can very well be explained otherwise (: tradition, spelling, individual license; cf. the question of Aberdeen forms, above § 22), they cannot be taken as proofs of Sc. proclivities in Byron's language. — The Sc. habit of making St. E. short vowels half long, St. E. long vowels still longer (= »the Scotch drawl», cf. Murray p. 97 f.; further W. p. XI), can hardly appear in rimes and is consequently not considered here.
- 25 The Sc. E. pronunciation of present E. i is in words like vision, decision, religion, individual, vicious (only [ĭ-]?) = με ε̄.

according to W. p. XI, = $\mu\epsilon$ ē »short» according to Bell, Pr. of El. p. 34; in many other words almost = [e], e. g. bid, hid, rid, W. l.c.; fill, crib, dig, him, etc., Bell l.c.; sit, Sweet, Pr. of Ph. § 196. — St. E. e is in Sc. E. more open, bordering on St. E. æ; e. g. bed, fed, red, W. l. c.; very, perish, Bell l. c. p. 35; cf. further Sweet l.c. § 196 f., Storm p. 401. — The Sc. E. open quality of present E. e cannot be recognized in Byron's rimes (cf. § 248); as to his rimes to present E. i, they are not in accordance with the Sc. E. distinctions just given, though it is possible that the Sc. E. open pronunciation of present E. i in bid etc. has been of influence on the number of Byron's rimes present E. i : e (§§ 98, 248). Regarding these last rimes there is also to be noticed the pronunciation of present E. e in special words as [1], given as a Scotticism by Bell Pr. of El. p. 35 (in *twenty, ever, never, ef-, em-, en-, ex-, etc.*).

St. E. æ is generally = [a] in the Sc. dialects (cf. EDGr. § 23); in Sc. E. = the short of present E. aa according to Bell, Pr. of El. p. 35 (e. g. man, gas, am, cat), Sounds p. 41 (e. g. man), or deeper (= *Low back wide*), according to Murray p. 110. This pronunciation is not given by W. or in Sweet's Pr. of Ph. as a Sc. E. characteristic, and it certainly seems too striking to be attributed to Byron; but Byron may have been unconsciously influenced by it in his choice between different kinds of *allowable rimes*. Byron's usual pronunciation may very well have been = the St. E. æ or a vowel standing between St. E. æ and [a]. — The rimes do not quite decide this question, cf. § 266.

Very important is the relation of Byron's rimes to the Sc. E. 26 pronunciation of present E. aa, 20, and 2. In many Sc. dialects $\mu\epsilon$ au (incl. $\mu\epsilon$ ăl & a $\mu\epsilon$ -aught) is an unrounded vowel (practically always in the Aberdeen dialect and, as a rule, in all North Eastern Scotland, cf. EDGr. §§ 38, 39, 40, 49), and this dialectal peculiarity often adheres to the pronunciation of cultivated Sc. people, who consequently identify $\mu\epsilon$ au with the vowel in father, barn, etc., which latter is of a deeper quality in Sc. (E.) (than in St. E.), = the long of Sc. (E.) [a] (cf. Murray p. 110: Low back wide). W. l. c. and Sweet Pr. of Ph. do not give this pronunciation as a Sc. E. characteristic, but it is mention-

¹ Sweet I. c. § 202 actually gives the Sc. E. pronunciation of με au as »Mid back wide round». This pronunciation may spring from some special Sc. dialects (cf. EDGr, II. cc.); or it may be explained as due to exaggera-

ed by Bell, Pr. of El. p. 36,1 and, in a couple of instances, by Ellis p. 1051 (bottom). The same Sc. E. identification is evidently made by Jamieson (p. 1) who gives four different sounds of a: »1. A broad, as in E. all, wall... 2. A, in lak, mak, tak, Scottish, as in last, past, English. 3. A, in lane,... mane, S(c). like bane, fane, E. . . . 4. A, in dad, daddie, and some other words. S(c)., as in read, pret. ready, E. » The vowel 2. seems to be the Sc. [a], and in this case the vowel in father etc. must be included in the »a broad» exemplified by all, wall. — This same unrounded vowel is used in Sc. also for us war(c), which in the Sc. dialects generally (as in most other dialects) is completely identical with pre ăr(c), the w having no influence on the vowel (EDGr. § 28); and the Sc. E. identification of St. E. 22 and aa (cf. above) includes also me wăr(c) (cf. Bell & Ellis II. cc.) (and water). — This non-influence of w in the Sc. dialects comprehends also us wa. I have not found mentioned as a Sc. E. characteristic (as little as the »back» pronunciation of us a which is wanted for the identification of us wa and us a); but it certainly helps to accentuate, in Sc. E., the distinction me wa (me o, which is kept up for St. E. e. g. by Murray in NED (cf. Storm p. 456), and by Ellis, cf. his table of symbols pp. 3-12 (»A»), and which is given as the pronunciation of »many speakers» by Bell, Sounds p. 49) yet not mentioned in Pr. of El. p. 30, »Twelfth vowel», which heading includes words of both kinds) [Murray might have this distinction from his native (Sc.) dialect as well as Bell, cf. Storm p. 404]. The distinction με wă) με ŏ is further accentuated in Sc. E. by με ŏ being there = »Mid back wide round» according to Sweet Pr. of Ph. § 203, Murray p. III (according to W. l. c. and Bell, Pr. of El. p. 36, me o is in Sc. often pronounced as $\mu \in U$, cf. below § 27). — The Sc. (E) identification of St. E. 22 and aa in an unrounded vowel explains the usual Sc. E. distinction between St. E. 22 < μ e au & μ e wăr(c) and St. E. 22 < με-ought & με ŏr(c), and in (a) broad, which are in Sc. E. brought in connection with $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{Q} (cf. Bell El. Man. p. 46; Pr. of El. p.

tion, in Sc. E., of the difference between the St. E. rounded and the dial. Sc. unrounded pronunciation of us au.

¹ Cf. p. 35, where quite the opposite is given as a Scotticism: "Vowel 9 as No. 13, as palm, papa, far, star, etc.; pronounced pawm, papaw, faur, stawr, etc."; a contradiction obviously due to Bell's vowels 9 and 13 being identified in the pronunciation Bell has in view.

36, where broad, bought, cost, morn = μ s \bar{Q} is given as a Scotticism). In all these points, Byron's rimes show an unmistakable conformity with the Sc. E. pronunciation. Influence of tradition and spelling may have been at work in many of the rimegroups concerned but can hardly be accepted as the only explanation in all cases. Cf. §§ 273, 277, 278, 295, 301, 309, 316. — For the Sc. E. — and Byron's — pronunciation of ME ă and ME ŏ s(c), th, and of the vowels in command etc., haunt etc., see §§ 280, 281, 284, 299.

Present E. u < ME ŭ (as to the Sc. E. close [ŭ] for present 27 E. u, see above § 23) is in Sc. dialects generally = µs ŭ (cf. Murray pp. 147, 149; EDGr. § 98 f.), a peculiarity recorded as a Scotticism by Bell, Pr. of El. p. 36 (»woman, full, bull, push, etc.») and by W. Principles 174. — As to Byron's rimes cf. § 327.

Present E. au (= $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$) has in St. E. its first element = »Low mixed wide», in Sc. E. = »Mid back narrow» (Sweet, Pr. of Ph. § 194). It is doubtful whether this Sc. E. peculiarity appears in Byron's rimes (see § 330). As to the pronunciation of »house, proud, cow, etc.» with $\mu \epsilon \bar{o}$, of »pound, ground, etc.» with $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$, and of »bowl, soul, mould, etc.» with $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$, given as Scotticisms by Bell, Pr. of El. pp. 36, 37, cf. for Byron's rimes § 330.

A. Gabrielson. 2

¹ In Visible Speech p. 115, Bell — on account of his Sc. origin, according to Storm, p. 403 — gives a corresponding distinction also for St. E.; he gives one sound (= the long of the vowel in salt, want, etc.) in all, laud, fraught, taught, law, etc.; war, ward, swarm, etc.; another (= the long of the [o] in honest) in broad, thought, sought. In Pr. of El. p. 31 ("Thirteenth vowel"), Bell evidently has given up this distinction as far as St. E. is concerned.

CHAPTER I.

Rime-Lists.

A. Rimes to obsolete words (in Spenser).

The arranging of the rimes according to present E. pronun-28 ciation necessitates a special arrangement for the rimes to words which do not exist in present E. In many cases, especially when there is a question of forms of uncertain origin or of forms due to analogy, we have no means of checking the pronunciation indictated by rimes and spellings; and these cases must of course be recorded. Others, i. e. words which are etymologically clear and are rimed only with etymologically equivalent forms, could certainly have been passed over in silence; but as a rule I have given these too, though no absolute completeness has been aimed at. — For practical reasons I have included in this division (A) also a great number of the phonetic variants of present E. words which occur in Spenser's rimes, but which disappeared early and have not exercised any appreciable influence on the riming of the later poets here considered.

The forms given here are arranged under headings indicating the vowels I have supposed them to contain. — Cf. further the Glossary to Globe Ed. (Morris), Bauermeister (Index p. 179 ff.), and for verbal inflexional forms, Liese.

Present E. ai(ə). for-thy (OE þȳ) 452 a etc. stie, sty (OE stīgan) 116 b, 278 a. gryde (= to cut) 123 a, 209 a, etc. vild (= vile a.) 38 b etc. lyen pp. (= lain) (NED 4-8 layen, lyen, lien) 56 a. lyne s. (= linen) 535 b. tyne, tine s. (= sorow, pain; cf. OE tēonian, tȳnan > ME ē, ī, see teene § 30) 56 aretc. tyne, tine v. (= to kindle, light) 120 a, 174 b, etc. agrise (= to terrify) 111 a etc. acquight, -ite inf. (see NED; cf. requite) 145 a, 373 b, 387 b. dight inf. & pret. (pres. dites 51 b) 23 a, 44 b, etc. pight pret. & pp. (of to pitch) 22 a etc. plight s. & v. (= (to) plait; see NED) 94 a, 107 a, etc. wight a. (= active) 453 a. wite v. (= to blame, twit; OE ī) 146 b, 180 b, etc. sith s. (= time;

OE ī), 215 a. b(i)live (= quickly; cf. alive) 36 a, 55 a, etc. relive (= to revive) 200 a. — Here belongs stire v. (= steer; OE stīeran, stīgran, stēoran), rimed: μ s ī 80 b; cf. Luick U. § 537 (X Brugger p. 23). Possibly also stire v. (= stir; OE styrian), rimed: μ s ī 102 a, 128 b, 198 b; μ s ī in this word may be the result of an early lengthening of the vowel, or of a mixing with the stire above.

Present E. ij.

30

με ę. lee s. [= »river», according to the Glossary of Globe Ed. Occurs only as Lee (capital l) and rimed only with με ē: 302 a, 606 a (496 a: yvorie); probably not identical with lea s. = plain etc., which is constantly spelt lea and rimed with $\mu \in \bar{e}$: 237 a, 289 b, 449 b, 467 b, 552 a. CD and NED give no information]. yeed v. (= to go) 96 b. priefe s. breem a. (OE breme; NED breme) 433 b. queme v. (OE cweman) 458 a. strene s. (OE strēon). te(e)ne s. (= sorrow, pain; cf. § 29) 58 a, 76 a, etc. sustene v. 90 b. cleep v. (NED clepe); rimed only with me e: 537 a, 550 a (cf. Luick, U. § 533). weet v. (< [1-]; cf. Luick U. § 532). weet v. (= to wet; cf. B. § 39, Brugger p. 77) 278 a. greet s. & v. (OE grēotan & grætan, Mercian grētan; cf. NED) 454 a, 470 b. regreet s. (»sorrow and regreet»: sweet 500 b); cf. NED regreet s. (= »? Protest»), regreetable, regreeting (= »complaint, lamentation») [Spenser's regreet is doubtless influenced by the greet just mentioned; AF [ĕ] in regretter would of course have given µe ĕ if lengthened]. prieve v. (= to prove). mieve v. (= to move).

με ξ. treague s. (= truce; cf. CD) 90 a. unheal v. (= to discov-31 er) 152 a, 253 b. ve(a)le s. (= veil; CD vele: »old spelling»); rimed only with με ξ: 152 a, 170 b, 253 b, 283 a, 526 a (307 b with Florimele); no form with -ei- (-ai-) appears in the rimes. eme (OE ēam) 136 b. heame s. (= home; »after the northerne pronouncing», Glosse 1579) 481 a. reame s. (= realm; only rimed with με ξ) 187 b, 272 b, 329 b. encheason s. (= cause etc.) 460 a. geason (OE gæsne, -ē-) 381 a, 512 b, 536 a. extreate s. (= extraction) 343 a. eath, uneath a. (OE ēaþe). greave s. (= thicket; see NED) 216 a, 370 b. leave v. (= levy; NED quotes only this case) 134 b. yeven pp. (cf. Luick St. p. 206) 455 b; see § 100.

Spenser's read, reed, rede s. (NED rede; cf. B. § 39) occurs with $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ ~ $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$, see § 53.

Present E. ia. (y) fere s. (OE \bar{e}). hear(e) s. (= hair. 32 ME $\bar{e} \sim \bar{e}$, ten Br. § 25; cf. Luick, Anglia 14,456). le(a) re s. & v. —

- Cf. further for these words § 228 ff. For brere s. (= brier) and en-, requere (= -ire), see § 237.
- Present E. i. snib v. (cf. Swedish »snubba»). abid pret. (of abide). thrid s. (= thread) 240 b. sicker a. (= sure) 516 b. spilt(= inlaid). (b)lin v. gin s. (= engine, plot). flit(t) a. (= fleet, cf. NED) 7 a, 100 b, etc. requit (= requited). smitt pret. (of smite) 19 b, 123 b, etc. shit v. (= shut; cf. Diehl p. 26) 556 a. drive pret. & pp. 58 b, etc. & rive pp. 348 a; see § 88. lich a. 35 b, 196 b. sich a. 196 b. Further: spill: με ĭ 470 b (= play?); widder (= »wider» according to the Glossary of Globe Ed.): shidder (Glossary of Globe Ed.: »generally explained as she»— thus in Glosse 1579— »but if not a corruption of thider (thither) must mean she-deer, she animals») 475 a.
- Present E. e. bed pret. (= bade) 58 b. ycled pp. (cf. B. 34 § 72). pled pret. (of plead). hefte pret. (of heave). wefte pret. (= waved, cf. B. § 78). flex s. (= flax). wex v. (= wax; cf. B. § 27). mell v. (= to mix) e. g. 337 a. sell s. (= saddle). [vermell 134 a.] teld (= told) 426 a, 430 b. gelt (= gold, money) 448b. swelt inf. & pret. 44 a, 220 b, 263 b, 420 a. (mis) dempt pp. (of -deem) 214 b, 220 a. nempt pp. (= named) 214 b. frenne s. (Glosse 1579: »straunger») 455 a. over-ren inf. (= -run). hend inf. & hent pret. & pp. (= seize(d)) e. g. III b, 141 b, 350 b. bren(t) (= burn(t)). drent pp. (cf. B. § 144) 118 b, 145 b, 331 a. ment pp.; for rimes see B. § 144; further : us e 505 a, 515 b; spelt meynt while rimed: us e 467 a; cf. § 36. bless v. (= blesser F.). cesse v. 274 a. decesse s. 344 b. desse (= dais). kest v. (= cast; for rimes cf. B. § 74; further 494 a). prest a. 244 a, 329 b. affret s. (= onset, attack) 207 b, 237 a, etc. arret v. (= to allot). bett, ybet, surbet pret. & pp. (of beat) 24 b, 89 a, etc. fett inf. & pret. (< OE *fettan, according to Luick U. § 433). swet pret. (of sweat cf. Gill) 283 a. levin s. (= lightning) 467 a. ketch v. (= to catch; cf. B. § 85). plesh s. (= plash) 123 a.

35 Present E. ei.

με ā. bade pret. (of bid) 322 a. rade pret. (of ride) cf. § 38 (rad) and § 112. trade s. (=tread) e. g. 110 a, 500 b;cf. troad § 41. crake (= boast; B. § 78). make s. (= mate etc.) 583 b. (be) spake pret. strake pret. (of strike). ywrake pp. (of OE wrecan). brake pret. (of break). brame s. (= longing, NED). clambe pret. (cf. NED: should have [ă]; yet the spelling clame is found in the 16th c.), rimed: came 424 a. awhape inf. at bace (cf. NED)

332 b. bate pret. (of bite). (for)gate pret. (of -get). wrate pret. (of write; cf. Luick U. § 34). retrate s.; cf. B. § 51 (retreat is rimed with present E. ij only 259 a, 266 b). drave pret. (of drive).

με ai. fay s. (= faith) 334 a, 474 a. lay s. (= lea; NED 36 lea, sb²) cf. B. § 41. lay (= OF lei, loi). tway (= two)46 b, etc. appay v. (= to appease). embay v. (= to bathe). denay v. (= to deny). abray, abrade (= -aid; cf. NED abraid; B. § 144). quayd (= quailed, cf. NED). entail v. (= to carve). raile, rayle v. (= to flow etc.; NED: »of obscure origin»; cf. B. § 156). darrayne s. (NED deraign). demain s. (= demeanor). saine, pres. pl. (of say). wained pp. 424 a (here obviously = governed, possessed). queint pp. (of OE *cwencan; cf. B. § 144) 103 a. payse v. (= to poise). keight (= caught; cf. B. § 182). bate (= fed) 473 b; probably a pp. of bait = to feed etc., cf. the spelling bate = bait, attack 422 b.

To this group probably belongs also meint pp. (of OE mengan), rimed with constrainte 482 b. Yet it should be observed that early Mod. E. forms of constraint with the spelling -ent are recorded (NED 15th c.), so that there is the mere possibility that this is meant to be a rime $\mu \epsilon \ \check{e} : \mu \epsilon \ \check{e}$, and meint only a spelling for ment, as in the case given § 34.

Present E. ea.

37

με ār. bare pret. (of bear). sware pret. (of swear). tare: pret. (of tear). ware pret. (of wear). — Further chayre (= chary): με air 187 a (NED gives only chare; no form with - ai-, -ay-).

με air. laire s. (= plain): με air 273 a; probably identical with lare s. (= pasture): με \overline{a} r 270 b (cf. NED lair sb¹).

ME $\bar{e}r (= \mu \epsilon \bar{e}r)$. whyle(a)re.

Present E. æ. ydrad pret. & pp. (of dread). lad pret. & 38 pp. (of lead). rad pret. & pp. (of read). bestad pret. & pp. (of beste(a)d). sam, ysame (= together) 66 a, 433 a, 460 a. than adv. (=then) 146 a. wan pt. (of win) 88 a, 93 a, etc. (for)-gat pret. 182 b, 430 a (cf. § 35). latch v. (OE læcc(e)an) 453 a, 461 b. — Here may belong also two prett. rad & bestrad (of ride & bestride respectively), occurring in the rime had: rad: bestrad 301 b (Or = \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon ? cf. rade \varepsilon 35, had \varepsilon 268). — For guarish (= to heal) 244 b, see \varepsilon 211.

Present E. aa. darre (= to dare. ME dar; OE dear) 39 155 a, 315 a. narre (= nearer. ME nerre) 528 b. shard s. 110 a (= »division, boundary»: Glossary of Globe Ed.). shard pret. (of OE sceran) 297 b. carke s. (= »care»: Glosse 1579) 16 a, 481 a. ensnarle (= to ensnare). beraft pp. (of bereave) 236 b. haske s. 480 a. wrast (= to wrest) 357 a.

- Present E. 30. overcraw (= *to crow over, to insult *: Glossary of Globe Ed.) 59 b, 449 b; cf. § 128. wawes (= waves, pl.; cf. B. § 178) 145 b. hault (= haughty) 368 b. withhault (pret. of -hold; *spurious*: CD) 140 b. haught (= high) 41 b. raught pret. & pp. (= reached). spalles (= épaules F.) 109 a. paravaunt (cf. B. § 184) 164 b, 388 a, 408 b, 558 b. Pawnce (= pansy) 456 a. warre (= worse. ME warre, werre) 470 a [I am in doubt as to the identity of the other warre occurring in this rime]. mought pret. 213 b. endosse (= to endorse). deflore (= to deflower) 596 a. lore pret. & pp. (= *left, lost sight of*): Glossary of Globe Ed.) 228 b, 347 b. scorse v. (cf. B. § 112).
- Present E. ou. tho adv. (OE bā) 459 b etc. boad pret. (of bide) 516 b. glode pret. (of glide) 249 b. troad, trode s. (cf. § 35 trade, and B. § 94) 211 a, 407 b. trode pret. & pp. (of tread) 378 b etc. yode pret. (OE eode; cf. Liese p. 49). (y)wroken pp. (of wreak). yold pp. (cf. Liese p. 20). shope (= shaped) 320 b. accoste v. (cf. NED) 352 a. (be)hote pret. 251 b (cf. behott pp. § 42). I wote (OE wāt) 120 b. rove (= to shoot) 320 a. Here probably belongs also mowes pl. (= grimaces, wry faces), rimed: με ou 396 b; cf. Skeat Et. D., and CD.
- 42 Present E. o.

yod pret. (= yode, § 41) 66 a, 232 b. commen v. (NED: common) 338 a. fon s. 456 b. yond (Glossary of Globe Ed.: »outrageous, terrible») 123 b, 196 b. borrel: lorrel 467 a (explained in Glosse 1579 as, respectively, »a playne fellowe » and »a losell»). behott pret. (= behote, § 41) 72 b. smot(t) pret. & pp. (of smite) 72 a, 167 b, etc. — mot, mote (OE ō) is uncertain; it is probably a literary loan in Spenser and may be meant to have με ŏ as indicated by the rimes: 236 b, 412 a (cf. B. § 105; Sweet NEGr § 1482). — For won v. see § 47.

43 hond, lond, etc. (ME ŏ~ă|n(c); present E.æ). In Spenser's rimes¹ occur with με ŏ (~the more common με ă; thus exclusively sand, wand): bond s.², brond s., hond, lond, stond inf.,

¹ The following statements, as far as FQ is concerned, are based on B.'s rime- lists §§ 89, 93.

² The present E. distinction bond \(band \) is not recognized by Spenser; bond occurs in the rimes 115 b, 239 a, 310 b, 346 a.

strond; further the pret. & pp. bond, fond which are not found with μ s \check{a} in the rimes. — Apart from numerous rimes inter se these words are rimed only with μ s \check{o} ; i. e. bond pret., fond pret., hond, under-, withstond, strond: cond (kond) pret., fond a., pond, wond pret. (326 a, cf. § 47), yond a., beyond 123 b, 156 b, 178 a, 196 b, 300 a, 326 a, 550 a [Further bond s., fond pret. & pp., lond: Priamond, Triamond 239 a, 240 a, 252 a]. — The same pronunciation of hand is no doubt meant in fond a.: hand 473 b, and in hand: withstond: fond a. 203 a, in which latter case hond has been substituted for hand by the Editor of Globe Ed. — On the same footing with these words with -ond is the inf. underfong, rimed: long adv., song, strong, throng s., wrong 300 b, 480 a; possibly also begon pret. in the rime begon: gon (= gone) 140 a; and won pp. in the rime thereon: -upon: won 401 a (fashion: won: begon 200 a may be a rime μ s \check{u} : μ s \check{u} , cf. B. § 142).

Present E. uw, uə.

44

με δ. droome (= drum; cf. NED: 16th c. -ome, -oome,) 50 a. hoove (= to hover; wait, tarry, linger, stay, remain; NED: OE *5) 556 a. This word probably occurs also 586 b (:»Ne joy of ought that under heaven doth hove Can comfort me, . . . »; rimed: love, dove, move, cf. § 153. It may occur also in the rime to love, move 21 a (: »Astond he stood, and up his heare did hove», § 153). NED cites this last case as an instance of hove (with $\mu \in \bar{Q}$) < hove & hoven, pret. & pp. of heave, here used in reflexive function with the reflexive pron. left out; and the signification of the word in this case certainly favours this interpretation. At any rate the rime may be = love: us o, as NED records the 17th c. forms »houve», »hoove», of hove trans., which certainly point to a µs \bar{o} -variant of this word. — soote (= sweet(ly)) 455 b, 485 a. loord s. (= lout, < F. lourd) 466 b. — Besides the usual to do, Spenser uses two other infinitives to done, and to donne; see by done pp. § 333.

μs eu, ü. crewe s. (Glosse 1579: »holy water pott»; < OF crue; rimed: dewe) 450 a. concrew (= to grow together, cf. accrue) 266 b. rew s. (= row; OE ræw; Chaucer eu, ten Br. § 43 b) 189 b, 499 b. shew inf. (~show; cf. B. §§ 165, 189). newell s. (Glosse 1579: »a newe thing»; rimed: jewell < AF juel, jeual) 461 a. enure (= to use; < en + ure = F. œuvre). — To judge from rimes and spelling, here belong also the etymologically uncertain alew (= halloo; cf. B. § 100) 323 b, scruze (= to crush) 189 b. — The pres. forms knew(est) 352 a, 486 b (see Globe

Ed. Appendix I) are probably only spellings for $\mu\epsilon$ ou; in the former case this is proved by the rime-words with $\mu\epsilon$ ou.

45 Present E. u. wull pres. (= will; ME wul, wol, cf. Skeat Et. D.; rimed: full) 590 a. — quooke pret. (= quaked; cf. NED) 214 a etc. strooke pret. (= struck; W. gives o², as in look etc.) 102 b etc. awooke pret. (= awoke; cf. B. § 100) 372 b, 396 a.

46 Present E. au(9). lout, lowt (OE lūtan) 281 a, 288 b, etc. stound s. (OE stund) 46 a, 52 a, etc. — avoure s. (= avowal, cf. F. avouer) 376 b. bowrs s. pl. (= »muscles of the shoulder», according to the Glossary of Globe Ed.; NED: < bow = to bend) 53 b. discoure (= to discover) 165 a, 173 b. recoure, -cower (= to recover, intr.) 243 b etc.

For swoun, swound (= swoon), wowe (= woo; cf. Luick U. § 140) and couth pret. (OE cūpe), see § 144.

47 Present E. v.

uncrudded (= uncurdled) 589 a. begun pret. (spelt -on, -onne) and drunk pret. (spelt dronck), cf. B. § 93. brust pret. & pp. (= burst) 160 b, 202 b, 334 a, 351 a, 372 b, 495 a, 560 b. lust (NED: **to desire, choose, wish**) 334 a. thrust (= thirst) s. & v. 89 b, 199 a.

won s. & v. (OE wunian) is generally rimed by Spenser with $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ, cf. B. § 125. Besides these regular rimes there are the following cases, where won is rimed with other vowels. won: ending -ion, -on 208 a, 361 a, 405 a (uncertain, cf. B. § 142); wone v.: one 552 b; fone (= foes): woe-begone: attone: wone v. 333 b; won s.: thereupon: done pp.: alone 338 b; wond: fond pret. (= found): kond pret. 326 a. In the rimes 333 b, 552 b, wone has $\mu\epsilon$ \overline{\rho}, evidenced in ME for the substantive at least (Luick U. § 507). There may also have existed a form with $\mu\epsilon$ \overline{\rho} (shortened < [\overline{\rho}], cf. B. § 125) which would account for the rime 326 a. The rime 338 b will always remain incorrect.

The verbs of Sievers' class III:1, ending in -ng, form a special group in Spenser.¹ Pret. and pp. of these verbs appear in the form -ong (exceptions: rung pret. pl. 518 a, sung pret. pl., and hung pret. pl. & pp. 232 a, 518 a), so that it cannot be inferred from the spelling whether they have \mus \overline{o} (< [\overline{a}] | ng, i. e. OE pret. sg. -ang) or \mus \overline{u} (OE pret. pl. -ung-). — Besides in numerous rimes inter se, the forms in question occur in the following rimes. flong pret. sg., hong pret. sg., & pl., song pret. pl., sprong pret. sg.,

¹ The following statements, as far as FQ is concerned, ase based upon: B.'s rime-lists § 93.

5.0

strong pp.: tongue, young, among (179, 245) 63 b, 74 b, 80 a, 179 b, 245 b.—flong pp., hong pret. sg. & pl., pp., rong pret. sg., song pret. sg. (spelt soong 550 a) & pl., pp., sprong pret. sg. & pl., pp., strong pp.: along, tong, song s., strong a., throng, wrong 115 a, 115 a, 127 b, 135 a, 162 a, 175 a, 210 b, 223 b, 232 a, 301 b, 351 a, 501 b, 502 a, 503 a, 504 a, 531 b, 550 a, 553 b.— along: tong (= tongue): strong a.: hong pret. sg. 36 a, hong pret. sg.: strong a.: tong (= tongue) 46 b, strong a.: along: sprong pret. sg.: emong 146 a. Cf. §§ 154, 335.

It may be worth while to mention that Pope uses the obsolete forms sung (43, 67, 138, etc.), rung (43, 67, 121), sprung (154); begun (27, 28, etc.); always spelt -u- and rimed with $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ.

Present E. 22. dirk (= dark): mirk (= murky) 474 a; 49 both have ME-i-forms (NED). — gerne (= to grin) 356 a. erst, earst (= first) 51 a etc. For inquerd (= enquired) cf. § 237; for werk s. (= work), astert v. (= to befall), cf. § 169.

Spenser's swe(a)rd (=: sword) has in Chaucer short or »schwebend» [e] (ten Br. § 35), so that Spenser may very well have pronounced the word with $\mu s \, \breve{e} \sim \mu s \, \bar{e}$, as indicated by the rimes swerds: herds s. 525 a, appeard: reard: affeard: sweard 244 b, sweard: upreard: appeard 245 a, heard pp.: sweard 552 b.

B. Rimes correct in present English.1

Present E. ai (a): ai (a).

The rimes, as a rule, present nothing of interest. For the rimes wind s.: present E. ai, see § 251.

Present E. oi: oi.

SPENSER. annoy, destroy; boy, (ac)cloy, (ac)coy, employ, hoy s., 51 (en)joy, Sansjoy, Sansjoy, Sansloy, toy, Troy; void, avoid, devoid; counterpoise; noise 16 b, 20 a, 31 a, 31 b, 40 a, 41 b, 55 b, 56 a, 74 a|b, 78 b, 88 b, 90 b, 91 a, 95 a, 105 a, 107 a, 110 a, 113 b, 129 a, 132 a, 138 a, 151 b, 152 b, 167 b, 190 b, 192 b, 193 a, 193 a, 209 b, 224 b, 245 b, 265 a, 267 b, 283 b, 287 a, 303 a, 318 b, 345 a, 368 b, 369 b, 372 a, 382 b, 434 b; 448 b, 449 b, 455 a, 472 b, 474 b, 492 b, 493 a, 497 b, 509 b, 541 b, 548 a, 550 b, 550 b, 555 b, 561 a,

¹ The rimes quoted from Spenser's FQ (Globe Ed. pp. 7-436) in the present division (B) have as a rule been taken from Bauermeister's lists.

590 b, 591 a, 594 a. accoil v., assoil v., boil, broil s., recoil, droyle v. (514), foil v., moyle v. (601), soil s., spoil s., despoil v., toil s. & v., turmoil 9 b, 68 a, 119 a/b, 128 b, 136 b, 147 a, 153 a, 161 b, 203 b, 243 a, 255 b, 279 a, 338 b, 351 a, 354 b, 385 a, 401 b, 433 b; 495 a, 506 a, 511 b, 514 a, 520 a, 523 b, 529 a, 539 b, 544 b, 548 b, 555 b, 574 b, 575 a, 578 a, 583 a, 601 b. esloyne v.: essoyne 29 a. joint s., point, appoint 19 a, 53 a, 162 a, 519 a. choice, rejoice, noise, poise v., voice 39 a, 53 a, 78 a, 84 b, 119 a, 246 a, 401 b, 428 a; 474 b, 493 a, 497 b, 528 b, 541 b, 546 a, 586 b, 588 b.

Pope. annoy, destroy; boy, employ, (en)joy, toy, Troy; void 18, 62, 81, 100, 101, 109, 112, 132, 133, 174, 178, 199, 202, 204, 208, 220, 234, 257, 280, 295, 465, 473, 476, 493, 495. oil, soil, spoil, toil; Boyle n. pr. 74, 115, 154, 165, 216, 220, 247, 251, 262, 262, 263, 485. choice, rejoice, noise, voice 36, 133, 157, 301, 493. — Besides: boy: Blois n. pr. (F.) 316.

Byron. annoy, destroy; alloy, boy, rebuoy, cloy, decoy, embloy, (en)joy, soy, toy, Troy, Godov n. pr.; Lanskoi n. pr.; buoyant, void, Lloyd 6 a, 15 a, 18 a (2 t.), 19 b, 20 a, 20 b, 21 a, 24 a, 29 b, 30 b, 34 b, 35 b, 36 b, 37 a, 37 b, 40 b, 42 a, 42 b, 46 a, 47 a, 51 a, 51 b (5 t.), 52 b, 55 b, 69 a, 105 b (4 t.), 122 a, 149 a, 151 a, 173 a, 183 b, 193 a, 203 a, 223 b, 235 b, 242 a, 244 a, 246 a, 249 b, 254 a, 256 a, 259 b, 260 b, 320 a, 341 b, 343 b, 345 b, 345 b, 346 a. 349 a, 350 a, 351 a, 356 a, 368 a, 537 b, 540 b, 540 b, 545 b, 548 b, 597 b, 600 a, 615 a, 632 a, 686 b, 688 b, 691 a, 693 a, 739 a, 741 a, 742 b, 749 b, 760 a, 761 a, 765 a, 813 b, 813 b. destroys, joys: noise; choice, voice 23 a, 43 a, 198 a, 689 b. loyal(ty), royal(ty)145 a, 818 b. boil, broil, coil s., recoil, foil, oil, soil, spoil, toil, turmoil, Hoyle n. pr., toilet 21 b, 35 b, 86 b, 92 b, 116 b, 123 a, 134 b, 189 b, 200 b, 212 b, 247 a, 255 a, 267 b, 271 b, 273 a, 301 a, 304 b, 311 b, 312 a, 327 a, 337 a, 341 a, 342 b, 343 b, 350 b, 364 b, 541 b, 546 a, 680 b, 708 a, 712 b, 747 a, 814 b, 838 a. coin, join 148 b. aroynt; joint s., point 752 a, 802 a. noise; toise; choice, rejoice, voice 3 b, 10 b, 29 a, 35 a, 62 b, 77 b, 80 b, 84 a, 85 b, 119 b, 126 a, 133 b, 248 b, 259 b, 276 a, 316 a, 338 b, 347 a, 540 b, 541 a, 542 a, 542 a, 546 a, 613 a, 613 b, 617 a, 707 a, 740 b, 746 b, 788 a, 817 b. - Besides the French words de Foix n. pr., quoi, sang-froid: annoy, destroy, boy, Troy 697 b, 752 b, 813 a.

SWINBURNE. Annoy, destroy: alloy, boy, cloy, coy, employ, joy(ous), toy, Troy; void PB I: 92, 117, 179, 197; PB II:16; PB III:65; A 146, 225; SSP 17, 30, 31, 63; SS 8, 24, 34, 58; TB 62, 73, 126, 131; Ch 4, 71, 131, 171; L 4, 50, 122; MH 87, 92, 94; CR

59, 61; TL 16, 63, 136, 139, 141, 151, 249, 250, 251, 274, 331, 346, 347. cloys, toys; noise, (equi) poise; rejoice, voice PB I: 299; A 133; TL 222, 260. (dis) loyal, royal MH 165, 169; A 223; Ch 98; SBS 53, 54; TL 241. assoil, boil, coil, recoil, foil, oil, soil, spoil, toil PB I:308; PB II:11; PB III:48, 100; Ch 201; L 14, 60; MH 131; SS 25, 198; A 56; SBS 277; TL 50, 79. joins: loins PB I:307. anoint, appoint, point PB I:228; PB II:5; SBS 61. choice, rejoice, voice PB III:34; Ch 46, 95, 106, 127; SSp 19; SS 167, 191, 195, 197, 212; SN 16, 24; MH 53, 178, 182; A 43; SBS 56, 149; TL 141, 178, 304, 320, 358, 360. moister: oyster PB I:187.

Present E. ij:ij.

52

Rimes between words with the present E. spelling ea, ei have been regarded as correct rimes $\mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon} : \mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon} \, ;$ rimes between words with the present E. spelling ee, ie, i (machine etc.) have been regarded as correct rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$. Of the rime-words with the present E. spelling e, the following have been regarded as us \bar{e}words: these (Ellis p. 905, Ekw. § 183); even a. (Spenser 455 b, see § 100; cf. Ekw. § 186 f.; Horn Gr. § 79,3); Swede (Pope: με ē̄; no rimes: με ξ; cf. Ekw. § 196); blaspheme (Spenser: με ξ 272 b; cf. B. § 50; Ekw. §§ 194, 202 f.); fle'me (= phlegm; Pope: extreme 66; Gill gives µs ē); scheme (Pope: µs ē 264, 264, cf. Ekw. §§ 195, 206); theme (Spenser: με ξ 106 a, 207 a; Pope με: ξ 38, 275, 332; cf. B. § 50; Ekw. §§ 194, 202 f.); obscene (Pope: us ē 380; cf. Ekw. § 195); scene (Pope: µs & 252; cf. Ekw. §§ 195, 206); serene (Spenser: µs ę 395a; Pope: µs ę, no rimes: µs ę; cf. B. § 50; Ellis p. 1014); Jesu (Pope: με ξ 333; cf. Ellis p. 894; Ekw. § 196); complete (Pope: us @ 319; cf. Ekw. §§ 194, 203);

the following as $\mu \in \bar{e}$ -words: be, he, me, she, we, ye; eke v. & a. (Spenser: $\mu \in \bar{e}$ 190 a, 305 a, 547 a; 24 b, 101 b, 211 b, 222 b; cf. B. § 45); intervene (Pope: $\mu \in \bar{e}$; no rimes: $\mu \in \bar{e}$; cf. Grundr. § 46); Eve (Pope: $\mu \in \bar{e}$; no rimes: $\mu \in \bar{e}$; cf. Ekw. § 197); Peter: metre (Pope 287, 310, 335; cf. Ekw. § 197).

extreme is rimed by Spenser: $\mu \in \mathcal{E} \sim \mu \in \mathcal{E}$ (see § 53); by Pope only: $\mu \in \mathcal{E}$ (66, 198). — For evil, even s., see §§ 99, 100. — [Rimes to present E. weak-stressed vowel: Persephone: me Spenser 499 a; teme s. (= team): diademe Spenser 466 a; obsolete: feet Pope 308.]

53 SPENSER. A. Many rimes have been regarded as correct, on account of the existence of phonetic variants contrary to the spelling eventually adopted by the literary language. Cf. ten Br. § 25; Horn Gr. §§ 77-80. Spenser's spelling is very often in accordance with the rimes (cf. B. § 38 ff.).

με \tilde{e} : με \tilde{e} . [OE \tilde{x} = West Teut. \tilde{a} (= x^2):] read s. & v.: με ē; numerous cases; for FQ see B. § 39 ff.; further certain cases 531 b, 592 a, 598 b, 602 a. — [OE $\bar{x} = \text{Teut. ai } (= x^1)$; cf. Luick U. § 349 f.:] deal s. (cf. Dib. § 69): µs ē (steel) 529 a; generally rimed by Spenser: us ē, cf. B. § 40; mean v.: us ē (been) 473 a, cf. Dib. § 64; mean a.: µs ē (queen) 585 a; clean: µs ē, numerous cases; for FQ see B. § 40; further 511 b, 577 a; sea: µs ē 130 a, 178 b, 433 b (?); generally rimed: µe ē, cf. B. § 40. — [OE ēa (noun) X OE ē (verb); later levellings in both directions; cf. B. §§ 41, 45; Luick U. § 351:] steam v.: µs ē 74 a, 109 a, 161 b, 203 a, 392 b, 433 b (no rimes : με ε); team s. : με ε 74 a, 206 b, 606 a. The legitimate vacillation με ę̄ ~ με ϵ̄ in these words may have called forth $\mu \varepsilon \, \bar{\varepsilon}$ -variants of dream s. &. v. (dream s. : $\mu \varepsilon \, \bar{\varepsilon} \,$ 100 a, 237 b, 458 a; dream v. is rimed only: με ē), beam s. & v. (: με ē 503 b, 554 b, 593 b), and stream s. & v. (: µs ē 244 b, 551 b, 606 b), if the verbs drēman, bēman, strēman did not exist in OE.

με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$: με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$. [\mathbf{z}^2 :] [seed s., with με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$ only in rimes containing present E. e, 65 b, 166 b, 368 b (see §§ 100, 102);] leech s.: με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$ 37 a, 330 b; speech s.: με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$, numerous cases; for FQ see B. § 39; further 460 b, 494 b, 520 b. — Rimes με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$: με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$ are probably also cheek: με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$ 75 b, 589 b (cf. ten Br. l. c.); needes s.: bedes s. (= beads): deedes s. 60 b (deed has \mathbf{z}^2 ; με $\mathbf{\bar{e}}$ is given by Butler, according to Horn Gr. § 80,1; need has $[\mathbf{\bar{e}}] \sim [\mathbf{\bar{e}}]$ in Chaucer, cf. ten Br. l. c.).

extreme occurs with $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ (early loan) ~ $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ (late loan) (cf. B. § 58). Miège gives $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ (Ellis). Spenser uses the spelling -ea- in the rimes 202 b, 329 b, 596 a, of which at least 329 b is = $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$; the spelling -ee- 120 b, 600 b, which are both = $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$, as well as the rimes 109 a, 202 a, 203 a, 237 b, 274 a, 593 b. — As a rime $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ I have considered Eirene n. pr.: clene a. : strene (OE strēon) 341 a [stremis pres. sg.: Themis n. pr. 467 a (?)].

B. με ξ : με ξ. Such rimes are probably the following. wreake v. : weeke: seeke 392 b. keepe: sheepe: deepe: cheape 416 b.—meane s. (Rom.): tene s.: atweene: bene pp. 86 a. mene s. (Rom.): Queenc: seene 342 a. keene: weene: mene s. (Rom.): strene (OE

-ēo-) 387 a. increse s.: fleece s. 515 b. feet: intreat v. 573 a. conceiv'd: perceiv'd: berev'd: griev'd 190 b.

Pope. A. ME $\[\bar{e} \sim \bar{e} \]$ (see above, Spenser): $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$. read v.:54 (in)deed, agreed, proceed 134, 290, 318, 320, 345 (: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$, none); leave: Eve 261 (: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$ 145, 217); sea: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$ 42, 154, 490, 500 (: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$ 104, 380, 443) [possibly also, cf. ten Br. $\[\S \]$ 23 $\[\delta \]$, flea: see 412 (: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$, none)]; mean v.: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$ 477, 498 (: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$ 276, 330, 340, 444); dream v.: seem 54 (: $\mu \in \bar{e} \]$ 109, 264, 332, 388, 392, 392, 446).

B. ME & : \mu & . heap : \mu & 230, 323 (: \mu & 2, none); steal: feel 335 (: \mu & 305, 317, 327, 498); these : geese 141 (: \mu & 82, 85, 100, 102, 104, 133, etc.); seat: Fleet 251 (: \mu & 19, 31, 36, 278, 444). plead v.: need 54 (: \mu & 2, none); retreat: Fleet 391 (: \mu & 19, 19, 21, 31, 36, 105, etc.); dean, mean (Rom.): \mu & 242, 288, 486 (: \mu & 252, 291, 340, 444, 444, 497); ease s., please: degrees, decrees 64, 130 (: \mu & 2, numerous, especially please: ease; besides 62, 82, 100, 102, 104, 133, etc.); con-, de-, receive: believe, grieve 101, 214, 482; : Eve 483, 483 (: \mu & 212, 217, 316). scene: \mu & 24, 36, 36, 82, 82, 108, 260, 367, 443, 446, 453 477, 488 (: \mu & 252); complete: meet 259; serene: queen 283, 454; Swede: agreed 222. tea: decree 483 (: bohea 453).

These rimes (A & B) — except possibly those to read, in which word $[\bar{\imath}]$ is exceptionally recorded by several 17th c. orthoepists, cf. Horn Gr. § 80 — afford no ground for supposing that the ME vacillation $[\bar{\mathfrak{e}}] \sim [\bar{\mathfrak{e}}]$ in the words of group A existed in Pope's pronunciation. Accordingly, the words of group A (except the doubtful read) are here considered as $\mu \in \bar{\mathfrak{e}}$ -words, and the rimes of these words: $\mu \in \bar{\mathfrak{e}}$, as rimes $\mu \in \bar{\mathfrak{e}}$: $\mu \in \bar{\mathfrak{e}}$.

For the sake of comparison I give here a short account of 55 the rimes $\mu \epsilon \epsilon$: $\mu \epsilon \epsilon$ in Byron's CH and Swimburne's SBS. The rimes are not specified in detail; only the rime-words used and the number of the rimes are given.

BYRON, CH. sea: be, she, me, thee, ye, flee, free, glee, wee, ee (= eye), degree (17 cases). read, lead, recede: bleed, feed, meed, need, reed, steed, weed, succeed (5 cases). leaf: brief, chief (1 case). peak, speak, weak, wreak: cheek, meek, seek, Greek, pique (8 cases). heal, steal, zeal, appeal, conceal, seal: feel steel (6 cases). beam, dream, stream, theme: deem, redeem, seem, teem v. (II cases). clean, lean v., scene, serene: green, keen, queen, unseen, sheen s., between, mien, intervene; been (6 cases). heap, leap, reap: creep, deep, keep, peep, sleep, steep, sweep, weep (10 cases). peace: Greece

56

(I case). seas pl., these, seize, (dis)ease: frees & foresees pres, trees pl., breeze, Pyrenees (4 cases). beat, heat, seat, repeat, retreat: feet, greet, meet v., street, sweet (6 cases). cleave, leaves s. pl., leave v., deceive, perceive: eve, Eve, believe, grieve (6 cases).

SWINBURNE, SBS. sea: be, he, she, we, me, thee, ye, free, lee s., tree (39 cases). breach, each, impeach, reach: speech, beseech (7 cases). lead, plead: need, deed, creed (3 cases). leaf, sheaf: grief, dis-, unbelief, chief (5 cases). healed, sealed, revealed: field, shield (3 cases). dream, stream: seem, redeem (4 cases). clean, lean: queen, (un)seen (2 cases). leap, reap: creep, deep, keep, sheep, sleep, steep, sweep, weep (II cases). peace, de-, increase, release, (de)cease: Greece (7 cases). beast, east, least: priest (7 cases). seas pl., these: knees, trees pl., tree's gen. sg., sees pres., Pyrenees (8 cases). beat, bleat, eat, heat, meat, seat, wheat, defeat: feet, meet, street, sweet (23 cases). heave, weave, sheaves pl., leaves pl., deceive: believe, grieve (2 cases) [Besides, not certain: pæan: Eschylæan I78, Thebes: glebes 209, Crete: sweet 245, seen: Nazarene 99].

Present E. ia: ia.

The rimes are given § 232 ff.

Present E. i:i.

The rimes, as a rule, present nothing of interest. For rimes to wind s., been, see § 251.

Present E. e: e.

The rimes to ME \(\bar{e}\)-words (bread etc., red etc.) are treated \(\bar{\}\) 242 ff. — For rimes to again (against does not occur), said, says, saith, any, many, see \(\bar{\}\) 250 f.

Present E. ei:ei.

57 Spenser. A. με ai: με ā. [Rimes to weak-stressed vowels are included. — The rimes of FQ are taken from B.'s lists §§ 87, 149, 150, 151, 157.]

day, lay pret., pray, say, sway, (a)way: Adicia, Aemilia, Cynthia, Hania, Menevia, Proserpina, Remora, Tedula 1342, 1742.

264 b, 334a, 493 b, 537a, 538a, 553a. abraid (spelt abrade 218b; cf. above § 36), afraid, aid, arrayed, assayed, bewrayed, defrayed, dismayed (spelt -ade 89 a), embayed, laid, maid, played, displayed, prayed, stayed, swayed, upbraid, weighed: blade, fade, glade, invade made, persuade, shade, trade 13a, 30a, 44b, 44b, 48b, 71b, 89a, 99b, 136b, 142b, 152a, 162a, 162b, 178a, 186a, 212b, 218b, 226 a, 239 b, 294 b, 314 b, 339 a, 384 a, 410 a, 434 b, 464 a, 478 b, 481 a, 494 b, 508 b, 536 a, 540 a, 554 a, 580 b. assail, aumayl (= to enamel), entail, entrail (= to twist etc.), fail, flail (spelt -ale 313 a), mail, quail v., rail v., sail, s., trail s., avail, prevail (both < OF valoir), wail: bale s., embale (spelt -bayld 94 b), dale, empale, pale a., scale, tale, whale 13 a, 47 b, 94 b, 147 b, 196 a, 313 a, 336 a, 353 b, 467 a, 481 a, 535 a. aim s. & v.: fame, flame, frame, name, shame 107 a, 161 a, 520 a, 602 a [B. § 87 suggests a variant ame < ME amen aimen (cf. claim below); yet the pronunciation us ai is made probable by the consistent spelling ai (av) and by two rimes: ue ai (ordain, again, entertain, fain) 249 b, 379 b]. chain, complain, darraine (see § 36), disdain, gain, pain, plain, reign, remain, stain, strain, swain, -tain, train, twain, vain, vein: bane s. (spelt -ain-77 b), profane, Crane, Africane, Gloriane, Louthiane, Satyrane 7 a, 77 b, 131 a/b, 142 b, 172 b, 250 a, 254 b, 290 a, 332 a, 386 b, 387a. 405 b, 447 a, 557 a, 579 a, 580 a, 594 a [Here belongs also straine v.: became 515 a, unless the rime is due to an alteration at the hands of the printer (for straine: swaine s.) cf. § 10]. praise, raise: amaze, blaze, daze, gaze, Caligulas 13 b, 68 b, 399 b, 413 b, 455 a, 460 b, 503 b, 526 a, 540 a, 573 a. bait (spelt -a- 422 b), (a) wait (spelt -a- 140 b, 241 a): abate, debate, date, forgate pret., gate s., grate, hate, late, mitigate, plate, prate, (e) state 63 a, 115 b, 130 b. 140 b. 220 a. 241 a. 280 b. 316 b. 322 a. 335 a. 422 b. 460 b. 534 a [Here seems to belong also bate (= fed; see § 36): state (= stately a.) 473 b]. waves s.: glaives s. 595 a.

Uncertain & irrelevant cases. claim is spelt clame and 58 rimed only: $\mu\epsilon$ ā (19 cases), which implies that Spenser had in view the ME variant with $\mu\epsilon$ ā arising from end-stressed forms of OF clame-r (cf. B. § 87; Luick U. § 367; E. St. 26, 270; NED claim: -- »4-7 clayme, claime, clame --»). — hail v. (= hale, haul) is rimed: $\mu\epsilon$ ai 98 a, 203 b, 383 a, 428 b; : $\mu\epsilon$ ai & $\mu\epsilon$ ā 336 a; besides there are the rimes availe v. (: lower, descend, cf. just below): overhaile 447 b; tale s.: forhaile (NED = »tear to pieces») 475 b.

The spelling hale is not found in the rimes; Spenser seems to have regarded the word as a µs ai-word (cf. B. § 78). — avale v. (=low-er, descend; OF avaler) occurs with the spelling -ai- 165 b, 246 a, 447 b, and is rimed: µs ai in those cases (447 b see just above) and 448 a. The µs ai-forms may be due to confusion with avail < OF valoir. — waist s. appears only in the old form wast(e). Not rimed: µs ai;: µs ā 141 a, 309 a; for other rimes cf. § 118. — gait does not occur; only gate: µs ā 50 b, 147 a, 205 b, 356 a, 518 b, 544 b.

B. & C. ME ē: με ā, & ME ē: με ai. No cases.

POPE. A. us ai : us ā. aid, betrayed, delayed, laid, maid. 59 (un)paid, (-)played, strayed, con-, surveyed: brocade, tade, jade, made, masquerade, persuade, shade, spade, trade 15, 17, 18, 27, 27, 31, 34, 52, 73, 79, 80, 82, 86, 91, 107, 122, 129, 134, 138, 150, 164, 165, 167, 172, 174, 180, 180, 211, 213, 213, 214, 241, 259, 260, 274, 288, 330, 388, 392, 394, 449, 453, 470, 475, 479. assail, fail, jail, rail, sail, tail, avail, prevail, veil : dale, gale, Hale, exhale, pale, scale, tale, whale 27, 39, 65, 77, 94, 104, 104, 107, 203, 212, 226, 241, 291, 322, 366, 374, 389, 390, 466. ail them: Balaam 287. (de-, ex-, pro-, re-)claim, aim : blame, dame, fame, (in)flame, frame, game, name, same, shame 44, 74, 102, 117, 117, 121, 131, 160, 161, 161, 169, 199, 203, 216, 226, 250, 254, 264, 276, 308, 339, 374, 378, 389, 451, 470, 483. again, main, ordain, remain, swain, sustain, train: cane, Dane, fane, (-)lane, profane 32, 32, 60, 84, 103, 120, 160, 326, 378, 473. days, praise, rays, raise, surveys; waist: amaze, blaze, gaze, space, placed 29, 80, 81, 84, 119, 119, 121, 158, 165, 167, 227, 232, 253, 378, 382, 417 [conveys, plays: operas 330, 384]. freight, weight, (a)wait: fate, gate, state 77, 91, 117, 158, 160, 183, 231, 310, 383, 385, 408.

B. ME ē: µs ā. break: make 123. great: state 93, 117, 123, 133, 201, 234, etc.; great: estate, fate, rate, sedate 221, 297, 297, 364, 448, 451, 458, 459, 460, 460. — [Cf. great: tête à tête 444].]

C. ME \bar{e} : μe ai. great: eight 479.

Byron's and Swinburne's rimes present E. ei: ei present nothing of interest.

Present E. ea: ea.

60 SPENSER. A. με air: με ār. affair, air, debonair, chair, despair, fair, hair, heir, lair s. (spelt lare 270 b; cf. §37), pair, impair, repair v. (trans. & intr.), stair: bare a., (for)bare pret.,

care, dare, declare, fare, com-, prepare, rare, share, spare, square, three-square, stare, sware pret., (a)ware a. 21 a, 21 b, 22 b, 24 a, 26 a, 28 b, 29 b, 40 a, 43 a, 46 a, 47 b, 54 b, 107 b, 134 b, 135 b, 156 a, 165 a, 182 b, 193 a, 221 b, 245 b, 268 a, 268 b, 270 b, 279 b, 303 a, 311 b, 315 a, 342 a, 344 b, 349 a, 352 b, 368 a, 389 b, 424 b, 474 a, 490 b, 507 b, 521 a, 536 b, 545 a, 583 b, 589 b, 600 a, 603 b, 605 a, 606 a. Besides chayre (= chary): ayre: fayre 187 a, cf. § 37.

Irrelevant cases. Beside the usual com-, prepare (always used in rimes: $\mu\epsilon$ ār, generally also in rimes: $\mu\epsilon$ ār & $\mu\epsilon$ air) there occur in FQ the forms com-, prepaire (always used in rimes: $\mu\epsilon$ air, in rimes: $\mu\epsilon$ ār & $\mu\epsilon$ air 28 b, 40 a) which probably represent a pronunciation with $\mu\epsilon$ air, cf. B. § 87. Outside FQ, $\mu\epsilon$ air-forms occur in the rimes compaire: $\mu\epsilon$ air 494 b; prepayre: $\mu\epsilon$ air 506 b, probably also in fayre a.: compare 455 a.

- B. ME ēr: με ār. forbear, whilere, were: bare a., bare pret., care, fare, prepare, rare, spare, 166 a, 255 b, 292 a, 382 a.
- C. ME \(\varphi\)r: \(\mu\)s air. No certain cases. The rime \(fare: why\)-leare: \(despair: rare \) 57 b may be \(=\mu\)s \(\varphi\)r: \(\mu\)s \(\varphi\)r: \(mu\)s \(\varphi\)r: \(mu\)s \(\varphi\)r: \(mu\)s \(\varphi\)r: \(mu\)r: \(

Pope. A. µe ai(r): µe ār. air: care 28, 42, 45, 72, 163, 169, etc.; fair: care 14, 76, 77, 111, 122, 174, etc.; air, chair, despair, fair, hair, heir, repair; mayor, player, prayer: bare a., care, declare, fare, glare, hare, mare, com-, prepare, rare, share, ensnare, spare, square, stare 33, 33, 34, 74, 75, 77, 82, 84, 85, 99, 102, 106, 107, 128, 129, 137, 156, 171, 175, 184, 236, 237, 239, 243, 250, 252, 258, 262, 292, 294, 298, 302, 310, 314, 342, 380, 380, 383, 401, 414, 421, 444, 450, 451, 452, 465, 492, 495.

B. & C. ME ēr: με ār and: με ai(r). bear v.: care (prepare) 138, 167, 173, 173, 205, 275, etc.; bear s., bear v., swear, there, were, where; Laguerre n. pr. (261): care, dare, mare, rare, share, spare, square, stare, beware 40, 63, 74, 78, 139, 158, 162, 196, 197, 209, 261, 276, 292, 318, 328, 329, 368, 416, 442, 469, 490, 496. — stare: swear: air 319. — bear s., bear v., e'er, swear, there, wear, where: air, chair, despair, fair, hair, heir, repair, Stair n. pr.; prayer 35, 84, 84, 86, 87, 104, 143, 146, 155, 165, 236, 260, 306, 314, 321, 326, 346, 369, 394, 400, 401, 414, 415, 477, 481, 485.

BYRON'S and SWINBURNE'S rimes present E. ea: ea afford nothing of interest.

A. Gabrielson.

61

Present E. æ : æ.

There are no rimes of interest to be recorded.

Present E. aa: aa.

Present E. aa: s of different origin (early Mod. E. [ă] and [au] as in e. g. after and laughter) are not rimed together by Spenser and Pope. In Byron and Swinburne I have paid no attention to this kind of rime.

Present E. 22: 22.

62 a. Rimes to -ought & -aught.

According to B. § 190 f., -ought and -aught are rimed without any restriction in FQ. This is the case also in Spenser's other works, where the rimes are as follows.

-ought. bought, brought, fought, ought v., sought, thought, wrought; (n)ought pron. 471 a, 482 a, 490 b, 492 a, 496 a, 498 a, 501 b, 503 a, 511 b, 514 a, 515 b, 519 b, 523 a, 524 b, 525 b, 527 b, 539 b, 543 a, 543 b, 547 b, 551 a, 552 b, 555 b, 555 b, 556 a, 557 a, 561 a, 575 b, 582 a, 591 a, 595 a, 599 b, 600 a, 601 a, 601 b, 602 a, 604 b, 605 b. — -aught. raught, taught 517 a. — -ought: -aught. brought, ought v.; thought, wrought (spelt-oht 518 a): caught, fraught, taught (spelt-ought 518 a) [(n)ought pron. ~ (n)aught] 475 a, 496 a, 518 a, 526 a, 541 b, 553 b, 583 b, 604 b.

In Pope, the rimes to -ought, -aught are distributed as follows. -ought. bought, brought, fought, ought v., thought, wrought; nought pron. 81, 117, 171, 230, 240, 293, 315, 319, 333, 449, 481. — -aught. No cases. — -ought: -aught. fought, sought, thought: caught, fraught, taught 44, 58, 72, 238, 381, 459, 494. — Besides, there are rimes to (de)fault (cf. Koeppel p. 13): brought, ought, thought 54, 60, 108, 131, 194, 268, 373, 419. — For the rimes thought: groat (3 cases) see § 65.

Byron's and Swinburne's rimes (very frequent) have not been collected.

63 **b.** ME ăl(c).

Spenser. 1) Rom. ăl: Teut. ăl (cf. B. § 183). appall: all, call, (be) fall, etc. 47 b, 90 a, 96 b, etc. call s. (= caul, F. cale): all: pall: appall 54 a. enstall: all etc. 598 b, 600 a. spalles: walles:

falles 109 a. mall s. & v. (cf. NED) : all, call, fall, thrall 49 a, 257 a, 348 b. [Rimes to weak-stressed-al not recorded; cf. B. l. c.]

2) ME aul(c): ME \breve{a} l(c). ball v. (= bawl): call 474 b. crall v. (= crawl): all, small (Artegall, terrestriall) 14 a, 171 b, 217 b. exault: assault: fault: hault pp. (of haul, hale) 140 b.

Pope rimes ME ăl(c) of different origins unhesitatingly with each other and with ME au; and so do, of course, also Byron and SWINBURNE.

c. ME $\ddot{o} \mid f(t)$, $s^{(c)}$, th.

64

To the words of this group there are very few correct rimewords extant outside the group (cf. e. g. Walker, Rhyming Dict.). I have not found any cases of this kind among the rimes of SPENSER and POPE; in the rimes of Byron and SWINBURNE I have not looked for such cases.

d. broad, abroad.

65

66

Spenser. abroad: fraud s. 460 b. — Pope. abroad: catterwaw'd, unthaw'd 147, 201. — BYRON. fraud: broad 684 a.

Swinburne's rimes not recorded.

e. groat.

Spenser. No cases. — Pope. groat: thought 255, 335, 442; groat: fault 442.

Byron's and Swinburne's rimes not recorded.

f. Walker a^3 ($\mu \in w \check{a}_I(c) \& \mu \in au$) : $o^1 \& o^3(\mu \in \check{o}_I(c))$. Spenser. No cases (cf. rimes me ăr : me orc § 122).

POPE. war: abhor 397, 469. warm: form (03) 309, 482.

Byron. Minotaur: wore: more 667 a; Tauris: bore his 770 a. — heretofore: swore: war 159 b; Thor: or: war 620 b. — warm: storm 381 b (rime?); warm: form (03), reform, storm 6 a, 28 b, 58 a, 145 b, 226 b, 333 a, 794 a; warning: morning, scorning 52 a, 715 a; warp us: Corpus (Lat.) 800 b.

SWINBURNE. ward: sword, deplored, restored L 122, A 153. - warder: border, order SS 180, TL 239. aswarm, warm: (-)form (03), storm PB I:96; SS 80, 156; SBS 144, 208; TL 281, 291. warn: horn, scorn PB III: 33; MH 169. warning: morning, scorning PB II: 6, 7, 78, 97, 185; E 11, 105; MH 77; SBS 118, 177; TL 236, 347, 358. — ward(er): afford, ford, horde, sword, hoarder: cord, lord, abhorred, border, order PB III: 181; TL 237; L 42; MH 147. mourning: warning: scorning: morning A 187. warning: dawning: morning PB I: 173.

g. Walker o¹ & o², rimed together.

68

r. Walker $o^1r < ME \ \bar{o} \mid r;$ and pour. [Inflected forms included.]

Spenser. door (spelt -ore), floor (spelt -ore), swore pret., are rimed in the cases given by B. § 110 (i. e. all rimes to floor, swore, not all — probably complete up to p. 222 — to door) with the following µe \(\bar{q}\)r-words: adore, bore pret., afore, before, therefore, gore, lore s., forlore pp., ever-, nathemore, restore, uproar, shore, sore, store, forswore pp. (76 b), tore pp., yore 9 b, 16 a, 37 b, 52 a, 53 b, 63 a, 76 b, 114 b, 115 a, 121 a, 132 b, 143 b, 170 b, 186 b, 205 b, 220 b, 224 a, 256 b, 265 b, 302 a, 330 a, 363 b, 370 b, 396 a. In Spenser's other works I have found only door, floor (both spelt -ore): shore, store, yore 475 a, 606 b (and dore: flore 460 b). — pour'd: Lord: accord 262 a.

Pope. door, floor, swore: bore pret., before, more, o'er, roar, shore, store, tore pret., yore 108, 122, 137, 142, 151, 152, 247, 251, 253, 258, 273, 319, 321, 327, 333, 416, 482 [Besides door(s): floor(s) 445 445]. pour is not rimed with Walker's o' & o'.

Byron. (Only rimes to pour recorded:)

pour: door; adore, boar, before, gore, more, deplore, restore, roar, shore 22 a, 27 b, 58 b, 99 b, 138 a, 285 a, 309 b, 323 b, 350 b, 356 b, 357 a, 376 a, 671 a, 690 a. poured: horde, sword, (undeplored) 99 b, 226 a; poured: hoard, (adored): lord 242 a, 340 a, 541 a.

SWINBURNE'S rimes not recorded.

2. Walker o'r < ME or [Inflected forms included].

SPENSER (cf. B. § 108). abhor (spelt -ore): before, engore, forlore a., lore s., more, sore 39 a, 62 b, 205 a. abhorred (spelt -ord): accord, lord 25 a, 82 b, 319 a, 467 b, 577 b. accord: abhord: Lord: sword 89 b. Lord: adord: abhord 23 a. ror'd: abhor'd: begor'd 285 b.

Pope. abhor: more 297.

Byron. abhor, for, or: before, more, roar, score, shore, restore, tore pret., wore pret., (metaphor,) story; door 67 a, 230 a, 654 a, 681 b, 724 b, 746 a, 761 b, 764 b, 770 a, 797 a.

In Byron's time $\mu \epsilon$ or is quite equivalent to $\mu \epsilon$ or (cf. § 295), so that the inflected forms of abhor (i. e. abhorred) are given § 71.

SWINBURNE'S rimes have not been collected. In SBS there are none.

69 3. Walker o'rc & o'rc [Rimes between inflected forms of

words with Walker $o^1|r$ (final) = ME $\bar{Q} | r$ (final) have not been recorded.

[a. = o^1 : o^1 ; b. = o^3 : o^3 ; c. = o^1 : o^3 . The words in which Walker gives $o^1 \sim o^3$ (cf. § 290) have been treated here as such. Consort v. has also been considered an $o^1 \sim o^3$ -word on the analogy of sort, resort. form does not occur with the signification of *seat* (Walker o^1), and is consequently treated here as an o^3 -word.]

Spenser (cf. B. § 112 ff. The lists are meant to be complete, possibly with the exception of the rimes born(e): (for)lorn, B. § 115).

-rd. a. goord: foord 506 a. (a)board, afford, ford, sword 108 a, 121 a, 140 a, 311 a, 505 a, 528 a. b. chord, cord, accord, record s. & v., lord, border, (dis)order 9 a, 33 a, 92 b, 372 a, 397 a, 400 a, 420 a, 430 a, 533 b. c. board v., afford, sword: adored, scored, restored: accord 125 a, 231 a; aboard, board s. & v., afford, ford, Waterford, hoard v., sword: accord, lord 87 a, 111 a, 289 b, 334 a, 375 b, 376 a, 380 a, 500 a, 515 b, 606 b; bored, adored, gored, restored: accord, record, lord 228 a, 365 b, 530 a, 530 b.

-rm (:rn). b. form, deform, inform, perform, transform, storm, adorn (125, 147, 530, 561) 76 a, 125 a, 147 b, 204 b, 530 b, 561 a. c. storme: torne: forlorne: borne 350 b.

-rn. a. mourns. & v.: forlorn 446 b, 553 b; borne, sworn, torn, (-)worn, (for)lorn, unshorn 27 a, 42 a, 291 b, 454 a, 529 b, 530 b, 543 a. b. born, corn, adorn, horn, morn, suborn, scorn; forlorn, shorn 35 a, 91 a/b, 114 a, 150 b, 152 a, 177 a, 179 a, 187 a, 188 a, 190 a, 275 a, 369 a, 372 a, 375 b, 447 a, 448 b, 490 a, 491 b, 494 a, 496 b, 498 a, 499 a, 500 a, 502 a, 514 a, 515 a, 520 b, 520 b, 524 b, 533 a, 537 b, 551 b, 552 a, 582 a, 587 a, 587 a, 594 a, 597 b. c. mourn: born, scorn; forlorn 173 a, 454 a; mourn: borne, torn; forlorn: morn, scorn 298 b, 336 b, 399 a; borne, beforne, sworn, torn, worn; forlorn, shorn: born, adorn, horn, morn, scorn, thorn 44 b, 89 b, 135 a, 217 a, 269 a, 295 b, 320 b, 335 a, 353 a, 389 b, 454 a, 460 a, 513 a, 577 a, 586 a, 600 b.

-rs. a. course, discourse, recourse, source 264 b, 363 a, 373 a, 415 b, 467 a, 520 a; course, discourse, recourse, source: corse (spelt corpse 482, cf. Walker), force, perforce; remorse, scorse (402) 108 a, 144 b, 300 a, 302 b, 398 a, 402 a, 481 b, 482 a; corse, divorce, (en-, per-) force, scorse (131); remorse 14 a, 23 a, 27 a, 45 a, 89 a, 97 a, 104 b, 131 a, 251 a, 342 a, 343 b, 509 b, 530 b, 601 b.

b. horse, remorse 197 a. c. corse, (per)force, scorse (207); remorse: horse 198 a, 207 b, 309 a, 335 b, 355 a, 364 b.

-rt. a. court: port, report, resort, sport 394 b, 408 a; fort, port, report, support, sort, consort v. (416, 427), resort, sport, disport (effort, purport, consort s.) 31 a, 88 a, 94 b, 146 b, 146 b, 160 a, 161 a, 252 b, 281 b, 297 a, 358 a, 407 a, 416 b, 427 b, 499 b, 523 b, 574 b. b. exhort, short, consort v. (270), distort, tort (comfort, effort) 103 b, 270 b, 358 b. c. Corte (= court): torte s. 523 a; fort, port, report, sort, resort, sport (effort, consort s.): short, tort s., distort, extort 74 a, 141 a, 164 a, 222 b, 232 b, 292 b, 300 b, 428 b, 491 b [sort: consort s.: comfort 105 a; sports: recomforts 520 a].

70 POPE.

-rd. a. board, afford, sword; adored, gored, stored, restored 85, 90, 93, 168, 213, 251, 293, 309, 395. b. accord, record, lord 320, 322, 474. c. (a)board: lord 60, 79, 135, 136, 281, 299, etc.; (a)board, afford, sword, adored, explored, stored: lord 71, 129, 227, 255, 260, 294, 313, 322, 344, 452.

-rm. b. form, reform, perform, storm 197, 305, 400.

-rn. a. mourn; borne, torn, worn; forlorn 20, 150, 164, 408, 452. b. (un)born, corn, adorn, horn, morn, scorn, thorn 20, 27, 28, 28, 29, 31, 101, 115, 158, 167, 171, 311, 383, 395, 396, 418, 471, 496. c. mourn; borne, torn, worn: born, corn, adorn, scorn, thorn 22, 23, 31, 91, 99, 105, 155, 170, 237, 405, 447.

-rs. a. course, discourse, force; remorse 54, 124, 147, 197, 380. c. course, discourse, force, coarse: horse 52, 286, 295, 314, 453, 494, 497.

-rt. a. court; fort, port, report, support, transport, sort, resort, sport 57, 78, 165, 167, 309, 311, 331, 332, 332, 337, 375, 401, 403, 410, 414, 421, 444, 445, 477, 500. c. court, support: short 274, 288, 441, 442 [court: effort 319].

71 Byron.

-rd. 'a. board s., hoard, horde, sword; adored, bored, gored, implored, stored 23 b, 84 a, 168 b, 170 a, 265 b, 312 b, 336 a, 338 a, 642 a, 655 b, 803 b, 808 a. b. abhorred, accord, chord, lord; border, order 12 a, 72 a, 75 b, 135 b, 144 a, 303 a, 540 b, 607 a, 633 b, 734 a. c. afford, board s., hoard, horde, sword; adored, bored, gored, implored, restored, stored: abhorred, accord, record, (-)chord, lord 19 b, 31 a, 56 b, 71 b, 79 a, 79 b, 82 b, 93 a, 94 b, 108 b, 154 a, 158 b, 158 b, 163 a, 168 a, 179 a, 192 a, 201 a, 206 a, 222 a, 250 b, 286 b,

293 a, 295 a, 296 b, 300 a, 332 a, 334 a, 357 a, 365 a, 374 a, 374 b, 375 b, 546 b, 548 a, 641 b, 683 b, 727 a, 761 a, 771 b, 789 b. — Besides Gordon: sword on 101 b.

-rm. b. form, deform, reform, storm 17 a, 18 a, 18 b, 19 a, 32 b, 41 a, 41 b, 68 a, 74 b, 171 a, 242 a, 243 b, 285 a, 302 b, 345 a, 346 a, 714 a [storm: uniform 736 a]. — Besides uniform: storm: Cairn Gorme 759 b.

-rn. a. mourn, borne, torn, sworn, worn; forlorn, shorn 4 b, 22 b, 42 a, 95 a, 173 b, 207 b, 237 a, 252 b, 261 a, 265 b, 302 a, 316 a, 324 a, 701 a, 737 a. b. adorn, born, corn, horn, morn, scorn (Leghorn); corner, Horner n. pr.; forlorn 16 b, 18 a, 22 b, 37 a, 37 b, 42 b, 70 b, 117 a, 140 b, 154 a, 171 b, 216 b, 226 b, 307 b, 311 a, 381 b, 548 b, 549 b, 652 b, 698 b, 748 a, 781 b. c. mourn, borne, sworn, torn, (-)worn; (-)shorn: adorn, borne, horne (& Horn n. pr.), morn, thorn, scorn(er), corner, hornet 40 b, 64 b, 66 a, 73 a, 139 a, 144 b, 186 a, 186 a, 186 a, 201 b, 225 a, 229 b, 251 a, 256 b, 282 a, 302 a, 308 a, 314 b, 315 b, 322 b, 337 b, 347 b, 349 a, 359 b, 365 b, 674 b, 686 b, 726 b, 773 a, 802 b, 831 b, 834 a.

-18. a. corse, course, recourse, (per)force, source, resource, divorce; remorse 3 a, 6 a, 14 a, 18 b, 20 a, 33 a, 34 a, 45 a, 47 b, 96 b, 231 a, 252 b, 255 b, 265 a, 267 a, 269 a, 274 a, 282 a, 290 a, 294 a, 304 a, 311 a, 318 b, 357 a, 381 b, 618 a, 646 b, 650b, 692 b, 714 b, 728 a, 743 a, 792 a, 823 b. b. morsel: a horse ill 667 b. c. coarse, corse, course, force, source, resource: horse 22 b, 31 b, 97 b, 187 a, 251 a, 307 b, 332 a, 377 a, 628 a, 706 a, 834 b.

-rt. a. court, fort s., port, import, report, sport; forte (F.; = $0^3 \sim 0^1$? Dictionaries differ.), sort, resort 12 a, 32 a, 33 b, 45 b, 127 b, 136 a, 173 b, 197 a, 282 b, 332 b, 370 b, 705 a, 715 b, 767 b, 808 b. b. retort, short, shorten, shorter, forty, fortune, importune, torture; forte (F.; = $0^3 \sim 0^1$?) 105 b, 620 b, 649 a, 704 b, 764 a. Besides, sort: short: »all amort» 728 b. c. court, port s., report, support, transport, sport; forte (F.; = $0^3 \sim 0^1$?), sort, resort: short, retort, forty 4 b, 15 b, 272 b, 272 b, 286 a, 655 b, 689 a, 718 a, 721 b, 733 b, 738 b, 804 a, 818 b.

-rth. c. forth: north 47 a, 117 a, 139 b.

b. (dis)gorge: George 72 a, 108 b. c. torch: porch 253 b, 267 b, 280 b, 300 b, 607 a. c. abortions: portions: distortions 746 b.

As an illustration of SWINBURNE'S usage I give the rimes 72 occuring in SBS and TL.

b. absorb, disorb TL 117.

73

-rd. a. poured, board, sword SBS 161; TL 145. b. abhorred, accord, record, lord SBS 215; TL 117, 152, 229. c. poured: lord SBS 138; TL 89. Ford n. pr. (TL 284), horde, sword, adored, roared, restored: abhorred, chord, lord SBS 31, 90, 115, 116, 124, 135, 144, 189, 221; TL 18, 20, 120, 127, 129, 145, 174, 178, 181, 224, 284.

-rm. b. deform, storm SBS 114; TL 95 [multiform: storm TL 14].

-rn. a. mourn, forlorn TL 135. b. born, corn, horn, morn, scorn, thorn; forlorn SBS 3, 27, 98, 140, 142, 154, 154, 159, 163, 198, 223, 236, 236; TL 16, 23, 26, 48, 60, 67, 76, 98, 115, 134, 206, 230, 308, 350. c. mourn, borne, forsworn, torn, worn: born, corn, morn, scorn SBS 201, 242, 275; TL 49, 107, 107, 196, 217, 271, 290, 325.

-rs. a. course, force, source SBS 73, 82, 121.

-rt. c. portal, mortal TL 184.

-rth. c. forth: north SBS 186, 241; TL 102, 117, 131, 155, 157, 211.

-rge. b. gorge s., George TL 272.

Present E. ou: ou.

a. Rimes to ME ŏl(c) & ōlc.

I) ME $\delta l^{(c)}$ & ME δl^{c} rimed together (Rom. words : Teut. words).

SPENSER. enrolled (spelt -old, -oll'd), controlled (spelt comptrold), mould (< OF; spelt -old 240, 418, 547): bold, cold, en-, unfold, mani- (etc.) -fold, hold s. & v., old, told, yold pp.; gold 21 b, 27 b, 46 a, 46 a, 82 b, 97 a, 112 a, 116 b, 132 a, 147 b, 165 b, 167 a, 176 b, 182 b, 211 a, 240 a, 327 b, 340 b, 418 b, 431 b, 432 b, 507 a, 547 a, 551 a, 555 b [mould (Teut.?): behold 548 a, 581 a].

POPE. roll: bowl (Teut.) 290. — mould, (en)rolled: behold, old, (un)told; gold 34, 38, 72, 115, 123, 156, 158, 170, 264, 264, 405.

2) ME ŏl(c) (ōlc no cases): ME ou.

Spenser. rowle v.: sowle (= soul) 266 a.

POPE. roll s. & v.: soul 73, 86, 110, 110, 111, 155, etc.

3) ME $\breve{ol}^{(c)}$ (\bar{ol}^c no cases): ME \vec{Q} (: ME ou).

SPENSER. rolls s.: scrolls (ME scrowe + 1; Skeat Et. D.): holes 131 a. — folke: cloke v. 449 b.

Pope. (en)roll: pole 39, 111, 123, 154, 170, 302, etc. (en)roll, control: hole, pole, shoal, stole pret., whole 44, 199, 209, 369, 376, 410. toll: vole 331. folks: jokes 255, 444.

Byron's and Swinburn's rimes of this kind have not been collected.

b. ME ou : ME $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$. Spenser (cf. B. § 191).

74

με ου: με \bar{Q} . blow v. (OE $\bar{a}w$), bow s., (-)flow, grow, know, (be)low, row s., show, (for)slow (spelt - oe 465), snow: foe, fro, (-)go, ago, ygo, lo, moe(OE $\bar{m}a$), so, throe (spelt - ow 536), woe 31 b, 33 b, 52 b, 181 a, 222 b, 253 b, 294 a, 333 b, 349 b, 352 a 363 b, 409 a, 413 a, 455 b, 459 b, 461 b, 464 b, 464 b, 465 b, 467 a, 474 b, 474 b, 519 b, 536 b, 553 a, 596 b [blow v. (OE $\bar{a}w$): Braggadochio 92 b]. overgrown, known, own a., (thrown): alone, groan v. 459 a, 471 b, 543 a, 557 a. showes s.: woes: foes 595 b. — Cf. row v.: blow (OE $\bar{o}w$): show: Jove 107 a (and the rimes wroth: με \bar{Q} : με ου 194 b, 286 b; § 128).

The rimes $\mu\epsilon\ \bar{Q}:throw\ s.$ (spelt - o, - oe 335, 419, 536) and possibly: $throw\ v.$ (spelt - oe 50, 97) may be meant as rimes $\mu\epsilon\ \bar{Q}:\mu\epsilon\ \bar{Q}$, as there is an old $\mu\epsilon\ \bar{Q}-variant$ at least of $throw\ s.$ (cf. B. l. c.; CD). The rimes are as follows: $(over)throw\ s.$: foe, go, ygo, so, tho adv. 298 b, 335 b, 419 b, 536 b; $throw\ v.$: foe, go, so, woe (,also) 50 b, 97 b, 131 b, 311 b, 312 a; over-throwne: grone inf. 528 a; $throw'th: loth\ a.: go'th\ 368\ a.$

POPE.

75

blow s., blow v. (OE āw), bow, flow, glow, grow, know, (be)low, owe, row s., Rowe n. pr., show, slow, bestow, (-)throw (205, 227): De Foe, foe, (-)go, ago, Po n.pr., Soho n.pr., woe; poet; à propos (444, 481), beau (72, 73, 483) 15, 42, 51, 55, 60, 63, 65, 72, 73, 82, 84, 87, 91, 91, 93, 99, 101, 103, 105, 107, 111, 129, 133, 143, 149, 149, 152, 160, 170, 177, 181, 182, 198, 205, 213, 214, 218, 227, 227, 243, 246, 274, 279, 312, 315, 316, 328, 330, 340, 341, 382, 388, 444, 445, 463, 472, 479, 481, 483, 496, 498, 502. —bestow'd: load 314. soul: whole 51, 194, 199, 202, 203, 204, etc. soul: goal, hole, pole, stole pret. 106, 150, 225, 230, 297, 309, 323, 333, 399, 487. blown (OE āw), (-)grown, (un)known, own a., (dis)own, shown, thrown (157, 298): alone, atone, bone, groan, hone s., (be)moan, postpone, stone, tone, throne, zone 23, 23, 34, 37, 39, 43, 45, 76, 85, 102, 105, 121, 122, 122, 139, 157, 169, 169, 171, 197, 206, 212, 218, 241, 241, 253, 253, 283, 298, 298, 302,

303, 316, 323, 370, 406, 408, 411, 415, 457, 471, 495, 495, 497; (un)known: alone 43, 194, 209, 225, 233, 396, 409, etc.; own a.: throne 158, 163, 282, 283, 342, 376, etc. [known, own, shown: Addison, Calydon 169, 264, 382]. — blows s. pl., blows pres. (OE āw), (-)flows, glows, grows, knows, owes, rows s. pl., bestowes, (-)throws (108, 313): nose, ex-, repose, (a)rose pret., those, woes, beaux (85, 473) 85, 87, 108, 110, 145, 152, 180, 182, 209, 224, 253, 313, 385, 473, 492.

76 As an illustration of Byron's and Swinburne's usage I give the rime-words used in the rimes of this kind in CH and SBS, and the number of these rimes.

Byron, CH. blow, flow, glow, grow, know, (be)low, mow, show, snow: foe, fro, go, lo, moe, woe (24 cases). o'erflowed, stowed load, road (1 case). blown, grown, unknown, own, shown, - thrown: alone, bone, groan, prone, stone, tone, throne, zone (9 cases). flows, glows, grows, knows, shows, bestows, throws: disclose, foes, repose, rose s., rose pret., those, throes, woes (7 cases).

SWINBURNE, SBS. blow s. & v., flow, glow, grów, know, low, row s., show, snow, sow: fro, go, ago, lo, so, throe, woe, poet (12 cases). flown, (un)grown, known, own a., thrown: alone, bone, stone, tone, throne (8 cases). blow s., flows, know s., row s., snows: close, goes, rose s., rose pret. (4 cases). growth: loth a. (1 case).

Present E. o: o.

με wă : με ŏ.

77

78

Spenser. No cases.

Pope. what:not 340.

Byron. wander: ponder 12 a, 25 b, 29 a, 312 b. Suwarrow: sorrow 737 b [cf. moral: coral: laurel 648 b].

SWINBURNE. swallow s., swallow v., wallow: Apollo, follow, hollow PB I:61 (3 times), 62 (5 times), 63 (2 times), 112, 195; PB II:2, 4, 5, 148, 168; MH 72; L 46, 47; A 212; SSp 106; SS 73, 166; CR3; SBS 54, 58, 79, 132, 137; TL 199, 237. wand: beyond SS 82. wander: squander: yonder SS 181 [cf. laurel: sorrel PB II:71].

Present E. uw: uw; ua: ua.

a. ME eu eu, ü, rimed together.

Spenser. According to B. § 168, ME eu, eu, \ddot{u} are rimed indiscriminately together in FQ. This is so in Spenser's other

works as well; as an illustration I give the rimes ME eu: ME eu , \bar{u} .

ME eu: ME eu. ewe s.: shewe inf. 452 b.

ME eu: ME eu, ü. dew, bedew, few, rew s. (=row), shew inf., shewed (520, 555), strewed (597), thewed (OE pēaw; 597): hue s. (OE hēow), anew, renew, true, grew, threw, drew pret. (analogical); embue, crew s. (OF crue), due, endue (=endow), mue v. (OF muer), ensue, persue, view (all spelt - ew,-ewe) 450 a, 485 a, 499 b, 503 a (7 times), 514 a, 520 b, 540 a, 553 b, 555 b, 556 b, 561 b, 579 b, 580 b, 596 b, 597 b, 599 b, 601 a, 606 a, 606 b. — Equivalent to these are the rimes beautie: dewtie 582 a, 596 a, 602 a (beauty has με eu, cf. Luick, Anglia 14, 293).

POPE'S, BYRON'S, and SWINBURNE'S rimes, being of no interest, are not recorded here.

b. you, youth, choose, your(s).

79

SPENSER. you and youth (cf. Luick, Anglia 14, 291; B§ 131 f.) are treated as ME eu, \overline{u} -words by Spenser. Rimes. you: hue, (a) new, renew, rue v., askew, true; knew, threw; embrue, crew s., accrue, due, endue v., subdue, (en) sue, pursue, view 8 b, 9 b, 32 b, 83 a, 278 b, 312 a, 331 a, 365 b, 397 a, 435 a, 435 b, 461 a, 528 b, 573 b, 581 a, 584 b, 585 a, 589 b. — youth: ruth, truth, ensueth 17 a, 39 b, 91 b, 113 b, 138 a, 292 a, 449 a.

Besides choose (loose a.: choose: loose v. (= lose) 135 b) and chose (with με $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$, cf. § 137), Spenser uses the common ME and early Mod. E. inf. chuse (cf. Luick l. c.,; B. § 171): abuse v., infuse, refuse v., muse s., use 87 a, 108 a, 116 a, 155 b, 521 a, 535 a. your(s) is rimed only with με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$, see § 143.

Pope. you: dew, bedew, shrew; new; true; knew, drew, flew; adieu, crew, due, subdue, pursue, view; Alpeu, Kew 18, 95, 101, 101, 102, 107, 109, 110, 139, 141, 175, 175, 243, 246, 443, 449, 452, 453, 464, 478, 479, 480, 483, 485, 487, 490, 493; you: do, too, two 142, 320, 340, 344, 443, 452, 462. youth: truth 311, 366, 456, 458, 493, 494; youth: tooth 478. choose: dues, muse s. 99, 132, 155. yours: endures 345.

Byron's and Swinburne's rimes not recorded.

c. ME ęu, eu, ü : ME ō.

80

Spenser. use: abuse: bruse (= bruise): loose v. 395 b. — Uncertain are Peru: trew a.: vew v. 79 a; Meriflure n. pr.: allure

553 a. — feuil seems to have only $\mu \in \bar{0}$ in Spenser; the rimes are food: mood 50 a (and food: feood: blood: brood 232 b), cf. B. § 168.

Pope. true: too 50, 64, 129, 215, 220, 456, etc.; new, news, true; grew, knew; crew, due, pursue, view: (-)do, to, too, two; shoes 33, 62, 63, 63, 106, 123, 147, 149, 150, 150, 179, 207, 210, 223, 230, 233, 253, 276, 298, 299, 303, 308, 325, 329, 413, 415 [Equivalent are (a)new, view: through (,too) 119, 273, 298, 476; true: billetdoux 74]. rule: fool 52, 55, 56, 62, 131, 201, 204, etc.; rule: cool, school, tool 148, 243, 291, 310, 336, 344, 409, 420, 483 [ridicules: fools 239, 316]. fume, consume, presume: bloom, groom, room 370, 478, 495 [blooms: perfumes s. 109]. use: goose 209. muse s.: use: lose 86, 475. fruit: root, shoot; do't 193, 291, 463. cure, endure, secure, sure: poor 124, 255, 296, 311, 331. monsieur: tó her 183.

Byron's and Swinburne's rimes not recorded.

81

Present E. u:u.

For rimes to could, should, would see § 324. — As to rimes ME $\bar{0}$: ME \bar{u} = present E. u:u, they cannot be numerous, as both these present E. u-groups are rather small and as the words generally disagree in their endings. — In Spenser I have found no cases; in Pope only one, foot: put 497. I have not looked for rimes of this kind in Byron and Swinburne.

Present E. au(a): au(a).

There are no rimes of interest to be recorded.

Present E. v:v.

Rimes of interest, see § 332 ff.

82

Present E. əə: əə.

SPENSER.

με ĭr: με ĭr. dirk: mirk 474 a. birth: mirth 484 a.

μs ĕr: μs ĕr. her: prefer 594 a. herd s., heard; de-, pre-, transferred 251 a, 467 b. inquerd (= enquired cf. § 237): herd pp. 42 a. con-, discern, earn, gerne (= grin), learn, stern, yearn; term s. 12 a, 41 a, 96 b, 193 b, 238 a, 280 a, 293 b, 321 a, 338 b, 350 a, 356 a, 365 a, 375 b, 485 b, 498 a, 517 b, 524 b. hearse s., rehearse, unherse, (di)sperse, reverse, verse, universe (529) 9 a, 168 a, 175 a,

287 a, 310 a, 471 b, 472 b, 481 a, 482 (repeatedly), 492 a, 496 b, 529 b, 561 b, 599 b [theaters: sepulchers 490 b]. e(a)rst adv., dispersed, subversed 51 a, 127 a, 228 b. desert (< deserve), expert v., astert v., revert, subvert 362 a, 482 b. de-, ob-, pre-, serve; swerve; fervour 137 a, 186 b, 199 a, 252 a, 353 b, 359 b, 452 b, 517 a, 552 a, 554 a, 555 a, 594 a, 594 b, 600 b. — In some of these rimes Spenser may have meant the rime-vowel to be $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ (cf. §§ 231, 353), in others $\mu\epsilon$ a (cf. §§ 169; 344 ff.); to what extent it is impossible to tell.

με ŭr: με ŭr. cur, fur 474 b. lurk, work 165 a, 264 b, 552 a, 556 b, 583 b. world, hurled (spelt horld) 529 a. burn v., (re)-turn 40 b, 156 a, 208 a, 213 a, 323 a, 325 b, 326 a, 367 a, 510 a, 535 b, 577 b. curse, nurse, worse 424 a, 475 a. burst, accursed, durst 240 b.

με ĭr: με ĕr. No certain cases. birth, mirth (spelt -e-) [: dearth, earth 20 b, 25 b, 61 a, 188 a, 198 b, 246 a, 490 a, 528 a] and first [: erst 100 b, 485 a] may have με ĕr (< OE y, Morsbach § 127 ff.) as well as firm, confirm (ME ĕ; spelt -e- occasionally still in the 16th c.: NED) [rimed: term 171 a].

με ĭr: με ŭr. No certain cases. stir v. (spelt -u-) [: cur s. 474 b]; first (spelt -u- 218, 360) [: accursed, burst, durst, nursed 218 a, 360 a, 423 b, 434 b, 557 a, 600 b]; thirst (spelt -u-) [: accursed, burst, nursed 14 b] may have με ŭr (< OE ỹ). — bird [: words 153 a] and dirt (spelt -u-) [: hurt 450 b] have the exceptional transcription u in Gill, which points to ME ŭ-forms of these words; cf. further, regarding the possibility of ME ŭ (and ME ĕ) in these and other words, below § 350 ff.

με ĕr: με ŭr. heardes s. (= shepherds): words 555 b.— This is not, however, an absolutely certain case, as Spenser may have had in view the form werd (cf. werk = work; swerd = sword § 49) used in Paston Letters (Dib. § 112); cf. Lagamon's eo-form, Sweet HES p. 330.

POPE.

a. sir, stir 235. stirred, third, bird 123, 417. mirth, birth 147. b. err, con-, pre-, transfer, her 101, 161, 201. heard, averred 133. German, sermon 477. con-, discern, learn 444, 477, 483. serve,

observe 418 [idolater: confer 141]. Besides Berlin: sterling 473; Vernon: concern one 294; Hervey's: Jervas 474.

- c. absurd, word 315. lurk, Turk, work 312, 474. curled, hurled, world 195, 199, 201, 273, 317. burn: (-) turn 45, 145, 160, 161, 211, 237, 373, etc.; adjourn, burn, spurn, (-)turn, urn 110, 150, 278, 383, 420, 473, 492. (ac)curse, disburse, nurse, purse, worse 146, 204, 218, 239, 254, 301, 302, 330, 334, 474. (ac)cursed, durst, worst 32, 120, 452. further, murther 498. Besides Wortley: hurt lie 474.
- d. birth: earth 198, 239, 292, 339, 419, 447, etc.; mirth: earth 399.
- e. bird, third: word 441, 453, 490. whirl: curl 397. first, thirst: cursed, burst, worst 218, 241, 250, 273, 303, 364, 483. dirt: hurt 344. birth: worth 250, 302.

f. learn: turn 52. earth: worth 301.

84 Byron.

- a. fir, stir (,sepulchre, theatre) 196 b, 337 b. first: thirst 349 b. dirty, thirty, shirt, virtue 106 b, 633 b, 766 a, 780 b, 811 a. birth: mirth 253 a.
- b. con-, de-, in-, pre-, transfer, err, her, aver (barometer etc.) 28 b, 128 a, 230 b, 237 a, 284 b, 321 a, 333 b, 361 b, 667 a, 694 b, 790 b, 798 a, 828 a, 832 b. ermine, determine, sermon, vermin 770 a, 800 b, 807 a. earn, learn, yearn, con-, discern, Oxenstiern, stern s. &. a., kernes s., earnest 18 b, 42 a, 134 a, 147 b, 167 a, 261 a, 272 a, 292 b, 298 a, 299 a, 307 a, 340 a, 636 b, 651 b, 778 a, 790 b, 811 b. rehearse, verse, converse, kersey, Jersey 25 b, 42 b, 66 b, 75 a, 132 b. alert, inert, pert, assert, desert, insert, convert, wert 2 sg. pret., certain 208 a, 377 a, 770 b, 809 a. nerve, serve, de-, ob-, pre-, reserve, swerve, Jervis, servise, servant 20 a, 28 b, 46 a, 98 a, 192 b, 372 b, 627 b, 795 a. dearth, earth 327 b, 358 b. perch, search 757 a. exertion, immersion, as-, desertion, a-, reversion, tertian, Persian 60 a, 154 a, 626 a, 630 b, 657 a, 677 b, 795 a, 797 b.
- c. cur, spur, demur, occur II a, 376 b. curb, turbot 836 b. absurd, word 28 b, 137 a, 616 b. surf, turf 82 a, 342 b. lurk, Turk, work, murky, Turkey I2 b, 57 a, 87 a, 134 a, 145 b, 198 b, 704 a, 733 b. curl, furl, hurl, world 5 b, 34 b, 37 b, 58 b, 123 a, 168 a, 171 a, 184 a, 297 a, 301 a, 311 a, 337 a, 353 a, 547 a, 681 b, 759 a. burn, spurn, (-)turn, urn, Burns n. pr., Gurney n. pr., attorney, journey (,sojourn) 3 b, 18 b, 20 a, 20 b, 36 a, 36 b, 49 b, 54 b,

65 a, 76 a, 103 a, 115 a, 121 a, 134 b, 136 b, 172 a, 186 a, 213 b, 227 a, 346 a, 362 a, 545 a, 643 a, 647 a, 675 a, 737 a, 777 a. curse, nurse, purse, worse, worst, burst 73 b, 95 a, 130 b, 158 a, 188 b, 303 b, 315 a, 340 b, 650 a, 667 b, 715 b, 751 b, 773 a. hurt, curtsey 781 a. church, purchase 155 a. purge, surge, urge, scourge 24 a, 47 b, 121 a, 179 a, 277 a, 290 b. — Besides Bourbon: burden 604 a.

d. sir, stir: err, her (minister) 243 a, 701 b. bird, gird, third, stirred: heard, herd, erred, transferred 129 a, 162 b, 254 a, 309 b, 329 b, 351 b, 361 b, 549 b, 660 b, 782 b, 799 a. girl, whirl: earl, pearl 770 a, 800 b, 823 a. firm: germ, term 815 a. dirt, shirt: alert, inert, assert 736 a, 736 b. birth: earth 9 a, 12 b, 37 a, 47 b, 53 b, 57 a, 77 b, etc. (35 cases); birth, girth, mirth: dearth, earth 18 b, 55 b, 63 b, 64 a, 73 b, 80 b, 95 b, 161 b, 204 a, 216 a, 240 a, 283 b, 298 a, 305 b, 636 b, 640 a, 711 b, 811 b. — Besides verses: Thyrsis 332 b.

e. bird, third, stirred, girdle: absurd, occurred, word, curdle 217 a, 375 a, 600 b, 639 b, 836 b, 839 b. dirk, mirk: lurk, Turk, work 667 b, 690 a, 736 a. twirl, whirl: curl, hurl, purl s., churl, world 36 b, 186 a, 776 b, 781 a. first, thirst: burst, (ac)cursed, durst, nursed, worst 61 b, 78 b, 107 a, 116 a, 134 a, 140 b, 156 b, 236 a, 269 a, 272 b, 282 a, 289 a, 303 a, 312 a, 338 a, 341 b, 549 a, 618 a, 706 b, 735 b, 744 b, 745 a, 761 b, 812 a. dirt, shirt, virtue: (un)hurt 179 b, 630 a. birth, mirth: worth 3 a, 37 a, 76 a, 139 b, 259 b, 299 a, 310 a, 322 b. dirge: surge 275 b, 355 b. — Besides girds: Kurds n. pr. 726 b; myrtle: turtle 258 a.

f. her: demur, suburban, turban 371 b, 621 a. (-)heard, herd, erred, conferred: absurd, word, in-, occurred, spurred 25 a, 33 b, 38 a, 141 a, 147 a, 163 a, 237 b, 251 b, 253 b, 262 a, 269 b, 272 a, 277 b, 287 a, 298 a, 299 a, 306 a, 320 a, 320 b, 373 a, 623 b, 688 a, 728 b, 775 a, 824 a. early: curly, surly 766 b. earn, learn, concern, stern s. & a.: burn, spurn, (-)turn, urn 190 a, 230 a, 256 a, 261 a, 272 b, 312 a, 356 b, 368 b, 617 b, 627 b, 629 a, 640 b, 664 b, 741 b, 781 a, 792 a, 828 b, 837 b. rehearse, disperse, terse, verse, con-, reverse, universe, werst s., person (,hexameters): curse, nurse, worse, burst 8 a, 19 b, 56 b, 59 a, 68 a, 120 a, 122 a, 123 a, 127 a, 137 b, 166 a, 237 b, 337 b, 370 a, 381 b, 383 a, 619 b, 649 b, 678 b, 719 b, 720 a, 723 b. pert, as-, desert, certain: hurt, curtain 375 a, 648 b. dearth, earth, berth: worth 21 a, 54 a, 85 b, 154 b, 312 b, 659 b. search, perch: lurch, church 309 a, 660 b, 835 b. emerge, verge: surge, urge 229 b, 303 b, 695 a, 826 b. assertion, version, aversion: excursion 625 b, 685 a. — Besides pearl: Curll n. pr. 116 a.

g. stir: err: recur 89 b. bird, third: herd, heard: absurd, word, incurred 228 b, 666 b, 670 b, 736 b. girl: pearl: curl 677 a. birth, mirth: earth: worth, Worth n. pr. 76 b, 201 b, 364 b, 368 b, 715 a, 771 b.

85 SWINBURNE.

As an illustration of Swinburne's usage I give the rimes occurring in SBS and TL.

- a. bird, stirred SBS 61, 111, 222; TL 67. birth, mirth TL 91. Besides kirtle: myrtle TL 178.
- b. err, her SBS 37; TL 158. ermine, vermine SBS 184. learn, yearn, discern, eternal, vernal TL 184, 205. hearse, verse, a-, converse TL 297, 399. serve, deserve, swerve TL 64, 103.
- c. sturdy, wordy TL 324. Turk, work TL 174. curl, furl, hurl, world SBS 183, 193, 225, 241, 244, 268; TL 132, 142, 156, 212, 252, 288. burn, spurn, (re)turn, urn. SBS 136, 195; TL 29, 46, 172, 337. curse, worse TL 48, 96.
- d. stir: her(s) SBS 22; TL 156. bird, third, stirred: heard TL 56, 249, 262, 342, 358. girl: pearl TL 251. birth: earth SBS 26, 40, 61, 64, etc. (19 cases); TL 26, 51, 68, 93, etc. (11 cases); mirth: earth SBS 191, 242, 264; TL 24, 28, 35, 46, etc. (15 cases). birth, firth, girth, mirth: earth SBS 147, 150, 188, 243, 248; TL 71, 113, 130, 133, 142, 202, 234, 247.
- e. bird(s): word(s) SBS 68; TL 40, 52, 67, 71, etc. (II cases); bird, gird, stirred: absurd, word TL 57, 259, 298. girl, thirl, whirl: curl, refurl, world SBS 10; TL 250. first, (a)thirst: burst, (ac)-cursed, durst, worst SBS 24, 77, 138; TL 77, 155. mirth: worth TL 334, 348.
- f. herb: curb SBS 146. heard: word SBS 14, 17, 42, 48, etc. (10 cases); TL 10, 33, 45, 71, etc. (18 cases). heard, deferred, erred: word SBS 53, 97. guerdon: burden SBS 89, 264. (-)pearl: (-)furl, (-)hurl, world TL 52, 102, 198, 200. germ, term: worm SBS 20, 252. learn, yearn, fern: burn, turn SBS 70, 117, 166, 283; TL 7, 31, 70, 78, 92, 145, 147, 195, 245. disperse, mercy: (ac)-curse SBS 52, 76, 121. earth: worth SBS 74, 110; TL 32, 33, 43, 94, 240, 315, 353. emerge, verge: surge TL 128. Besides Sterne n. pr.: burn TL 217.
- g. bird, engird, third, stirred: heard, herd, preferred: word SBS 49, 200, 226, 233, 260; TL Ded., 187, 187, 230, 255, 264, 274, 337. whirl: pearl: curl, churl TL 197. birth, mirth: earth: worth SBS 54, 145, 152, 233; TL 218.

C. Rimes incorrect in present English.

I. Rimes containing two different rime-vowels (in present E. pronunciation).

Present E. ij:ai.

86

SPENSER. µE \(\varphi\): µE \(\varphi\): hegre: chevalrie:nie (= nigh) 241 b. see: dye v. (= die):cry:destinie 415 a [bee v.:knee:Idolatree:satisfie 223 a; hye (= high):descry:solemnity:Cymodoce 290 b]. grief, lief, prief, relief:life, rife, strife, wife 56 b, 166 a, 247 b, 516 b, 578 a, 594 a, 599 b. while:smile:keele:heele 538 a. field, shield, yield:vilde (= vile), wild; defiled, exiled 38 b, 575 b, 580 b. been, green, seen:twines., vine 496 a, 505 b. weene:teenes.:medicine:eyne 293 a. bin (= be, pres. pl., probably stands for been):tyne s.:eyne 298 a. pype s.:keepe 339 a. achieve (spelt-ive, -yve):alive, arrive, deprive 184 b, 582 b. — Uncertain is chylde:fylde pp. (= felt; for feel'd?) 420 a.

με ai : με \bar{I} . seize : rize : avise : enterprise 50 b. Wallis gives με ai (ei) ~ με $\bar{\xi}$ in seize as in receive, deceit (Morel p. 84; cf. Horn Gr. § 79,5, Anm. 2). [Equivalent is probably felicitie : away 560 a, cf. B. § 22.]

The following rimes are probably correct, and due to phonetic variants:

με ai : με ai. conceipt s. (= plan, thought) : height 553 a. Cf. above seize.

με ē: με ē. seeke: eeke v.: misleeke v. (= -like): cheeke 305 a. seeke: lyeke s. 513 a. shrieve v. (= shrive): misbelieve, grieve, mieve; eve s. 293 b, 470 b [flie v. 577 a (: tree) is = flee, cf. Gill: »Dialectus variat», i. e. με ī ~ με ē]. — like a. (cf. lich § 33) [NED»... 4-5 (also 6, arch.) lich . . . 4-5 lick (e . . . 5 lek (e . . . 6 leeke . . . »] may have a regular με ĭ (or με ē) on the same grounds as rich, ditch (,screech), cf. Björkman p. 147², Luick, Anglia 16, 507; then the form leeke would be on the same footing with shriek, cf. Luick l. c. — (mis)like v. (NED . . . »6-7 leeke») may have με ē from the adj. — shrieve is remarkable; but the spelling undoubtedly points to με ē. — Here possibly belongs also sweet: meet: sprite 78 a; the edition of 1611 has spreete (cf. Globe Ed. p. 687 a), and

A. Gabrielson.

87

88

in Shakespeare (Folio 1623) the *spelling spreet for spirit, sprigte, or spright, is very common * (Ellis p. 967).

με Ι : με Ι. shrike, shright: με Ι II8 a, 203 b, 337 a, 500 a, 502 b; Flourdelice: με Ι 340 b; frize s. (= frost): freese v. 432 b; frize v. (= freeze): με Ι 410 b; prerogative: reprive: alive 294 a; strive: reprive 310 a/b. — shrike, shright have originally ME I (cf. Luick, Anglia 16, 507; B. § 16); freeze v. has a ME form with -i-: NED »4-6 frise...» (cf. B. § 46); reprive (= acquit, set free, save, etc.) may be coined on Lat. privare (cf. B. § 60).

POPE. Racine, magazine: divine, line 315, 366. caprice: nice, vice 56, 206.

besiege : oblige 277, 441 are correct rimes με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$, see § 175. Byron. ravine : pine 613 b.

SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. ia: aia.

Spenser. • desire: entire: expire: shere (= sheer) 167 b. here: fire: desire 264 b. fearfull: direfull 551 b. — The rimes must be interpreted as $\mu \in \overline{I}(r): \mu \in \overline{C}(r)$. Cf. § 236; for sheer § 229.

Pope. peer: shire 282 contains the usual early Mod. E. µs ē-form of shire, given already by Gill in the compound Worcestershire, in the single word by Cooper, Jones, EO, Lediard, etc. The regular µs ī-form is not recorded by Ellis, or by Sweet in HES; some late 18th c. authorities for this form are given by Walker (in later editions, not in that of 1791) under shire. — [According to B. § 9, Spenser rimes shire: µs ī; the compound Devonshire is rimed: µs ī 133 a.]

BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases. (Compounds with -shire have been disregarded).

Present E. i:ai.

For rimes wind s. : µs ī see § 251.

Spenser. Rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ĭ: $\mu\epsilon$ ī are probably Ind (= India): behind, bind, find, unkind, assigned 33 a, 38 a, 42 a [Gill gives Ind with $\mu\epsilon$ ĭ; yet a variant with $\mu\epsilon$ ī may of course have existed, cf. ME frēnd χ frendshipe, frendly; chīld χ chīlder; Orrm's behínde χ behinnden, etc. Grundr. § 87]; possibly also give: drive, alive, bylive, rive, strive, revive III a, 212 b, 403 b; live: give:

drive: thrive 400 a, live: ative, drive inf., (gain) strive, thrive 264 b, 518 a, 527 b [or = $\mu \epsilon \bar{\imath}$: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$? cf. § 94; a difference, in Spenser's pronunciation, between the vowels of live and give cannot be inferred from his rimes].

In child: build: exil'd 426 a, build has, no doubt, the regular µs I (cf. § 94). maligne: benigne: indigne: bring 233 a is based on the learned pronunciation evidenced by Gill's transcriptions-ign, -ingn of benign, condign; and this learned pronunciation has probably been in Spenser's mind also when riming things: signes 420 a (cf. Luick, E. St. 26, 270). In clim: swim: him 179 b the spelling points to the usual ME (= present dialectal E.) form of climb with µs I (cf. NED). — The rimes (for)give, live: drive 58 b, 179 a, 405 b, 428 b; give: drive: rive pp. 348 a are rimes µs I: µs I, as drive is here pret. or pp. (: Gill µs I). In liv'd: depriv'd: surviv'd: derivd 131 a, liv'd is = present E. (long)-lived; cf. Cooper »longlived longævus» Ellis p. 1031.

Uncertain (for live cf. just above) are shriving s.: living 517 b; reprive: live: give: drive pret. 85 b. In the former rime Spenser may have had in view the µs \(\bar{e}\)-form shrieve (cf. \(\bar{e}\) 86); yet the regular form with µs \(\bar{l}\), indicated by the spelling, is supported by the fact that Spenser's rimes live(,give): µs \(\bar{e}\) are more numerous than his rimes live(,give): µs \(\bar{e}\) (cf. \(\bar{e}\) 94). This last circumstance makes it seem rather probable that in the rime 85 b Spenser actually had in view a reprive with µs \(\bar{l}\) (cf. \(\bar{e}\) 86). — [is: amisse: blisse: sacrifice v. 576 b; amis: is: ywis: enterpris v. 82 a, etc. For cases of weak-stressed present E. ai: (weak-stressed) present E. i and vice versa (especially endings -y, -ies), see B. \(\bar{e}\) 19, 22.]

Pope. wit: delight, light 55, 57. live: seventy-five, revive 67, 486. — [eyes: rise: precipice 54; avarice: vice 234; and a great number of rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\imath}$: weak-stressed endings -y, -ies.]

Byron. fiddling, middling, unriddling: idling 676 b, 678 a. mine pron.: divine: in 371 b. wind s.: Inde: blind 156 a. omitted: cited: fitted 821 a. (for)give, live: contrive, dive, strive, re-, survive 207 b, 225 a, 365 a, 367 b, 671 b.

ichor: liquor 156 a is probably a rime με ι : με ι; ichor has a present E. i-variant (NED).

SWINBURNE. forgive: alive PB II:222 (Villon, cf. § 1). gives: strives: drives A 192.

89

Present E. e: ai.

Spenser. inclynd: find: mind: attend v. 576 a.

The rimes end s.: mind: find 546 b, friends: kynds 557 b, are probably = \mus \bar{e}: \mus \bar{i}; see \sqrt{99}. — In either: wether s.: together: fether s. 303 a, as in together: ether: thether (= thi-ther, for the \mus \bar{e}-variant cf. Vi\bar{e}tor Sh. \sqrt{16} a, either has \mus \bar{e}, given besides \mus \bar{e} by Hart (Jespersen pp. 29, 76, 78); cf. further B. \sqrt{145}; Vi\bar{e}tor El. \sqrt{49}, Anm. 8; Luick U. \sqrt{338}; Horn Gr. \sqrt{115}.

Byron. The rimes either: together 631 b, 635 a, are probably based upon the pronunciation μs ai (= μs \bar{a}) in either, mentioned by several grammarians about 1800; cf. Horn Gr. § 115.

POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. ei:ai.

SPENSER. eyne: encline: repine: slain 384 a. keight pret. (= caught), straight (spelt -eight): (sleight,) bright, dight, fight, hight pp., might s., night, plight, right, wight; despite s., quite (both spelt -ight) 166 a, 325 b, 346 b, 348 a, 355 b, 369 a, 394 b; light a.: aright: weight: streight 304 b.

In the rimes streight: sleight 60 b, bayt: wayt: strayt: sleight 118 b, sleight has the ME ei-variant which appears in the spelling. — μ s ai (= ME ei) is probably also the rime-vowel meant in weight: height s. 459 b, 529 a [weight has ME -ight and -eight; cf. Sweet HES p. 303. height, sleight are usually spelt -ight and rimed: μ s $\bar{\imath}$ in Spenser, cf. B. §§ 6, 148].

The rime entertaine: traines.: remaine: eyen 574 b may be based upon the ME ei-form of eye; but it may also be interpreted as a rime $\mu\epsilon$ i: $\mu\epsilon$ ai, equivalent to the rime 348 a above. This latter alternative seems to be the more probable one, as Spenser generally — and the cases are numerous — rimes eye: $\mu\epsilon$ i.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. oi:ai.

Spenser. destroy: I, lie v. 552 b, 581 a. annoyed, destroyed: cried, applied, replied, spied; guide, pride, ride, side, stride

90

91

97 b, 200 a, 271 b, 361 b, 383 b. accoil, assoil, boil v., recoil, foil s. (238), foil v., soil s., spoil s. & v., despoil, toil s. & v.; turmoil s. & v. : defile, exile, file v., beguile, reconcile, mile, pile, smile, style, vile, revile, wile, (a-, ere-, some-) while; child, wild 62 a, 70 b, 80 b, 90 a, 120 a, 140 b, 148 b, 172 a, 215 b, 222 a, 238 b, 244 b, 252 b, 260 a, 263 a, 275 b, 278 a, 284 b, 301 a, 313 b, 321 b, 337 b, 379 b, 388 b, 389 b, 394 b, 397 a, 399 a, 404 b, 420 a, 525 a, 529 a, 529 b, 579 a, 585 a, 597 a, 606 b. coined, joined, purloined: twined, bind, find, kind, mind 56 b, 225 b, 521 b, 557 a.

Pope. joy, Padua-soy: die, lie, tie 45, 302, 330. side: enjoy'd 167 [enjoy: luxury 210]. spoil, toil: pile; Wild n. pr. 234, 340. coin (257, 263, 415, 501), join: combine, design, dine, divine, define, refine, line, mine, nine, Prosepine, shine, thine, vine; find, (hu)mankind, mind 18, 54, 58, 58, 62, 63, 66, 66, 78, 106, 112, 117, 124, 128, 145, 161, 167, 173, 180, 181, 198, 206, 249, 257, 263, 293, 308, 312, 317, 329, 375, 397, 401, 415, 474, 484, 501. noise: cries 384. doit: night 317.

Byron. void: died, supplied; pride, ride, wide 221 b, 230 b. boil, coil, recoil, Hoyle n. pr., soil, spoil, toil: bile, defile, isle, Nile, pile, smile, style, vile, while; child, wild; aisle 109 a, 170 a, 240 a, 345 a, 353 a, 355 a, 355 b, 621 b, 626 b, 632 a, 673 b, 684 b, 698 a, 708 b, 792 a, 799 b, 799 b. coin, (re)join: combine, confine, mine, shine; unkind, mind 17 b, 290 a, 785 a, 800 b. in point I: ninety 746 b. choice, voice, noise: twice, vice; replies 18 b, 163 b, 788 b. poison: eyes on 709 a. loiter: reconnoitre: fighter 775 a.

SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. oi: 5.

92

Byron. paternosters: cloisters s.: fosters v. 377 b. Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. ia: ij(a).

93

No attention has been paid to rimes of the type deer: freer etc. (cf. § 105); nor have Byron's rimes feel: real 671 b, 837 b, feel: heal: zeal: real 93 a, 97 a, ordeal: real 103 a, appeal, steal: ideal, real 615 b, 766 b, been considered in the subsequent discussion of the rimes to present E. ia and ij.

Spenser. The following rimes were probably correct in Spenser's pronunciation:

A. $\mu\epsilon$ ē: $\mu\epsilon$ ē. grieffe s.: clieffe (= cliff) 291 b. $[\mu\epsilon$ ē in clieffe < early Mod. E. cleeve, cf. Luick U. § 536]; Queene: seene: deene (= din, s.): clene a. 9 a [clean see § 53; deene is doubtless a case of the change [I-] > [ē], though no cases with -e- occur in Luick's texts; cf. Luick U. §§ 394, 398; Luick St. passim]; possibly also meete: feete: flit v. 264 b, where Spenser may have written fleet which is found in ME and early Mod. E. with the sense of to flit (cf. NED).

Uncertain are the rimes give: atchive 498 b, give: prieve: live 420 a. µs ē is given in give by Levins 1570 (NED) and by Hart, Bll, and Gill; in live by Hart (cf. the 16th c. spellings leeved (1570), Diehl p. 21; geeve, -ed, -ing, Diehl l. c., Bernigau p. 22); but the scarcity of the rimes to us e proves that the pronunciation us e in live, give was not familiar to Spenser, if he knew it at all. — The rime evill: civill 513 a may be a case of με ĭ: με ĭ, as Hart gives only us i in evil (Jespersen p. 67). — The frequency of the rimes field, shield, yield; wield: (build = us i με ē ~ με ĭ, etc. according to Gill,) filled, killed, shrilled, skilled, spilled, thrilled 50 a, 139 a, 243 b, 249 a, 299 a, 510 a, 534 b [equivalent is wield: hild pret. (see § 96): upfild 246 a] could possibly be considered to prove the existence of us I-forms of *field* etc. in Spenser's pronunciation. In the same direction point Spenser's spelling shild 50 a (yild 38 b is rimed µe ī, cf § 86) and the spellings fild, shild, wild recorded by Luick St. p. 194 (cf. Luick St. p. 197; U. §§ 27, 416). It should be kept in mind however that such a pronunciation is not mentioned by the orthoepists contemporary with Spenser; in fact not until Jones (in wield, as in fiend etc.; cf. Ekw. § 212).

B. There remain the following, tolerably certain, cases of rimes $\mu \in \tilde{\xi} : \mu \in \tilde{I} : steed : hid$ pp. 207 b; $lim \in S$: trim : dim : esteeme : 403 a; $keene : sheene : sheene : shin : 162 b; teeth : therewith (: followeth) 399 b. — Finally there is the rime <math>prison : reason : geason : season : 536 a, = <math>\mu \in \tilde{\xi} : \mu \in \tilde{I}$ (?).

POPE. μ s \tilde{e} : μ s \tilde{f} . feel, wheel: mill, still 42, 77. seem: him 451.95 believe, relieve: give 72, 169, 283. — The rimes seen: within 287, 473 are not quite certain, as Jones gives seen with μ s \tilde{f} (Ekw. § 212).

με ξ: με Ι΄. receive: live 463. con-, per-, receive: give 35, 68, 101, 135, 173, 174, 220, 224, 227, 258, 458. — within: mean v.: spleen 298 may be a rime με ξ: με Ι΄, as mean is given with [I] by Smith (? Ellis p. 896) and Cooper (Ellis p. 1011); yet cf. § 54.

The great number of rimes give: $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$ (only one rime live: $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$ curiously coincides with the fact that, as appears from the orthoepists, $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$ was much more commonly used in give than in live in the 16th c. But there is no evidence of $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$ in give later than Gill; and Dryden makes no marked difference between give and live in the rimes to $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$ (: give 5 cases; : live 3 cases, Dierberger § 80); so that the distribution of these rimes in Pope can hardly be due to a distinction, in his pronunciation, between the vowels in give and live.

near it: spirit 839 b. mysterious: delirious: se-Byron. rious 830 a. Tyrian: presbyterian 825 b. — which: pitch: reach 163 a. de-, appreciated: uninitiated, vitiated 790 b, 807 b. vision, Titian: Grecian, Venetian, sea shone 615 a, 800 b. species: vicious 631 a. Lucretius: issues 67 a. bidder: consider: leader 702 a. pig: brig: fatigue 739 b. litigious: religious: beseech us 718 b. sea-sick: physic 652 a. pique v., shriek: quick, sick 694 a, 821 b. predicament: sick I meant: weak I meant 701 a. speakers: squeakers: liquors 783 b. mixt: fixt: betwixt: seek'st 208 a. yield: (re)build, gild; killed, skilled 201 b, 208 b, 355 a, 363 b, 802 b. chameleon, cornelian: pavilion, vermilion 647 b, 660 b. free, she(men); Behmen, demon, seamen; screaming : women 56 b, 619 a, 640 a, 717 b, 723 a, 808 b, 814 b. convenient: lenient: in ye went 762 a. shipping: skipping: peeping 773 b. caprice: this: is 647 b. even: unforgiven 335 a. evil is: syphilis 640.

SWINBURNE. hear it: spirit SSp 58.— deem; beam, stream: him PB I: 278, 300, 320. between, green, seen; clean, lean: (been,) (there-, with-) in, sin, skin, thin PB I: 7, 28, 289, 305; PB II: 198; AC 88. ease s.: is: these: knees: litanies: is: cease SN 55. feet, sweet; heat, wheat: it, sit, whit, wit PB I: 2, 6, 21, 28, 28, 138, 207, 211, 212, 245, 263, 276, 281, 288; PB II: 196 (two cases); 215. grieve, sleeve, leave, weave: give, live PB I: 304; PB II: 175; AC 88; TL 254.

¹ Villon, cf. § 1.

96

Spenser. A. The following rimes seem to be correct, being based upon variants with us ĕ or us ĭ, different from the present E. pronunciation. — µs ĕ: µs ĕ: gren v. (= grin; OE e; cf. B. § 24): με ĕ 420 b; henge s. (= hinge; ME heng, heeng; cf. NED): με ĕ 70 a; betwext (OE i. eo. etc. cf. B. § 1): us ĕ 114 b (NED gives no e-forms later than the 15th c.); sens (= since; -e-< -eo-, cf. Bernigau § 22; B. § 1): µs ĕ 254 b; dent (OE y), stent (OE y): µs ĕ 98 a, 214 b, 259 a (cf. NED; B. § 2); lest (OE hlystan; cf. NED; B. § 2): µs ĕ 362 b; wrest s. (OE wyrst): με ĕ 91 a, 144 a, 194 a, 290 b. Probably also hill s.: µs ĕ 191 a (OE y; cf. Horn Gr. § 30); list (OE lystan) : µs ĕ 312 b (cf. NED; B. § 2); kissed (OE y) : µs ĕ 204 a (cf. NED); possibly gieft (= gift): µs ĕ 344 b (cf. B. § 8; for 16th c. e-forms of gift cf. Diehl p. 19; NED); left pp. : bereft : gift : lift inf. 396 a (lift < ON lyptan; 14th & 15th c. e-forms recorded by NED); hid pp.: rid pp. (= read): bred pp. 429 b; bred pp.: hid pp. 529 b (hid has OE v, cf. NED; rid, cf. NED »Read v4», may stand for red). — µs ĭ: µs ĭ: (-)hild pret. (= held; cf. B. § 46; Viëtor Sh. § 9): µs I 287 a, 414 a (probably meant also in beheld: με ĭ 266 b); besprint pp. : με ĭ 481 b (cf. NED); lidges. (= ledge): bridge, ridge 326 a (cf. NED; no i-forms given of ledge, but of ledger s. -i- »6-7». The rime could also be = µs ĕ: µs ĕ; bridge, ridge have OE y, and me e-variants are actually evidenced in early Mod. E., cf. Horn Gr. § 30); bliss v. (OE blētsian; cf. NED) : με ĭ 267 b; bliss v. (<?. NED »Bless v³... Also 6 blesse, bliss... = brandish»...): με ĭ 397 b; yit (cf. B. § 24; Viëtor Sh. § 16): με ĭ 20 b, 55 a, 67 a, 130 b, 200 b (certainly meant also in yet; με Ι 508 b; 604 b); possibly also forgett: us I 24 a (cf. the spelling git from the beginning of the 17th c., Diehl p. 17).

In the rime head: did 523 a, did may stand for dede, »come down in some dialects to 15th c.» (: NED); »I dede» is cited by NED from 1461 (Paston Letters), »ded» and »dede» by Luick (St. p. 203 f.) from Capgrave's Chronic and from Caxton's Reynard the Fox (1481). The pronunciation of these e-forms is uncertain (< OE dyde sg. or dædon pl.?); the rimes cited by Luick (U. § 439) from Cursor Mundi are »widersprechend» (: Luick U. § 445).

B. There remain the following cases (= $\mu \epsilon \breve{e} : \mu \epsilon \breve{i}$): mixt: next 482 b; afflict: infect 502 b; will s.: ill: fulfill: hell 261 a; excell: skill

283 a; will s.: fell pret.: quell: Pastorell 410 b; well s.: dwell: chill: quill 480 b; [Paridell: fill s.: will s. 208 b;] Daffadowndillies: Lillies: Délice (or -lice?) 456 a; tremble: nimble: assemble: dissemble 260 b; resemblance: semblance: hindrance 340 a; beheast s.: breast: represt pp.: resist 299 b; never: river: sever 511 a; river: ever 528 b; riches: wretches 499 a. — Equivalent to these are probably the rimes spirit: merit, (dis)inherit 9 a, 9 b, 239 a, 320 a, 493 b, 522 b, 527 a, 601 b. The number of these rimes compared to the rest of the rimes of this group (B) may very well be due exclusively to the frequent use of the words in question and to the lack of phonetic rime-words to spirit. Cf. further § 97. — [Rimes µs I: weak-stressed -e-: e. g. bliss, is: enchanteresse, happinesse, wildernesse 104 b, 551 b, 583 b; some more cases B. § 8.]

POPE. will: tell 147. driven, (for)given: heaven 43, 52, 108, 97 110, 112, 129, 145, 165, 172, 195, 195, 197, 207, 220, 244, 252, 283, 337, 341, 462, 491.

The rimes prince: hence 341; fit, wit: yet, beget, forget 145, 307, 315, 325, 342, 369, 380, 483; civil: devil 84, 131, 306, 329, 466, 473; may all have been rimes us I: us I; this pronunciation is given for hence, get, yet, devil by several (especially foreign) grammarians about 1700 (cf. Dierb. § 36; Löwisch p. 56), cf. besides Smith's us ē in devil (Ellis). — In weather : together : hither 489. hither has no doubt us e, cf. Spenser's thether (§ 89); besides Butler gives us e (Ellis) and Cooper »e feminin» (Ellis) in hither. — As to the rimes spirit: merit 255, 315, 319, 321; mirrors: terrors 478, we have to reckon with the possibility of an r- modification of the i in spirit and mirror, making these rimes = $\mu \epsilon$ ir: $\mu \epsilon$ er in Pope's pronunciation (cf. § 356). The existence about 1700 of such an r-modification also in words with ME i + r(r) + r(r)vowel is proved by Jones giving spirit, mirror (and some other similar words) with ur, i. e. his most usual notation for us ir (Ekw. § 243 ff.); and later, this r- modification in expressly mentioned by W. 1701 (under miracle) as a vulgar pronunciation. This is certainly the explanation of the 19th c. vulgar forms »sper(r)it», »spurret», given by Flügel (under spirit), and probably also of the 18th c. pronunciation of spirit with the »e in merit» (probably = µs e), which is mentioned by W. as beginning »to grow vulgar» in his time. So these forms and pronunciations do not at all necessitate the derivation of spirit < OF esperit (Horn Gr. § 26, Anm.), a derivation made doubtful already by the fact that proparoxytone forms with -e- seem to appear only in late ME (e.g. Paston Letters, Neumann § 79, one case; no cases

99

mentioned in Stratmann-Bradley or in Behrens) and especially in the 16th c, when the spelling e for $\mu\epsilon$ i is very common (see § 246). As to the usage of older poets, cf. Spenser's rimes above.

98 Byron. artificial, superficial, official: (e) special 779 b, 818 b. difference: preference: reference 836 b. gift: left, bereft 60 b, 798 a. infliction: recollection: dissection 659 a. picture, stricture; stricter: lecture 151 a, 622 b, 788 b, 804 a. yellow: billow: fellow 681 a. unanimity: extremity: sublimity 735 b. pretenders: renders: cinders 780 b. index: appendix 631 b, 812 b. tinged: fringed: avenged 681 b. fenced: convinced: dispensed 635 b. description: exception: the ship soon 697 a. swept: wept: dipp'd 316 b. mirror: error, terror 105 b, 727 a, 806 a, 816 b. is, kiss, miss; bless, guess 733 b, 762 b. list: blest 35 b. hither, thither, whither, wither with (her): (al)together, whether, weather 156 a, 370 b, 375 a, 383 a, 545 b, 789 a, 793 a. (un)civil: devil, level, revel, Seville 29 a, 61 a, 114 b, 159a, 334a, 642b. (for)given; driven, riven: heaven; eleven, seven 3 a ff. (12 cases); 48 ff. (12 cases); 122 a, 138 a, 154 a, 159 a, 161a, 165 a, 167 b, 168 a, 190 a, 215 a, 226 b, 236 a, 237 a, 237 b, 241 a, 246 a, 249 b, 250 b, 251 b, 253 b, 255 a, 255 b, 260 a, 265 a, 274 a, 277 a, 281 b, 282 a, 284 b, 285 a, 287 b, 291 a, 301 a, 303 b, 310 b, 315 a, 317 a, 319 a, 322 a, 324 b, 328 a, 333 a, 334 a, 341 a, 346 b, 348 b, 354 b, 357 a, 367 b, 370 b, 378 a, 537 a, 546 a, 548 a, 632 a, 637 b, 640 a, 664 b, 673 b, 699 a, 716 a, 720 a, 800 a, 836 a. give (her), giver, liver, quiver, river; Guadalquivir: ever, never; clever (760), endeavour (105) 26 a, 104 a (2 cases), 105 a (2 cases), 210 a, 253 a, 261 b, 312 b, 320 b, 324 a, 339 b, 364 a, 537 b, 546 b, 624 a, 662 a, 671 a, 673 b, 687 b, 694 a, 733 a, 760 a, 768 a.

W. 1791 gives i² in yes (Byron has t'is: yes: kiss 691 a); and mentions this pronunciation, disapprovingly, in yet (Byron has yet: hit: unfit 835 a). — The rimes miracle: hysterical 655 b, spirit: merit, inherit II b, 45 b, 84 b, 96 b, 156 b, 390 b, 601 a, may be based on the pronunciation of spirit, miracle with µs ĕ and µs ĭr respectively; cf. Walker, above § 97.

SWINBURNE. midland: headland E II. spirit: merit, inherit SS 85, 189, 211; MH 100; SBS 119. (for)given; driven, riven: heaven, leaven, seven PB I:54; E 33; L 114; CR 52; SBS 65, 96, 116, 174, 213; TL 4, 45, 150, 158; deliver, giver, river: ever, never, sever PB I:196; SS 41; CR 76.

Present E. e: ij, ia.

Spenser. A. Some special cases. weary: merry, cherry (= cherish) 409 b, 499 a, 550 a are = μ e ĕ; μ e ĕ; weary is given

with με ĕ by Gill (~ με ē), Mason (Brotanek p. XXIV), Price (Ellis p. 1017); with a short vowel (Ellis »a») by Cooper(»barbarè», Ellis l. c.). - dread s. (æ³, cf. §§ 53, 243; often spelt -ee-, see B. § 39) : με ē are rimes $\mu \in \bar{e} : \mu \in \bar{e} (38 \text{ a}, 44 \text{ b}, 85 \text{ b}, 93 \text{ b}, 148 \text{ a}, 180 \text{ a}, 180 \text{ b}, 216 \text{ b},$ 228 b, 323 a, 345 b, 356 b, 382 b, 387 b, 396 a/b, 416a, 477b, 503 a, 508 a). — In early Mod. E. triend has, besides us e and us I, also με ē (Ellis p. 890; Sweet HES p. 359); end besides με ĕ also με ē (Ellis p. 1007; Sweet HES p. 314); so that the rimes seemd: misdeemd: feend: end 73 b; feend: weend: eend: esteemd 204 b; feend: freend: end 259 b may be interpreted as us e : us e. weened appears as wend (: descend) 424 b, no doubt with $\mu\epsilon\,\breve{e}< ME$ wennde, B. § 45; and this form is probably meant in the rimes offend: kend: send: weend 402 b, freend: weend: end: amend 252 a, which are, consequently, = $\mu \epsilon \ \breve{e} : \mu \epsilon \ \breve{e}$. fiend is not given with με ĕ by any early Mod.E. orthoepist, but such a form (due to early shortening) is of course possible of this word as well as of friend (cf. NED »2-7 fend(e»). In Spenser the word is never spelt -e-, but it is rimed: us e (attend, bend, defend, etc.) 197 a, 349 b, 350 b, 401 b; feend: end: frend: rend 410 b; which are probably meant as rimes µsĕ:µsĕ (or µsĭ:µsĕ? cf. µsĭ in fiend in Holy Virgin, Sweet HES p. 358, & Jones' με Ι ~ με ē). — The rimes wield (in these rimes spelt -e-): $\mu \in e$ (71 a, 116 a, 131 a, 135 a, 233 a, 233 b, 243 b, 249 a, 338 b, 356 a, 432 b, 460 b, 489 b, 524 b, 550 b) are = $\mu \epsilon \, \breve{e} : \mu \epsilon \, \breve{e} \, (cf. B. \S 36)$; possibly also yeld (= yield): smeld (= smelled) 540 a. This form of yield may also be basis of the rime beheld: yeeld 243 a; yet, on the other hand, this rime can be on a level with the rimes beheld: field 245 a, 561 b. These latter may be based on the variant hild (see § 96) which would make the rimes = us I: us ē; vet a form of held with $\mu\epsilon$ e is not altogether out of the question (cf. Viëtor Sh. § 9; B. § 46). Finally, there is the possibility of a me Ipronunciation of field etc.; cf. § 94. — The rime evill: drevill s.: devill 236 a is uncertain. devil has in early Mod. E. us ē ~ με ĕ ~ με ĭ (cf. Viëtor Sh. § 9); evil has με ē (~ με ĕ? Smith, Ellis p. 889) ~ µε ĭ (Hart, cf. above § 94); drevill has originally -i-(y); -e- only 15th & 16th c. (NED).

B. με ξ : με ξ (cf. § 243). bread, dead, dread s. & v. 100 (the adj. is rimed almost exclusively: με ξ; cf. for FQ B. § 30), head, -head, lead s., stead, thread, tread: lead v., mead s., plead, (a)read v., read s., 48 b, 52 b, 61 a, 64 b, 77 a, 80 b, 103 b, 113 a, 122 a, 125 a, 163 b, 164 b, 172 b, 205 a, 226 b, 257 b, 258 a,

262 a/b, 263 b, 269 b, 272 a, 285 b, 296 b, 299 b, 340 b, 367 b, 393 a, 395 a, 399 b, 402 a, 405 a, 405 b, 415 b, 468 a, 473 a, 476 a, 494 a, 496 a, 513 b, 519 a, 546 a, 546 a, 552 b, 554 a, 554 b, 555 a, 559 b, 577 b, 588 a, 590 a, 599 b. Here belong also read v.: sead s.: head: dread 166 b; read s.: sead: goodly head 368 b (cf. seed § 53); probably also tread v.: procead: aread: dread a. 269 a (procead = με ę by analogy with concede etc., cf. B. § 58). — press s. (rimed only: με ę; always spelt -ea-), press v. (often rimed: µs ĕ, then spelt -e-): cease, surcease, increase, peace, release 76 a, 134 a, 158 a, 254 b, 250 b, 278 a, 340 a, 414 a (cf. B. § 53; Caxton has a form preeses, Römstedt p. 7; Cheke spells prease, presse, Ellis). — threasure: measure : seasure (< seize): pleasure 275 b. — sweat, threat, threaten, breath: beat, defeat, eat, heat, meat, neat, seat, entreat, retreat 26 a, 29 b, 70 a, 77 a, 90 a, 97 b, 149 a, 176 b, 206 b, 266 b, 313 b, 321 a, 349 a, 365 a, 396 b, 402 b, 448 a, 450 a, 466 a, 478 a, 494 b, 508 a, 528 a, 603 b. — death, breath: uneath, heath, be-, underneath, wreathe 58 b, 73 b, 83 a, 85 a, 86 a, 138 b, 211 b, 547 b, 602 a. — even a. (= us \bar{e}, see \bar{e} 52): yeven pp. (= ME \bar{e}, see Luick St. p. 206): heaven 455 b; probably also steven s.: even s. 475 a (both words occur only in this rime). According to ten Br. § 35 β, Chaucer has »schwebend» e in stevene; and Spenser may have had µs ē in steven as well as in even, adj. & adv., also given with »schwebend» e for Chaucer by ten Br. l. c. even, s. is not evidenced in the Mod. E. period with us ē, but this pronunciation is certainly possible for Spenser's time; cf. Vietor Sh. § 12. — Here belong further, possibly, threat: mainsheat s.: beat v. 356 b (OE scēat; cf. B. § 45, Skeat, Et. D.); health: wealth: dealth (pres. of to deal): stealth s. 230 a (according to the spelling; yet us \(\bar{\epsilon} \) is evidenced only in health; wealth is only given with us ĕ, cf. the rime welth: helth 468 b; stealth is not mentioned by the 16th c. and early 17th c. orthoepists; cf. B. § 29); further heat s. : forget: sweat 105 a; threat: beat: get: fret 396 a (for late ME and 16th c. spellings and rimes indicating an [e] in get, see Unna p. 10; fret is given with us \vec{e} by Bll, Hauck p. 43).

C. $\mu \in \check{e} : \mu \in \check{e}$ (?). beck : check : speak : reck 425 b (Hart gives speak with $\mu \in \check{e} \sim \mu \in \check{e}$, cf. Jespersen p. 80); fell pret. : commonweale 341 b (weale may stand for well, which is used for weal s. 22 b; cf. further Unna p. 12 f.); conceld pret. (= concealed): beheld: queld: held 284 b (cf. B. § 29); hept (= heaped) lept, underkept 197 a.

101 D. με $\tilde{\epsilon}$ & με $\tilde{\epsilon}$ | st. behest occurs in Spenser as behest (= με $\tilde{\epsilon}$) and beheast (= με $\tilde{\epsilon}$ < ME beh $\tilde{\epsilon}$ se), the latter form rimed : beast,

feast, least, ceased, (re)increased, released (all spelt -st) 29 a, 38 a/b. 277 b, 296 b, 378 b, 387 b, 407 a, 420 b, 431 b, 490 b, 525 a, 544 a. 545 b, 552 a, 593 b, 604 a. — breast is in Spenser brest (usually) and breast. B. § 32 is of opinion that -ea- is only a spelling of με ĕ; Luick, E. St. 26, 270, maintains that the spelling -ea- implies a pronunciation µs ē, due to analogical influence of words with με ē~με ĕ st. Spenser's rimes are evidently in favour of B.'s theory, because there are only two rimes breast: present E. ij (beast: breast 50 b; beast: increast: breast: releast 326 b) — besides two rimes breast: present E. e: present E. ij (beast: brest: supprest 24 b; addrest: rest (Teut.): Beast: brest 69 b) and in all these cases present E. ij is = Rom. e | st, which is of unsettled quantity (cf. for Chaucer, ten Br. § 79). — In many cases the orthography implies that Spenser — or the printer knew more than one pronunciation of Rom. e|st. crest s. occurs as crest and creast (East: creast 74 a; beast(s): creast(s) 176 a, 530 a; beasts: feasts: creasts: beheasts 190 a; all no doubt rimes με ē : με ē); detest is spelt -ea- in the rime feast : beast : deteast 29 b, which is probably = $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$; and this $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ form of detest may be meant also in Beast: detest 73 a. — On the other hand there are occasional -e- spellings - pointing to a pronunciation us ĕ — of Rom. words with present E. ij | st : fest [guest: rest (Teut.): fest: gest 88 a; us e may be meant also in rest (Teut.): feast: best: infest 390 a; guest: feast 405 b]; relest [= released; brest: relest: redrest 219 b; best: distrest: opprest: relest 228 a; rest (Teut.): best: relest: gest 251 a]; increst [= increased; rest (Rom.): addrest: prest pret.: increst 240 al. In view of these spellings and rimes it does not seem unlikely that Spenser may have had us \(\bar{e} \) us \(\bar{e} \) in other words with Rom. e | st, in which this variation does not appear in the spelling; so that the following rimes may also have been correct: beast: brest s.: supprest 24 b; beast: creast: feast: addrest 49 b; addrest: rest (Teut.): Beast: brest s. 60 b; beasts: creasts: guests 149 a; rest (Teut.): Beast: increast 385 b; beast (Beast): opprest 371 a/b, 541 a; east: increast: gest (Rom.) 165 b; rest (Rom.): beheast: surceast 178 b; Beast: least a.: infest: creast s. 387 a; quest: profest: least a.: Beast 418 b.

There remain the rimes East: best 589 a; East: West 608 b; rest (Teut.): creast s.: East 590 a. Even these need not be interpreted as rimes $\mu \in \bar{e}: \mu \in \bar{e}$. In fact, late ME and early Mod. E. $\mu \in \bar{e}$ -forms of native words with ME \bar{e} st are actually found, cf. Grundr. § 86, Horn Gr. § 83,1 (besides e. g. the spelling beest

(= best) in Paston Letters, cf. Neumann § 102); and on the other hand there are Bullokar's µs ĕ in north-east (Hauck p. 49), and Hart's µs ĕ in east-wind (Jespersen p. 78), which however may be due the former to weak stress, the latter to the following consonant group.

E. με ĕ: με ē. lead inf.: aread inf.: bred pp.: sead s. (= seed, cf. § 53) 65 b; plead inf.: womanhead: dread s.: bread pret. 342 b (bread is probably only a spelling for με ĕ, due to analogical influence of bread s., head, etc. in which με ĕ (= the usual pronunciation) was generally written -ea-); set: get: met: heat s. 308 b. Possibly also demeanor: tenor 267 a (Gill gives με ĕ in tenor; yet cf. § 245, foot-note, for the early Mod. E. uncertainty as to vowel quantity in open syllables); and peace: addresse 574 b, releasse v.: possesse: willingnesse 255 a (as, with the exception of press s. & v. in which με ē is directly indicated by the spelling-ea-, present E. e-words < Rom. e | ss are not rimed: present E. ij in Spenser, nor present E. ij-words < Rom. e | s(s): present E. e; the forms relest, increst § 101 may be due to the analogy of other words with με ē ~ με ĕ | st).

F. $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$. eaten: beaten: sweeten: threaten 537 a; certainly also neede s.: dreed s.: heed (OE $\bar{\epsilon}$): tred inf. 124 a, where tred stands for tread.

103 POPE. µs ē. (over)spread, tread: breed, succeed 165, 165, 226. breath: teeth 323. — dwell: feel: steel 169. esteem: them 53. impell'd: field 232 (yet cf. Jones' µs I in wield, Ekw. § 212).

Uncertain are men: unseen 121, undrest: priest 212, as Jones gives $\mu \in I$ in seen, priest, cf. § 95. The rimes beheld: shield 115, friend: fiend 146, evil: devil 129, 146, 245, 321, 473, can be interpreted (cf. § 99) as $\mu \in I$: $\mu \in E$, friend: fiend also as $\mu \in I$: $\mu \in E$ or as $\mu \in E$: $\mu \in E$ (cf. EO's $\mu \in E$ in friend, Ellis p. 1076).

με ξ. dead, head : read 272, 325. farewell, swell : meal, conceal 241, 479. beheld : conceal'd 114. men, pen : mean, scene 445, 500. distress : peace 279. breast, blest, guest, jest, rest (Teut. & Rom.), detest, protest; distressed : beast, east, feast, least, increased 100, 121, 161, 163, 164, 167, 201, 210, 232, 279, 292, 303, 331, 441. sweat, threat, (for)get, set : beat, cheat, eat, meat 302, 305, 325, 331, 492. death : breathe, heath 33, 451. heaven : (un)even a. 109, 261. — Here probably belongs also (cf. Jones' με č in Thames, Ellis p. 1016) Thames : beams, streams 35, 75, 386, 387.

Byron. really: vermicelli: jelly 668 b. merit: hear it 831 a. material: burial 759 b. — reach'd: stretch'd 318 b. spreads:

treads: sheds: beads 239 a. eager: beggar 835 b. reckon'd: second: beacon'd 159 b. beheld: unsealed, revealed 296 b, 338 b. them: deem: seem 708 a. foreseen: then: men 657 b. demeanor: tenor 695 b. (un)pleasant, present: recent, (to)sea(sent) 692 a, 730 b, 807 a. convalescence: see since: fees since 833 b. attest, guest, rest: feast 186 a, 803 b. debt, Gazette, net, sherbet; abettor, letter: feat, meat; repeater 630 a, 680 b, 756 a. breath, death: beneath, heath, sheath, wreath; breathe 3 b, 4 b, 16 b, 27 b, 31 b, 37 a, 79 a, 182 a, 231 a, 249 b, 310 b, 341 b, 354 b, 662 b, 680 b, 697 b, 724 b, 756 a, 840 b. ether: together 147 b. devil, level, revel: evil 110 a, 128 a, 154 a, 644 b, 672 b, 774 b. seven: heaven: even 783 a.

In teat s.: yet: forget 231 b, teat may have $\mu\epsilon$ ĭ, mentioned by W. Princ. 232 and given as the only pronunciation by Kenrick; this would make a rime $\mu\epsilon$ ĭ: $\mu\epsilon$ č. — beseeching: retching 652 b is probably based upon the still existing variant of retching with $\mu\epsilon$ ē.

SWINBURNE. breath: death: wreath s.: beneath: saith: death CR 46, 88. even: heaven SSp 27, 102.

Present E. ea:eia.

105

Occasional rimes of the type care: player etc. have not been collected (cf. § 93); nor cases like Byron's wail: Baal 82 b etc.

Present E. ei, ea: e.

106

For rimes to again, see § 251.

Spenser. με ā, με ai, ΜΕ ę̄: με ĕ. No certain cases.

με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$. great: sweat, threat (cf. § 242 f.) 320 a, 466 b, 516 b, 540 b, 608 a. Possibly also get: great: beget 599 b, on account of a variant of (-)get with με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: cf. § 100.

με ĕ: με ĕ. greater: better 230 b. με ĕ in greater is given by Hart (Jesperson pp. 29, 79), and besides appears in late ME and 16th c. spellings -ett- and rimes: με ĕ; cf. B. § 41; Unna p. 13.

—The rime get her: greater 580 b may be = με ῷ: με ῷ or με ĕ: με ĕ.

με ai : με ai & με ai : με ā (cf. § 250). said : με ai 20 b, 32 b, etc. (65 cases recorded). — said (: με ai) : με ā (made, invade, unlade) 52 b, 115 b, 220 a, 230 b, 416 b, 552 b, 586 b.

με ā: με ē. endevour s.: save her: favour: gave her 311 b. endevour s.: labour: favour: behaviour 320 a. In endeavour με ē is given by Gill and Price (Ellis).

POPE. threat: great 118. break: neck 85. — shade: bread,

dead, head 45, 157, 222 [came: Jerusalem 149]. — prevail: hell 42. — For the rimes said: maid, weighed IOI, 141; said: made, shade 106, 143, 175, 179; says: days, praise 323, 328, 479; cf. § 250. Byron. Green Erin¹: wearing 778 a. — very: Nil Admirari 710 b. posterity: spare it he: rarity 626 a. — deck: speck: beefsteak 651 b. Hecla: break law 826 a. Hetman: great man 75 a. met: get: tête 712 b. — said: dead: obey'd 375 a. made: said: laid: head: tread 338 b (all intended to rime together?). made. masquerade: atraid, inlaid, maid: dread, lead, spread 659 a, 681,a, 724 a. lady: heady, (al)ready, steady 622 a, 640 a, 674 b, 676 b. 707 b, 790 a, 819 a, 822 b. paid it: credit, read it 772 a, 779 b. editor: creditor: made it her 649 a. title-page: age: allege 162 a. breakfast: make fast: stake fast 805 b. railed, prevailed, unveiled: (be) held, compelled, dispelled 82b, 250b, 258b, 735b, 835a. Potemkin: same kin: claim kin 769 a. condemn it: blame it: claim it 718 b. Agamemnon: same one: condemn none 627 b. flames: Thames 66 a, 141 b. aims, claims: Thames 125 b, 137 b, 719 a. dames, claims :Thames 779 a. brain, gain, main, pain, slain, vain (,again): den, men, then, when 252 b, 336 b, 363 a, 367 a, 664 a, 733 a, 737 b, 751 a. exchange, range, strange(r), danger: revenge, avenge(r) 158 b, 275 b, 286 a, 288 b, 303 a, 315 a, 362 a, 371 b, 682 a, 691 b, 694 b, 808 b. millennium: pen you'em: disdain you'em 754 b. Danish: Rhenish 801 a. complaint, quaint, restraint, saint, acquaintance, fainter, painter: meant, scent, spent, content, intent, vent, went, repentance, sentence, centre, enter, adventure, indenture 15 b, 164 b, 334 a, 373 b, 547 a, 679 b, 703 b, 714 b, 777 b, 799 b, 800 a. less so: say so: guess so 816 b. chaste, haste, taste: breast, best, crest, confessed, guest, west 538 a, 634 a, 719 b. abate: yet: late 653 b. faith: breath, death 251 a, 251 b, 267 b, 291 b, 310 b, 327 a, 329 a, 548 a, 549 b, 658 b, 691 a, 703 b, 739 b, 831 b. illumination: hallucination: discretion 735 a. patience: conversations: tresh ones 728 a.

For the rimes any, many: present E. ei [zany, Corniani, Cazzani: any, many 147 a, 642 b, 815 b; many: any: rainy 619 a, 679 a] and the rimes said, says: present E. ei [said: μ s ai 48 a, 62 a, 375 b, 665 a, 673 a, 701 a, 724 b, 793 a; said: μ s ā (: μ s ai) 17 a, 29 a, 162 a, 342 b, 358 a, 620 a, 754 a, 764 a, 796 b, 824 a, 831 a; says: μ s ai 150 a, 162 a, 379 b, 804 b], cf. § 250.

SWINBURNE. made: said: head: dead PB II: 194. came, flame, game, name, same, shame: them PB I: 22, 30, 72, 135, 279,

¹ Erin has present E. e - i ə.

² Villon, cf. § 1.

293, 319. PB II: 190, 195.¹ chamber: remember PB I: 60. fain, grain, lain, pain, plain, rain, sain, slain, twain (,again): men, ten, then PB I: 16, 160, 255, 266, 295, 330; PB II: 204;¹ SBS 247. breath: faith: saith: death SS 163. faith: breath, death (, slackeneth) SN 17, 27, 56. — To this group may be counted the rime rathe a.: faith: breath: Elisabeth: saith: death SS 45 [rathe has present E. ei ~aa according to NED]. — For the rimes said: present E. ei [said: µe ai PB I: 281; AC 47; SBS 232; saith: faith L 42; MH 174], cf. § 250.

Present E. ea: i.

108

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Byron. bear, wear(it): spirit 29 a, 774 a, 774 a, 793 a. — The rimes may be based upon the $\mu \epsilon$ ϵ - variant of spirit, cf. § 98 (& § 178).

Present E. ei:ij.

109

Spenser. A. µs ai : µs ai. assaies : waies s. : kaies (=keys) 281 a.

ME ξ: με ξ. break: bleak, speak, weak, wreak 16 a, 172 b, 299 a, 384 b, 415 b, 503 b, 551 a, 555 b, 579 a. great: beat, excheat, eat, feat, defeat, heat, repeat, seat, treat, entreat, extreate s. (=extraction, see § 31) 18 a, 35 a, 61 a, 88 b, 117 b, 126 a, 134 a, 143 a, 145 a/b, 173 a, 187 a, 210 b, 221 a, 231 b, 259 a, 296 a, 328 b, 343 a, 344 b, 431 a, 539 a, 608 b. — Equivalent is probably great: treat: intrete: discrete (cf. B. § 58; Ekw. § 203) 47 b.

B. $\mu \in \bar{a} : \mu \in \bar{e}$. make, quake, (be)spake pret., partake: weak a. 167 b, 413 a. dame, flame: beam s. (=ray) 205 b, 540 a. embrace, case, face, grace, pace: increase 113 b, 152 a. laesie (=lazy): creasie (=creasy) 553 a. ingrate a., hate, late, obstinate, potentate, state: seat s., treat, entreat 241 a, 391 a, 395 a, 603 a. nature: creature, defeature, feature 259 a, 514 a, 527 b, 534 a, 557 b. receave (=receive; cf. B. § 157): grave 8 b. save: reave: forgave: drave pret. 392 a. — Besides great: repeat: create: animate 138 b.

με ai : με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$. (Rimes to veale = veil, see § 31). lay s., say, way : sea 312 a, 551 a. Probably also meanes s. : raines s. : chaines 593 b (yet NED gives a 15th-16th c. form meyne).

In the rimes meane s.: maintaine: leane v.: cleane 431 b, maintayne: uncleane 503 b, Spenser probably had in view the variant maintene (µs ē; cf. the rime Queene: shene a.: sustene:

¹ Villon, cf. § 1.

A. Gabrielson.

seene 90 b; as to late ME, see Dib. § 108). This variant would make the rime $503 \, b = \mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon} : \mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon}$; the rime 431 $b = \mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon} : \mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon}$ (cf. B. § 59).

Pope. µs ai: µs ai. key: weigh 493 (cf. Viëtor Sh.

§ 28, Ekw. § 136).

ME & : µs & break : speak 141, 492. great(er) : cheat, complete, eat, seat, treat, retreat; creature 119, 222, 224, 232, 240, 242, 291, 341, 342, 370, 444, 468. — Equivalent is tête à tête : treat 445.

με ā: με ē. shade: mead 33. take, awake: speak, weak 64, 102, 222, 237, 422. race; taste: Lucrece, peace; feast 221, 278, 320. fate, gate, state; nature: eat, seat, feature 251, 261, 478, 501. — Besides speaks: makes: breaks 85.

με ai: με ξ. day, they, away, stay, obey, survey: bohea, sea, tea 73, 78, 85, 103, 159, 481. healing: Tail in 445. days, surveys, plaice: seas, ease, pease, cease, peace 163, 178, 308, 479.

ME ē: με ē. break: beak, peak, speak, streak, weak, eke v., seek, weak, shriek; becaficas 60 a, 338 b, 195 a, 547 b, 618 b, 746 a, 806 a, 813 a. Equivalent is seat: -tête: bête 803 a.

με ā: με ē. grapes: escapes: heaps 640 a. embrace: peace 6 b. nature: creature, feature 60 a, 698 b, 702 a, 723 a, 821 b. — Further speak: break: take 365 a.

με ai: με ē. veil: conceal: seal 808 a.

SWINBURNE. north-easter: land-waster: thine haste or PB II: 175.

Present E. ea:ia.

Spenser. Rimes to bre(a)re (=brier), enquere (= enquire), see § 237.

A. ME ē: µɛ ēr. bear s., (-) bear v., swear, tear v., (-) wear; whilere, there, where, were: shear v., smear v., spear; ear (OE ēare), gear, near, sear a., tear s., lere s., (up)rear; bier (spelt be(a)re), fear, he(a)re (= hair), year; (y)fere, here, hear; jeer (108 b; spelt geare); appear, arrear adv., cheer s. & v., clear, peer s. [messengere, prisonere, umbriere, Rosiere, Vortigere] 7 b, 19 a, 22 b, 24 b, 26 a, 26 a, 29 b, 34 a, 41 a, 45 a, 48 b, 50 a, 53 b, 53 b, 54 a, 54 b, 56 a, 56 b, 57 b, 58 a, 60 b, 62 a, 63 a, 64 a, 69 a, 69 b, 76 b, 83 a, 83 b, 85 b, 86 b, 87 b, 89 b, 97 a, 99 a, 102 a, 108 b, 116 b, 116 b, 119 a, 125 a, 125 b, 127 a, 130 b, 138 a, 140 a, 140 b, 142 a, 142 b, 146 b, 147 b, 149 a, 149 b, 152 b, 153 b, 158 b, 159 a, 160 a, 164 a, 164 b,

169 b, 170 b, 173 a, 174 b, 177 a, 177 b, 177 b, 178 b, 179 b, 181 b, 182 b, 185 a, 187 a, 189 a, 190 b, 191 a, 193 b, 195 b, 196 a, 196 a, 197 b, 198 b, 201 b, 203 b, 205 b, 207 a, 211 a, 212 b, 213 a, 214 a, 214 b, 215 a, 216 a, 217 a, 217 b, 218 a, 218 b, 218 b, 220 a, 220 b, 223 a, 224 b, 224 b, 225 b, 227 a, 228 b, 231 a, 231 b, 234 b, 234 b, 235 b, 238 b, 239 a, 239 a 242 a, 242 b, 246 b, 247 b, 249 a, 250 a, 250 a, 252 a, 252 b, 253 a, 253 a, 253 b, 256 b, 257 a, 258 a, 258 a, 258 a, 260 a, 260 a, 261 a, 261 b, 261 b, 263 b, 265 a, 265 a, 265 b, 265 b, 265 b, 267 a, 268 a, 270 a, 271 b, 272 a, 272 a, 273 b, 275 a, 275 b, 275 b, 276 b, 277 b, 281 a, 286 a, 286 b, 289 b, 290 a, 290 b, 290 b, 291 b, 294 b, 299 b, 300 a, 300 a, 302 b, 303 b, 308 b, 309 b, 311 a, 313 a, 314 b, 321 a, 323 a, 323 a, 324 b, 326 b, 326 b, 327 a, 329 b, 330 a, 335 b, 336 a, 336 b, 337 a, 339 b, 340 a, 343 b, 344 b, 347 b, 348 b, 351 a, 354 b, 355 b, 356 a, 356 a, 356 b, 358 a, 362 b, 362 b, 364 b, 366 b, 367 a, 367 b, 369 a, 369 a, 370 a, 371 a, 371 b, 373 b, 374 a, 376 b, 377 a, 377 b, 378 b, 379 a, 385 b, 387 b, 389 b, 392 a, 397 b, 398 a, 399 a, 400 b, 401 a, 406 a, 407 b, 413 b, 414 a, 415 b, 416 a, 417 b, 418 a, 418 a, 420 b, 420 b, 421 a, 421 b, 423 a, 424 b, 426 a, 426 b, 427 a, 428 b, 429 b, 430 a, 430 b, 432 b, 432 b, 433 b, 435 a, 435 b; 446 b, 448 a, 449 a, 450 a, 454 a, 455 a, 455 b, 459 b, 461 a, 464 a, 466 b, 467 a, 467 b, 467 b, 468 a, 481 b, 484 a, 485 b, 490 b, 492 a, 493 a, 494 b, 496 a, 498 a, 499 a, 505 a, 509 b, 514 b, 515 a, 515 b, 516 a, 516 b, 517 a, 518 a, 518 b, 519 b, 523 a, 523 a, 523 a, 531 b, 533 b, 536 b, 537 b, 539 a, 541 a, 541 a, 543 a, 543 b, 544 a, 545 b, 550 a, 551 b, 551 b, 551 b, 551 b, 552 a, 552 b, 556 a, 557 a, 557 a, 557 a, 557 a, 561 a, 575 b, 579 b, 581 a, 588 b, 590 a, 595 a, 595 b, 596 a, 598 a, 601 b, 603 a, 606 a, 608 b [Besides cases like sangliere: deare a. 299 b; souldiere: were 515 a; see B. § 64]. — For the spelling and the distribution of the rime-words in FQ, see B. § 61 ff.; in Spenser's other works, see below § 232 ff.

B. $\mu\epsilon$ ār: $\mu\epsilon$ ēr. teares: unawares: cares 34 b; cares: teares s.: feares: appeares 181 a; dare: speare 214 b; fare: share: compare: appeare 305 a; heare v.: fare 518 a; heare v.: declare 551 a.— Further (+: bear, were) aware: beare v.: areare adv.: neare 143 b; beware: care: weare (=were): heare v. 293 b; were: appeare: beare v.: fare 433 a; cares: beares v.: yeares 511 a.

με air : με ēr. deare a. : heyre (=heir) 137 b; spheres : theirs (=th'eirs, th'air(e)s? cf. § 10) 603 a.

Besides the usual pronunciation with $\mu\epsilon$ air of despair, Spenser seems to have known a variant with $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}r < ME$ de-

speren, probably used in the rimes cleare: appeare: despeire v.: whileare 306 a; beare v.: cleare: cheare s.: despeyre v. 320 a; feare: despaire s. 332 a/b. Cf. § 60.

111 Pope. ME ε̄: με ε̄r. bear s., bear v., wear, there : steer s., appear, clear, gazetteer 16, 99, 159, 174, 174, 174, 176, 197, 330, 387. bear s., (-)bear v., swear, wear, there : spear, ear (OE ε̄are), near, tear s., fear, year, dear, here 24, 108, 119, 139, 141, 158, 162, 178, 221, 225, 297, 408, 481, 494, 496, 502. bear v., there : sphere 87, 195, 200. sincere : ev'rywhere 217. Cf. swears : Lear's 332. — Equivalent is ear (OE ε̄ar) : parterre 262.

με ār: με ēr. shear v: care 18. care, spare: sphere 181, 417.

— Besides prepare: bear v.: fear 159.

με air: με ēr. appear: pray'r 120. affair, air, hair, heir, theirs; prayer: ear (OE ēare), tear s., rear v., year, hear 77, 111, 157, 158, 247, 261, 334, 443, 492. fair, hair: sphere 88, 201. atmosphere: Air 417. — Besides bears v.: appears: hairs 168; wears: appears: hairs 174; ear (OE ēare): repair: there 58.

Byron. ME ξ : $\mu\epsilon$ ϵ r. bear v., wear : ear, near, sear a., tear s., rear v., fear, year; (en)dear, hear, appear 33 a, 47 b, 129 b, 185 b, 187 a, 191 b, 256 a, 263 b, 691 a, 691 b, 710 a. were, there: near, fear, dear, appear 13 b, 358 a, 376 a. e'er (=ever): rear v.: steer s.: clear 229 a.

με ar: με er. dare, prepare: hear, appear 57 b, 376 a.

SWINBURNE. there: tear s. PB I: 59. hear: were PB I: 287. [dear: bear v., wear: mair (Sc.) PB I: 324, 325, may be based upon some dialectal pronunciation and are not on a par with the other cases.]

Present E. æ: ei, ea.

Spenser. A. me ā: me ă.

112

I. Irrelevant and uncertain cases. hast 2 sg. [: taste v. : defast (= -faced) pp. 122 b]; and hath 3 sg. (: scath s., 77 b, 212 b; see § 118) are equivalent to other words with ME ăst, ăth; see §§ 268, 282.

— Rimes \(\mu\) \(\bar{a}\) : \(\mu\) \(\bar{a}\) are have: brave, cave, crave, drave pret., gave, grave s., grave a., behave, deprave, rave, save, slave, wave s. 12 a, 35 a, 58 b, 96 b, 106 b, 112 a, 115 b, 121 b, 126 b, 132 a, 216 a, 338 b, 365 b, 367 b, 419 b, 490 a, 495 b, 502 b, 505 b, 514b, 515 a, 582 b, 597 a, 597 b, 601 a. Rimes \(\mu\)\(\bar{a}\)\(\bar{a}\)\(\bar{a}\): \(\mu\)\(\bar{a}\)\(\bar{a}\) is \(\bar{a}\)\(\bar{a}\)\(\bar{a}\) is \(\bar{a}\)\

113

rode pret.: mayd 433 b; here — as well as in roade: glade s. 367 b and possibly in roade: baude s. 233 a (§ 128) - ro(a) de certainly stands for the Northern rade with us ā (cf. B. §§ 100, 180, Luick U. § 34; for corresponding preterites in Spenser see § 35 above). so that the rime is = με ā: με ai]; stature: nature, creator 395 a, 430 a, 495 a (cf. the rimes to με ξ §§ 115, 180). — As με ă: με ă may be interpreted matche v. : ache s. 470 a (Price gives us ă in ache according to Ellis; yet Gill has us a, and probably also Mulcaster, Ellis p. 912); carrie: tarry: vary v. 431 b (Bll gives µs ă in vary, Hauck p. 38); (em) passion, fashion, faction: occasion, -ation 303 a, 305 b, 406 b, 514 b, 519 a, 543 a, 555 b, 557 b (as to -asion, -ation with me ă, cf. Hart, Jespersen p. 93; Bll, Hauck p. 36); possibly - according to the spelling (shortening of the vowel | two consonants?) — awakt (= -aked): lakt (= lacked) 124 b; wrapt pp.: hapt pp.: mis-shapt: unapt 381 a, cf. § 282.

II. The following rimes remain: made: had: bad a. 261 b: had: wade: trade: made 286 a; sad: had: bestad: made 324 a; back, black, pack s., wrack : lake, make, (par)take; brake pret., (be)spake pret., strake pret. 351 a, 371 b, 392 a, 535 a, 578 b; lamb, ram s., swam., foeman (,ysame = together, see § 38) : came 12 a, 433 a, 448 a; late: fat 433 b [For had: wade: trade: made 286 a, cf. § 268]. — Nor are these cases absolutely certain. 1 Cf. NED: cam (=came), Northern form, 13th-16th c.; madde pp. (=made) 15th c.; mack inf. (=make) 15th-16th c. [cf. Hart's us a in making ((make & maketh with us a) Jespersen p. 94]; lacke (= lake) 14th-16th c.; brack (= broke) Scotch and Northern form, 14th c. onwards; etc. Cf. further Curtis Anglia 16, 300 ff. (numerous cases of us a ~ us a in Scotch at the beginning of the 16th c.). — However, it cannot be ascertained to what extent Spenser knew and had in mind these and similar forms; but at any rate, his rimes us a : us a can not be looked upon as very numerous (for rimes με ἄι(c), ἄs(c), ἄth: με ā, see § 282.

B. µs ai: µs ă. obtain'd: hand 423 a.

Pope. Rimes $ass: \mu \epsilon \bar{a}$, see § 118.

με ă: με ā(r). Paris: Maries 381. — back, track: make, take 53, 95. Alse: tales 150. that, at (Lat.): debate, (e)state 131, 292, 327, 411. satires: dedicators 64 [fame: Buckingham 462]. — The rimes have: crave, gave, knave, slave, wave 148,

 $^{^1}$ Bll has $\mu\epsilon$ ă ~ $\mu\epsilon$ ā in take (Hauck p. 37) as well as in some other words of the same kind; cf. § 282.

220, 297, 321, 322 may be $= \mu \epsilon \bar{a} : \mu \epsilon \bar{a}$, as $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$ in have is known still by Lediard and some contemporary foreign orthoepists (Löwisch p. 34).

με ă: με ai. man: plain, maintain, vain 108, 131, 194. land: remained, complained 85, 152 [tail: animal 183].

ue ă: ME ē. break: crack 273.

Byron. με ă: με ā(1). Charity: rarity 699 a. narrative: comparative: share it if 822 a. secretaries: parries: varies 770 a. — Adam: made him 600 a. back, slack(en): -take(n) 376 b, 602 a. Italian: a value on: Pygmalion 760 b. ram: lamb: tame 677 a. amber, clamber: chamber 170 b, 263 b. Thamis: *damme's *: fame is 776 b. as: base: place 158 b. hat, that: date, late, state 368 b, 782 b. stature: nature 613 b, 800 b. gathers: bathers 757 a. have: brave, crave, gave, grave, rave, slave, wave; affidavit (814 a) 209 b, 231 b, 316 a, 359 b, 362 a, 677 a, 757 a, 814 a. fashion, passion: nation, -ation, -asion 16 a, 787 b, 791 a, 816 a, 832 a. imagine: waging: raging 713 b.

με ă: με ai. No certain cases. In the rimes (for)bade: made, trade: aid, afraid, pray'd 331 b, 666 b, 711 a, (for)bade probably has the pronunciation με ā [W. 1791 gives at; in later editions a², e. g. that of 1872 by E. Smith, and that by Young 1849, used by Storm (p. 370). This later transcription may be due to misinterpretation, on the part of later editors, of W.'s Princ. 75].

με ă: ME ę. ate: cat: great 376 b (ate is probably meant to have the pronunciation με ā, as rimes με ĕ: με ă are very scarce in Byron). parable: arable: bearable 825 b.

SWINBURNE. (-)chamber: amber PB I: 322; SBS 209. have: save PB I: 284. — hath: scathe s. (cf. § 119): faith PB II: 217.\(^1\) — For bade: maid PB I: 276 cf. Byron. St. D. gives bade with disputed pronunciation (i. e. \(\mu\)\epsilon \(\tilde{a}\)\(\mu\)\(\mu\)\(\tilde{a}\)

Present E. æ:e.

Spenser. μ e \ddot{a} : μ e \ddot{e} (? see §§ 268, 282). hath 3 sg.: death 599 b.

The rime gather: Belwether (OE weor) 515 b is probably based upon the form gether, used by Spenser in the rimes thether: geather 518 a; hether: gether 456 b [For gether cf. NED; for thether cf. § 89; for hether cf. NED (besides Butler gives [ĕ] according to Ellis)].

114

¹ Villon, cf. § 1.

Pope. shadows: Meadows n. pr. 478. — Probably correct are Stanyan n. pr.: any one 474 (= $\mu\epsilon$ ă: $\mu\epsilon$ ă, see § 250). — For rimes to Mall, see § 123.

Byron. had: led, dead, dread 376 b, 824 a. shadow: meadow 600 a, 607 a. palfrey: belfrey 377 a. Rondello: fellow: shallow 372 b. Alp: scalp: help 364a. Mammoth: Behemoth 613 b. empire: samphire: vampire 780 b. many: Sennæ: Ipecacuanhæ 769 a. gather: together 604 a. Besides chambre (F.): amber: remember 827 b.

The rime thrashing: refreshing 146 b is probably based on the variant thresh. — any ill: spaniel 656 b, and shallow: yellow: fallow 765 a, may both be rimes μ s ă: μ s ă; for any see § 250; yellow is given with μ s ă by G. Sharp 1786 (Ellis p. 1052), a pronunciation mentioned — though disapprovingly — also by Walker, who quotes several 18th c. authorities both for the one and for the other pronunciation.

SWINBURNE. had: bade: glad: head PB I:254. shadow: meadow E 65. fallow: shallow: yellow SS 197. carry me: bury me AC 90. gather: heather A 204; gather: heather, weather PB II:165; SS 210; SSp 6; TL 239, 357.

Present E. æ:ij.

115

Spenser. $\mu \in \bar{a} : \mu \in \bar{e}$. stature: creature 522 b (see § 180); have: leave, reave, sheave s. (= sheaf) 261 b, 362 a, 485 b (cf. § 112). Pope. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. aa:æ.2

Spenser. demand: band s., hand, (under)stand 370 b, 384 a, 116 478 a [Rimes to present E. weak-stressed -ance, -ant, cf. B. § 184].

has 3 sg.: lass s., mass (< OF), (-)pass 24 b, 145 a, 206 a; hast 2 sg.: blast s., fast, passed pp. (spelt past) 100 b, 473 b, 482 b; hath 3 sg.: bath, path, wrath 30 b, 64 a, 176 b, 288 b, 500 a; are all correct (cf. § 268), as well as gather: father 515 a (cf. § 281).

— The form salve v. (= to save) in the rime salve: behalve s. 250 a is due to influence of OE sealfian (B. § 183; cf. Koeppel p. 11) or borrowed from Lat.; the rime may be = µe ălc: µe ălc.

¹ Repeatedly. Used in the burden. The language in this poem is strongly infused with dialectal (Sc.) forms.

² As present E. aa-words have been considered romance s., Romance a. (= Kenrick; St. D. gives present E. aa ~ \varepsilon in Romance a.), finance, financier, pant v. (CD: present E. aa), all with ME or early Mod. E. au-forms; and ass s., mass (< OE), mass (< OF), in which CD gives present E. aa (NED \varepsilon).

117

POPE. command, demand: band, hand, (-)land, (-)stand 51, 62, 66, 85, 99, 107, 118, 145, 146, 151, 153, 156, 159, 159, 211, 220, 262, 278, 315, 343, 367, 384, 394, 422, 493 [advance: complaisance, ortolans 408, 442].

BYRON. Scamp (n. pr.) ill: example 147 a. sample, example : ample, trample 631 b, 756 b, 767 a. command (ment), demand: and, band, hand, land, stand, strand; bland, grand, expand; planned, scanned, spanned, trepanned 9 b, 17 a, 20 b, 31 a, 33 b, 73 a, 74 b, 80 b, 83 b, 101 a, 112 a, 135 b, 130 a, 130 b, 141 a, 144 a, 147 b, 172 a, 172 b, 170 a, 196 a, 224 a, 246 b, 261 b, 262 a, 264 a, 265 a, 266 a, 266 b, 271 b, 286 b, 291 a, 292 a, 294 b, 299 b, 300 a, 302 b, 317 b, 322 a, 340 a, 370 a, 381 a, 389 b, 547 a, 548 a, 597 b, 624 a, 631 a, 645 a, 646 b, 658 b, 663 a, 679 a, 681 a, 697 a, 711 a, 711 a, 743 a. 745 b, 760 a, 760 a, 787 a, 790 a, 820 a. Alexander: gander: salamander 814 a. dance, glance, trance: expanse 138 a, 196 b, 285 a. stanzas: dance as: France has 617 b. answer: can, Sir 70 a. grant-O: canto: portmanteau 69 b. Franceces: dances is: phantasies 782 b. cant s.: shan't 697 a. — class, glass, pass, amass: as, gas, passus (Lat.) 113 b, 151 b, 336 b, 622 b, 705 b, 722 b, 776 b. fast. last, past: hast 2 sg. 89 a, 161 a, 203 a, 226 a, 691 b. father: gather 29 a, 86 a, 106 a, 323 b, 675 b. path: hath 3 sg. 538 a. path, lath (wrath cf. § 119): hath 3 sg. 179 a, 194 b. — Further command do: Orlando 374 b; Allemande: understand: hand 823 a.

In palaver, rimed with slaver s. 147 a, CD gives present E. æ. — salam: am 248 b is probably = $\mu\epsilon$ ă: $\mu\epsilon$ ă, as »Salam» is given with a in the Supplement of Walker's Dictionary (later editions); damn: »Salām»: am 775 a is uncertain, but the spelling »-ām» (if Byron's own) seems to indicate the usual present E. aa.

SWINBURNE. (per)chance, dance, France, glance, trance: man's PB I:139; SBS 23. — hath 3 sg.: wrath (cf. § 119; Byron) PB I:272; TL 23. path, wrath: hath 3 sg. PB I:106; SBS 272.

Present E. aa: eə.

Spenser. hard, regard; marred (317): bared, declared, fared, prepared, spared, stared 140 a, 279 a, 313 a, 317 b, 459 a, 515 a, 519 a.

are has the regular $\mu \epsilon$ ar in the numerous rimes to $\mu \epsilon$ ar and $\mu \epsilon$ air (are is often rimed also to $\mu \epsilon$ ar, then with very few exceptions spelt arre): are: bare a., bare pret., care, declare, dare, (-)fare v., welfare, compare, rare, snare, spare, square, stare, (un)-aware 164 a, 295 a, 343 b, 410 a, 417 b, 435 a, 435 a, 504 a/b, 554 a,

578 b, 583 b, 589 a, 593 b. are: air, fair a., heir (spelt ai), hair, impair (spelt a 67 a) [: bare pret., care, fare, com-, prepare, rare, share] 8 b, 67 a, 290 a, 432 a, 581 a.

Pope. hard: dared 483. — star, Issachar: air 74, 156, 469. — star: bear v., wear 37, 166.

are has µɛ ār in are: care, declare 141, 220, 322; are: chair 328. Byron. bard, guard, hard: prepared, spared 191 b, 276 b, 277 b, 279 a, 629 a, 785 a. scarce: farce 61 b. — are: spare 93 a. whate'er: Rag Fair: are 614 b.

SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. aa:ei.

811

SPENSER. place: space: grasse 511 b. — blast s., brast (= burst), (over)cast, fast a. & adv., aghast, last a., forepast a., repast s.: taste, waste: chased, defaced, agraced, unlaced, (over)placed, rased 62 a, 82 b, 173 a, 226 b, 232 a, 246 a, 321 a, 327 b, 332 a, 350 b, 365 a, 429 a, 450 a, 578 a. [Present E. -aced (pret. & pp.) are spelt -ast(e); present E. -aste are spelt -ast(e); present E. -ast (and passed) are spelt -ast, rarely -aste].

The rimes blast s. & v., brast (= burst), (fore-, over-)cast v., fast s., fast adv., aghast, last a., last v., (-)past a., (-)passed pret. & pp., repast s.: chaste, haste, taste, waste a. & v. 18 a, 22 a, 30 b, 32 b, 36 b, 42 b, 65 b, 146 a, 151 a, 164 b, 187 a, 197 b, 213 a, 234 b, 267 a, 301 b, 344 a, 348 a, 364 a, 379 a, 402 a, 403 b, 410 b, 415 b, 417 a, 419 a, 446 b, 461 b, 466 a, 466 a, 473 a, 475 a, 484 a, 485 a, 485 b, 491 a, 493 b, 500 a, 526 b, 528 b, 596 a may all be $= \mu$ s ast: μ s ast: cf. for chaste etc. Viëtor Sh. § 35. — Equivalent to these rimes are those to waist (cf. § 58): haste: waste: overcaste: vaste 68 b; wast: cast 302 b; faste a.: waste 456 a. — Placidas: embras s.: Amyas: pas v. 274 b is uncertain; the spelling embras points to μ s as. Is the form a direct loan from Chaucer (cf. § 13)? — scath s. has μ s ath (Gill [a]) in the rime wrath: scath 30 b; μ s a probably in rathe adv.: scathe s. 485 a (rathe occurs only in this rime and in rathe: bathe v. 467 a).

Pope. laugh: safe 61. — ass (499), brass, glass, grass, mass, pass: face, grace, place, race 18, 57, 116, 119, 198, 264, 327, 396, 449, 499; bass: ass 385 (cf. § 188). last a., last v., mast, past, repast s.: chaste, haste, taste, waste 31, 76, 80, 168, 295, 302, 401. plac'd: last a. 323; pass'd: chas'd 67.

Byron. safe: half: chafe 692 b. — pant v.: faint 602 a. — 119 masses: places: embraces 377 b. blast, (over) cast, fast, last a., past, classed, passed: haste, taste, waste 85 b, 167 a, 209 a, 645 a,

734 a, 791 b, 832 b. fast, last a., repast, passed: haste: embraced, chased, placed 351 a, 680 b, 740 b, 769 b.

vase s. has the pronunciation με ā in the rimes vase: face, grace, apace, place, race; gaze, trays 376 a, 677 a, 727 b, 750 a. — wrath: scathe v. 325 b may have been a correct με ă-rime, Walker giving scath v. with a as well as wrath s. (~0⁴); the pronunciation με ă is given in wrath also by two American authorities of St. D. — The rime tale: Portugal: de Staël 683 a seems to point to a με ā in Staël; yet cf. the rimes present E. 30: aa § 122.

SWINBURNE. everlasting: forecasting: wasting SBS 200. -hasting: wasting: forecasting: blasting: everlasting CR 23.

Present E. aa: e.

SPENSER. at last: cast pret.: fast: creast s. (= crest) 250 a may be due to an alteration at the hands of the printer; cf. §§ 9 f., 282.

Byron. by far; he: bury: cemetery 372 a. passage: message: presage 797 b. gesture: vesture: pasture 775 b. — The rime feather: rather: together 376 b may be a rime $\mu \in a$: $\mu \in a$, as rather is given with a^4 by Walker (who mentions also a pronunciation with a^4).

POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. aa:iə.

Spenser. regard: reard 202 a (cf. § 282).

Pope. star: revere 231.

121

122

Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. 55: aa.

Spenser. water: laughter 575 b.

fought, ought pron., thought; overcaught, raught pret., distraught: draught 136 b, 209 a, 246 b, 265 b.

commaund: paund (= pawned) 474 a. avaunt v., paravaunt, daunt, haunt, taunt, vaunt: enchant, grant (both spelt -aunt) 66 b, 70 b, 388 a, 408 b, 525 b, 558 b [Besides some rimes daunt etc.: present E. weak-stressed -ant (spelt -aunt in these rimes); for examples cf. B. § 184].

war (spelt warre): are (spelt arre), bar, darre (= dare), far, jar, Jarre n. pr., mar, star 21 b, 52 a, 71 a, 89 b, 89 b, 101 a, 103 b,

103 b, 110 a, 110 b, 125 b, 132 a, 138 a, 171 a, 232 b, 315 a, 510 b, 514 b, 527 a, 528 a, 532 a, 579 b, 598 a. ward s. & v., reward, out-, thitherward: (dis)card, guard, regard, hard, shard pret. 27 a, 119 a, 141 a, 158 b, 163 b, 297 b, 317 a, 346 b, 411 b, 421 a, 475 b, 484 a, 494 a, 517 b, 533 a, 551 a. ward, reward: regard, (-)guard; embarred, marred 214 b, 219 b, 383 a. dwarfe: scarfe 300 a. swarm, warm, warn: arm (Teut.), (dis)arm (Rom.), charm, farm, harm, alarm 111 b, 143 a, 250 b, 314 b, 353 b, 478 b. Medæwart n. pr., quart, swart a., thwart v., over-, athwart adv.: art, heart, (a)part, depart, dispart v., smart 121 a, 133 a, 133 a, 192 b, 284 b, 524 a.

swarmd: deformd: armd: warmd 126 b; storm: arm (Teut.), arm (Rom.), harm, alarm 401 b, 529 b, 530 a.

POPE. law: ca (F.) 445.

bought, brought, thought: draught 152, 239, 260, 469.

war: bar, car, afar, jar, scar 34, 38, 93, 164, 292, 329. reward: card, guard 169, 242. warm: arm (Teut.), arm (Rom.), charm 20, 28, 42, 168, 380, 452. quarter: garter, martyr 299, 474.

BYRON. bah, éclat, mamma, pas sg. (F.): awe, flaw, law, saw, squaw 617 b, 633 a, 792 a, 801 b, 811 b. applause: pas pl. (F.): laws 808 b. all, ball, call, wall: Staël 97 a, 144 b.

yawn, Sirs: dancers 61 a.

haunt, vaunt: plant 185 b, 325 b. vaunted: enchanted: panted 811 a. intrigante s.: haunt: can't 812 a. chant: vaunt: aunt 768 a.

war: are, (un)bar, car, (a)far, jar, ajar, mar, scar, star; hussar, czar, guitar, Garr n. pr. (Sc.) (, Trafalgar) 5b, 16b, 17a, 20a, 24a, 29a, 37 a, 41 b, 48 a, 85 b, 97 b, 109 a, 121 b, 131 a, 138 b, 140 b, 141 a, 143 a, 167 a, 167 b, 170 a, 172 a, 183 b, 184 a, 195 a, 199 a, 206 b, 214b, 222b, 227b, 233a, 242b, 243b, 251a, 280b, 285b, 298b, 304b, 307 a, 317 a, 331 a, 332 b, 364 b, 368 a, 537 b, 546 a, 613 b, 636 b, 651 b, 654 b, 667 a, 730 a, 754 b, 757 b, 764 a, 778 b, 802 a. warb-(le): barb, garb, garble, marble 600 b, 727 b, 792 a. warred; sward, ward, award, reward; Ward n. pr.; warden: marred; bard, card, guard, hard, regard, retard; gardon, pardon 8 b, 19 a, 19 b, 25 b, 26 b, 37 b, 41 a, 89 a, 101 a, 114 a, 115 b, 120 a, 122 b, 135 a, 145 a, 168 b, 255 a, 258 b, 262 b, 288 b, 302 a, 358 a, 372 a, 546 a, 639 a, 732 a, 741 a, 742 b, 767 a, 780 a, 806 b, 813 b, 817 b. swarm, warm: (dis)arm (Rom.), arm (Teut.), charm, farm, harm, alarm 7 b, 22 b, 55 a, 68 b, 95 a, 172 a, 671 a, 719 a, 724 b, 726 a. harp: sharp: warp 366 a, 693 b. wars: Mars 117 a, 740 a. thwart,

123

athwart: heart, part, depart 105 a, 216 a, 330 a. quarter: barter, martyr, Tartar 107 a, 171 b, 317 a, 649 a, 700 a, 712 b, 732 a, 736 b, 748 b, 751 b.

for: war: bar 368 b. nor: war: star 208 b. or: war: star: are 227 a. gorge: George: charge 731 b. barb: garb: absorb 651 a. warm: arm: form 721 b. arm: warm: storm 663 a. form: warm: charm 800 a. — guardsman: swordsman: Hardsman n. pr. 802 b. — laureate: tory at: are ye at 625 a.

SWINBURNE. war: car, afar, scar E. 31; SBS 256. thwart, athwart: art, heart, start A 73; TL 284. [Cf. rimes to weak-stressed vowel: afterward: Ettarde n. pr. TL 31; afterward: hard, marred PB I: 258, 262, 281, 290.]

Present E. 22: æ.

SPENSER. $\mu\epsilon \, \check{al}(c)$: $\mu\epsilon \, \check{al}(c)$. shall: all, call, fall, gall, hall, thrall, withal 161 a, 173 b, 405 b, 579 a. scalpe: chalke 468 b.— For $\mu\epsilon \, \check{al}$ in shall cf. Ellis p. 902; in scalp $\mu\epsilon \, \check{al}(c)$ is given by Hodges (Ellis p. 1023).

με ă: με ă (cf. § 279). water: flatter, matter 390 b, 551 b. POPE. No cases [Present E. 20: weak-stressed -al 51, 117, 277, 327. — Mall n. pr. (NED: present E. 20; CD: present E. e ~ æ; W.: e²; Kenrick and Lediard: με ἄ; Miège, Ellis p. 1011, [ĕ] in mall = »jeu de paume») is rimed with canal 446, with well 448 (cf. maul v.: stall 398); no doubt both correct, = με ἄ: με ἄ and με ĕ: με ĕ respectively].

Byron. Cossacque: attack: walk 735 a. — caught, taught, daughter, slaughter: water: flatter, matter 622 b, 661 a, 758 a, 770 b, 789 a, 820 b. — water: batter, clatter, flatter, matter, scatter 56 b, 600 a, 625 a, 676 a, 731 a, 808 b, 814 b, 839 b. — paragon: Aragon: war again 631 a; barren: warring 11 a; Paris: war is 753 a. — man: spawn: can 777 a; man: ataghan: Amaun 251 a. mansion: expansion: a stanch one 814 b; vaunt: Kant: jaunt 771 a; cantos: vaunt as: Atlantis 783 b.

The rimes all, ball, (re)call, fall, small, thrall: shall (,original) 146 b, 160 b, 164 a, 546 b, 623 b, 815 b, 827 a are probably = μs ăl: μs ă, as well as the rime calls: canals 126 b. It should be noted, however, that shall is given with μs ăl by Johnston 1764 (according to Horn Gr. § 44); further that Webster 1789 gives μs »shol» (Ellis p. 1067) and Bch μs (Ellis p. 1080).

SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. 55:ea.

Spenser. ward, reward: fared, prepared, shared 219 a, 550 b, 586 a. — glorie v.: varie v. 538 a is probably a rime $\mu \epsilon \ \breve{o}$: $\mu \epsilon \ \breve{o}$ in glory is given with $\mu \epsilon \ \breve{o}$ by Hart, Jespersen p. 101 (cf. Gill: $\mu \epsilon \ \breve{o}$ in glorious $\chi \ \mu \epsilon \ \breve{o}$ in glory); for vary cf. § 112.

Pope. war: care, glare, compare 33, 63, 293, 312, 399. war: pair, mayor 158, 400. war: tear v. 161. war: prepare, bear v. 156. — reward: prepared 254 [For call: Baal 407 cf. § 272].

Byron. reward: prepared 172 a, 322 a.

SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. 22:ei.

125

124

Spenser. tale s.: call: overall 338 a. — The rime away: decay: day: Spau (= spa) 71 a may be based upon some special pronunciation of »Spau».

Byron. paused: caused: chased 729 a.

POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. 22:e.

126

SPENSER. No certain cases. In the rime bereft: left: theft: oft 266 a, oft no doubt stands for eft; cf. § 10.

Pope. Byron. Swinburne. No cases [The rimes Pall Mall: με ĕ (Pope 448, cf. § 123; Byron 742 b, 777 a) are of course = με ĕ: με ĕ, cf. § 123].

Present E. 22: ia.

127

Pope. reward: appear'd 378.

Spenser. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. ou: 55.1

128

SPENSER. saw: overthrow s.: below: throw v. 302 a (Sc.-aw-forms of the -ow-words are not probable, cf. B. § 191).

roade pret.: baude s. 233 a (roade here possibly stands for rade, cf. § 112).

(en)gross: cross, endoss, loss 179 a, 474 a, 555 b. boast, coast, host (= army), most: cost, lost; embossed, crossed, tossed (all spelt

¹ For the sake of convenience I have regarded monosyllables such as aloft, broth, dross, moss, etc. as present E. 22- (= μ E oth-) words, though they have [2] (= μ E o) in present St. E. (cf. Horn Gr. § 59); while disyllables such as foster, gospel, etc. have been regarded as present E. 2- (= μ E o-) words (= in present St. E.).

-ost(e)) 25 a, 244 a, 278 b, 417 a, 418 a, 435 a, 449 a, 463 b, 515 b, 535 b, 543 a, 579 a. post s. & v.: cost, lost, embossed (spelt -ost) 159 a, 470 b. ghost: tost pp.: post s. 508 a. loth a.: troth s.: both: moth s. 90 a (cf. § 297) [The rimes both, lo(a)th a., loathe v., oath, go'th: wroth a. 87 b, 90 a, 135 b, 151 a, 207 a, 214 b, 230 b, 275 b, 301 a, 303 b, 375 a, 376 a, 394 b, and wroth: loth: overblo'th 194 b, both: wroth: goth 3 sg.: know'th 286 b (wroth has present E. ou~ >0, according to Horn Gr. § 52), were probably = $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q} : \mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$ and $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q} : \mu \epsilon$ ou respectively in Spenser's pronunciation; cf. Gill's $\nu \bar{Q} = \mu \bar{Q} : \mu \bar{Q}$

rote: wrote: note: report 132 a; most: ghost: mine host: enforst pp. 375 b.

abode, bode pret., glode pret., load, rode pret., road, troad s. (cf. § 41), trode pret. & pp., yode pret.: broad, abroad 78 b, 141 b, 155 b, 192 a, 205 a, 211 a, 249 b, 354 a, 378 b, 407 b, 418 b, 474 a, 516 b, 516 b, 560 a.

Pope. engross: cross, moss, Ross n. pr. 229, 252, 271 [Cf. Charing-Cross: nos (Lat.) 444]. boast, coast, ghost, host, most: frost, lost, tossed 14, 43, 61, 62, 62, 84, 88, 100, 114, 124, 170, 203, 205, 242, 294, 338, 386, 409, 461, 464, 481, 482, 482, 488, 492 [oath: wroth 142, cf. Spenser, above, was probably intended to be $= \mu \epsilon \bar{Q} : \mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$]. — load, toad: abroad 277, 280. groats: Oats 496.

BYRON. (en-, dis-) closed, disposed, supposed: caused, paused 711 b, 729 a, 828 a. notion: ocean: precaution 731 b.

thought: remote: caught: sought 194 a.

boat, petticoat, float, note, quote, remote, throat, wrote, oats: brought, ought v., sought, thought 188 b, 265 b, 285 b, 358 b, 652 a, 678 a, 703 b, 757 a, 758 b, 786 b, 808 a, 832 a.

close v.: gloss 54 b. loss: Grose n. pr. 741 b. loss: cross: close a. 656 b. cross, dross, loss: gross 615 a, 738 b, 740 a. boast, coast, ghost, host, most, post, roast: accost (201 a), cost, lost, crossed, tossed 6 a, 33 b, 48 a, 56 a, 58 a, 66 a, 67 a, 98 b, 99 a, 119 b, 144 b. 149 a, 156 a, 162 b, 180 b, 183 b, 184 b, 188 b, 189 b, 194 b, 195 b, 201 a, 215 b, 253 a, 254 b, 279 a, 281 a, 281 b, 292 b, 303 a, 329 a, 333 b, 343 b, 378 a, 632 b, 647 a, 649 a, 661 a, 669 b, 670 a, 676 a, 680 a, 752 b, 777 b, 826 a, 838 b. both: broth, Visigoth, Goth 139 a, 168 b, 836 a. both: growth: broth 664 a. — [The rimes both, oath: troth s., wroth 13 a, 21 b, 334 b, 751 b (for wroth cf. Spenser, above; troth has present E. ou ~ 30 according to St. D.) were possibly = $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q} : \mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$ in Byron's pronunciation (yet W. gives only O^4 in troth, wroth).]

abode, code, road, rode: broad, abroad 58 a, 348 a, 704 a, 804 b. SWINBURNE. No cases. [The rimes loth, oath, sloth: troth, wroth L 85, TL 48, 102, B 74 are probably intended to be = present E. ou: ou; cf. above.]

Present E. 5; ou.

129

SPENSER. µs \bar{q} : µs \bar{q} . anon (spelt -one), (for)gone (spelt -on 590), shone pret. & pp.: alone, bone, fone (= pl. foes), groan, moan, Pavone (= peacock), stone, throne, home (472) (,Chrysogone n. pr., -ion) 20 a, 28 a, 41 b, 46 b, 66 a, 81 a, 92 a, 109 b, 157 a, 188 b, 207 a, 221 b, 222 b, 238 b, 351 b, 414 a, 461 b, 472 b, 491 a, 496 b, 503 b, 541 b, 553 b, 590 b. Lone n. pr.: ygone 289 b.

με ὄ: με ὄ. As such are to be considered the rimes με ὅ: gone, anon (in these rimes both words are generally spelt -on), cf. B. § 100. I have found no rimes shone: με ὅ in Spenser. — In gone Smith and Gill give με ϙ̄ (Ellis) [according to Dierberger § 57 also Cheke, Wallis, and Cooper; mistake?]; Bll gives με ὄ (Hauck p. 23) as well as Mason (Brotanek p. XXXII) and the 18th c. orthoepists generally (e. g. Lediard, Kennick, Walker). anon is given with με ὄ (~ με ӑ) by Jones. shone is given with με ὕ by Lediard; with με ὄ by Kenrick and — as best pronunciation (~ με ϙ̄) — by Walker, Smart, Stormonth, etc. (CD & St. D. give με ϙ̄ as the normal pronunciation).

με \overline{Q} : με ou. Chrysogone: upblone: outgone 189 a. alone: ygone: knowne 429 b.

με $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$. Rocke s.: broke pret. 146 a. — upon, thereon (,-ion): alone, stone 200 a, 381 b, 541 a [cf. for rimes on: με $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ in Chaucer and Northern ME texts ten Br. (§ 58) and Luick (U. § 419; further e. g. § 448) respectively; Luick considers these rimes as correct in ME, = με $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$, according to the principle discussed below § 268]. — Not certain are the following cases: Opher n. pr.: cofer 554 b (the -o-in coffre is »schwebend» in Chaucer according to ten Br. § 81); pot: aflot (= afloat) 539 b (cf. the spelling); mote: got, hot, (al)lot, not 236 b, 412 a (cf. § 42, mote).

με \breve{o} : με ou(?) old: solde pp.: extold pp. 433 b. — extol (only extold occurs in the rimes) may have a regular με \breve{o} (>present E. 2) from being a late loan from Lat. (the first quotation in NED is from 1494); a 16th c. variant with με ou (= in toll etc.) is however certainly possible [B. § 108 remarks to the rime given above: »Vor l + Kons. schiebt sich hier leicht ein (u) ein, entsprechend old etc.»]. An early Mod. [ou] can be the ground of CD's $[\breve{o}]$ (χ NED etc. με \breve{o});

as well as of Price's [\bar{o}] (Ellis p. 1007), if he really has in mind this pronunciation of the word when giving it as an exception to the rule o|l = ou, cf. Ekw. § 304. — The rime *extold*: world 70b proves nothing; cf. the other rimes to world § 163.

POPE. $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ & ou. shone: alone, stone, throne 75, 114, 118, 119, 119, 159, 166, 366, 377. shone: own 117, 312 [No rimes shone: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$].

με δ : με δ. God, nod : abode, road, rode 28, 35, 90, 107, 111, 118, 159, 160, 166, 170, 196, 204, 214, 225, 376, 396, 398, 418. hogs: rogues 441. John, on : atone, stone, tone 147, 389, 401. — Here belong probably also gone: alone, throne, stone 387, 459, 480 [cf. gone: John, on, 254, 381, 443, 463 (gone: Addison 472)].

με ŏ: με ou. God, trod: bestowed, showed 52, 129. on: own 294. Probably also gone: own, unknown 121, 294, 306.

130 Byron. με δ: με δ. mob, sob: Job 738 b, 745 a. problem: ennoble 'em 628 b. God, nod: abode, load, mode 31 a, 77 a, 369 a, 547 b. boggle: ogle 622 b. catalogue: vogue: clog 821 a. rock, knock(er): broke, oak, awoke; joker, smoker 600 b, 667 a, 677 b. doctrine: yoked her in 797 b. folly (,melancholy): wholly 637 a, 706 a. gone: groan, (a)lone, stone, throne 6 b, 30 a, 43 a, 43 b, 44 a, 54 b, 55 a, 58 a, 59 a, 110 b, 178 a, 193 b, 248 a, 249 a, 253 b, 263 b, 267 b, 269 b, 276 b, 282 a, 284 b, 300 b, 308 a, 309 a, 314 b, 315 b, 318 a, 339 a, 356 b, 365 b, 547 a, 727 b, 752 b, 792 b, 798 b, 831 a. Bonn: gone: Cologne 771 a. (up)on (,Solomon): alone, stone, throne 98 b, 105 b, 246 b, 337 b, 370 b, 373 a. don't: won't: upon't 706 a. Ancona: »donna»: shone a 696 b. honours: donor's 769 b. hopes: stops: copes 364 b. cot, got, lot, not, sot: capote, coat, float, quote, remote, rote, smote, wrote 132 b, 150 a, 196 b, 379 b, 749 a, 816 b.

με \eth : με ou (: με $\bar{\varrho}$). flow'd: abode: God 232 a. gone: own: grown 654 a. (up)on, John: alone, known, own 172 b, 317 a, 726 a. owner: donor: honour 833 a. shoulders: beholders: solders 155 b.

dome: bomb 310 b, and from: home: bomb 743 b, are doubtful rimes. Of dome Walker mentions a pronunciation with o² (yet not in the edition of 1791). In bomb Walker gives $u^2 \sim o^4$; according to St. D. the word has disputed pronunciation in present E.: $\mu \in \breve{O}$ (usually) $\sim [\breve{u}] \sim \mu \in \bar{O}$.

The rimes shone: $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ & ou are probably based upon the $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ -variant of shone, for which see § 129; though of course traditional influence is possible as well. The rimes are as follows: shone: $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ 26 a, 37 b, 67 a, 78 a, 80 a, 200 b, 259 a, 260 a, 295 b, 318 a; shone: $\mu\epsilon$ ou (: $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$) 12 b, 12 b, 66 a, 208 b, 250 a, 315 a,

321 b, 324 a [To με ŏ I have recorded only the rimes shone: gone 53 a, 261 b, 312 a, besides some cases shone: με ŏ: other vowel, cf. this § (above), and §§ 154, 192, 207].

SWINBURNE. God: abode PB I: 136. honeycomb: clomb: foam: from PB II: 33. upon: bone, stone PB I: 271, 274. alone: anon PB I: 276. (-) gone, shone, on, **che son**: alone, bone, stone PB I: 284; CR 21; SBS 199; TB III. (there)of: clove, wove PB I: 20, 123. — own: agone: shone: alone PB II: 193. upon: blown: grown PB I: 303.

The rime throat: wote pres. PB I:272 contains the archaic wote with $\mu\epsilon~\bar{Q},~cf.~\S$ 41.

For the rimes shone: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ TL 7, 13; shone: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ (: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$) TL 27, 81, 110, 233, CR 20, TB 47; cf. above, Byron [To $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ I have recorded 23 rimes in Swinburne, besides some cases containing $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ + other vowel, cf. this § (above) and § 154].

Present E. o:oo.1

131

Spenser. begot: whot (= hot): got: brought 289 b. — gotten: soften: often 229 b.

In the rime glory: storie: hory: sory 424 a, »sory» has the regular $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ (cf. Chaucer soory, Sweet HES p. 336). glorie: sorie 593 a may be $= \mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ or $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$. § 124.

Pope. abroad: rod 275. Sot a.: groat 317. — grot: thought 487. — unaw'd: God 399. — was: draws 93, 470.

BYRON. off-ing: scoffing: coffin 790 b. loss: philosopher, gossip, Ariosto 101 b, 676 b, 685 a. — before all: moral 45 b. glory: hoary: sorry 156 b. — god, odd, nod, trod: (a)broad 738 a, 768 a, 815 b, 819 b. — forgot, lot, not: ought, sought, wrought 200 a, 698 b, 700 b. — fraud: God 374 a. bother: author 162 a. — maudlin: twaddling 107 a. was: (be)cause, laws 659 a, 663 b.

SWINBURNE. prophet: of it: scoff it: doff it A 184. — not: sought, thought, wrought PB I: 136, 207, 289, 296, 305; SBS 214; TL 42. — not: thought: distraught: fought: spot: wrought SBS 18. — sundawn: thereon PB I: 135. — chrysophras: pause: was PB I: 260.

Present E. o:aa.

132

Spenser. Carle: quar'le (< OF quar(r)el): marle 143 a.

For want: pant, plant (& weak-stressed -ant, cf. B. § 184)
119 a, 370 a, 525 b, cf. § 280.

¹ Cf. § 128, foot-note.

A. Gabrielson

133

For the rimes was: ass (601 b), alas, brass, glass, grass, lass, mass (< OE), mass (< OF), (-)pass (,Amyas, Capias, Placidas) 14 b, 15 a, 28 b, 36 b, 37 b, 44 a, 44 b, etc. (88 cases), cf. § 310.

Pope. was: pass 329. — For wanted: planted 257 cf. § 280. Byron. laurels: Charles 371 a; cf. § 133. — wander: Alexander 170 b. want: grant, pant, plant 158 a, 235 b, 636 b, 711 a, 829 a, 830 a. — was: ass (4 cases), alas, class, glass, grass, mass (< OF), (-)pass 61 b, 63 a, 64 a, 119 a, 149 b, 157 b, 190 a, 207 b, 226 b, 241 b, 256 b, 302 a, 333 b, 358 a, 358 a, 368 b, 620 b, 650 b, 669 a, 698 a, 723 b, 730 b, 743 a, 767 a, 780 a, 790 a, 814 b, 821 b, 824 b. wast 2 sg.: cast, classed, last, past 162 a, 176 a, 361 b.

SWINBURNE. wanted: panted PB I:227. — was: alas, glass, grass, pass PB I:1, 24, 26, 148, 166, 212, 258, 268, 273, 288, 292, 298, 308; SN 7, 16, 125; SBS 65, 82; TL 87. wast 2 sg.: fast, past PB II: 58, 69; SN 22, 32.

Present E. 3:æ.

Spenser. µe wă: µe ă. swan, wan: ban, can pres., began, man, ran, scan, span pret., wan pret. 53 b, 100 a, 109 b, 110 b, 118 b, 170 a, 185 a, 221 a, 240 b, 267 a, 271 a, 275 b, 324 a, 449 a, 477 b. wand s.: band s., hand, land, stand 246 b, 327 b, 339 b, 424 b, 525 a. was: has 134 a, 348 a, 552 a. what: thereat, chat, flat, forgat pret., sat, that 40 a, 189 b, 430 a, 466 a, 474 a. wash: flash, scratch 110 b, 357 b. watch: attach, dispatch 372 b.

με ŏ: με ă. follie: jollie: dallie 233 b. anon: Gentleman 519 a. dishonour, (up)on her: banner, manner 388 b, 573 b. arrowe: sorrowe 471 a. batt s.: hott a.: lott s. 506 a.

POPE. swan: man 236. wands.: hand, stand 115, 408, 415. wander: Mæander 43, 478. what: that 321. watch: thatch 178.

Byron. washed: unabashed, clashed, dashed, lashed 86 b, 662 a, 814 b. watch: batch, catch, despatch, hatch, match, snatch, thatch 144 a, 184 a, 219 a, 307 b, 372 a, 692 a, 739 a, 754 b, 762 a, 820 a. squabble: rabble 619 b. quality: hospitality, liberality, (im)-morality, reality 617 a, 629 a, 716 b, 796 a, 798 b, 815 a, 834 a. swamp: damp, lamp, stamp 198 b, 656 b. swan, wan: man, span, caravan, Franguestan 156 a, 250 a, 256 a, 734 a. wand: and, bland, expand, hand, land 80 b, 103 b, 221 a, 634 a. salamander: wander 601 a. want: scant 166 b. wants: ants 172 a. Levant: want: Alicant 675 b. quarrel: barrel 703 a. Suwarrow: marrow 731 a. was: as, has 728 b, 795 a. wassail: vassal 831 b. what:

at, chat, fat, hat, sat pret., sat (Lat.), that 148 a, 148 a, 150 a, 163 a, 621 b, 632 b, 641 a, 678 b, 693 b, 718 a, 721 b, 730 b, 761 b, 779 a, 784 a, 817 b. rattles: battles: what else 793 a. squatted: chatted (: decorated) 705 a.

damages: homages 784 a. bottle: throttle: cattle 811 b. Suwarrow: to-morrow: harrow 737 a.

laurels: quarrels: barrels 640 a [cf. quarrel(s): laurel(s) 70 a, 607 a; moral: coral: laurel 648 b; laurels: Charles 371 a (§ 132)]. In laurel Kenrick gives $a^5 \sim a^7$ (= present E. \mathfrak{II} and \mathfrak{II} Byron may have used a long or a short vowel (or both); in any case no doubt equivalent in quality to his μ s au. The same considerations apply to Byron's pronunciation of warrior (: barrier 607 a, 607 b): W. a^3 ; present E. \mathfrak{II} (regular) $\sim \mathfrak{II}$ (~ 20) (

SWINBURNE. wan: began, man, ran (,republican) PB I: 263, 271, 281, 295; SBS 18; TL 21, 30 [Cf. rimes to weak-stressed vowel: e. g. Persians: swans PB I:263; was: chrysophras, lampadias, Herodias PB I:257, 271, 274].

Present E. o:ei.

134

SPENSER. was: case, chase, face, grace 356 a, 376 b, 380 b, 528 a.

Byron. was: blaze 335 a.

Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. o:e.

135

Spenser. grovell v.: levell v. 314 b is no doubt a rime μ s \ddot{u} : μ s \ddot{e} (see § 339). EO (Ellis p. 1077) has an etymologically clear μ s \ddot{u} in grovel; cf. NED . . . »6 grovellynge, gruffelyng, grooveling » . . . The present E. vowel, given by Kenrick etc., seems to be a spelling-pronunciation (cf. Horn, E. St. 30, 122).

Byron. warriors: fox-terriers 732 b. Cf. § 133 (end).

Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. uw: 3.

136

Spenser. μ s \bar{o} : μ s \bar{o} (cf. § 129). shone pret. : Moone s. 537 a.

POPE. No certain cases $\mu \in \tilde{O}$: $\mu \in \tilde{O}$. Charron: buffoon 231 is doubtful, as it is impossible to tell what vowel Pope may have meant in Charron. For buffoon, cf. the rime to noon 252.

Byron. from: tomb 750 a. bonds: desponds: wounds s. 627 a. Swinburne. room: from: tomb: womb SN 72.

137 Present E. uw:ou.

Spenser. A. $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ (? cf. § 320). goe: doe v. 213 b. doe v.: forgoe 512 b. thereto: go 548 b. thereunto: doe v.: undoe: forgoe 357 a. goe: doe v.: wooe v.: adoe 285 a. two: goe: foe: fro 243 a. so: thereto: go 403 b. fro: thereto 259 b. woe s.: doe v.: thereto 401 b. move, reprove: grove, Jove, rove 295 b, 537 a.

B. μ s $\bar{\rho}$: μ s $\bar{\rho}$. Rome: tomb 527 a (Bll has μ s $\bar{\rho}$ in Rome, Hauck p. 17). doole s. [= dole(-ful); F. deuil]: fool, pool, school, stool, tool 147 a, 350 b, 395 a, 398 b (cf. B. § 108).

με \bar{Q} : με \bar{Q} Possibly rose pret.: whose: those 307 b (= B. § 100; Bil gives με \bar{Q} ~ με \bar{Q} in whose, cf. Hauck p. 16; cf. also Hart, Jespersen p. 100); probably also the rimes με \bar{Q} : choose, lose, lose a. & v. (cf. B. §§ 171, 106, and further the spelling loast = loosed 539 b) — με \bar{Q} : choose (spelt chose) 34 a, 199 b, 344 b, 400 b, 406 b, 425 b, 493 b, 520 a, 560 a; +: lose, loose 166 a, 399 b; με \bar{Q} : lose 160 b, 248 b, 395 a, 467 b; με \bar{Q} : loose a. & v. (spelt -ose, ~-oo-) 152 a, 153 a, 190 a, 224 a, 253 a, 254 a, 529 a; engroste: coste (= coast): lo'ste (= loosed): disclo'ste 176 b; loast (= loosed, NED): ghoast 539 b.— In the rime home: fome s.: gloome v. 590 a, Spenser may have had in mind a »g' \bar{Q} ne», connected with the Northern »g: \bar{Q} ning» (present E. gloaming); the sense of the word (= gloam, NED) is in favour of this explanation. The spelling may of course be an alteration of the printer's, owing to his not knowing a form with $[\bar{Q}]$.

The rime deows. (= dew): flow v. 561 b seems to require a (not evidenced) *dow, either formed according to ten Br. § 49: OE $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$, $\bar{\mathbf{e}}_1 \mid w > ME \bar{\mathbf{e}} - \bar{\mathbf{a}}$, $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$, or else due to the analogy of the words of which such variants actually existed (e. g. rew s. ~row, show ~ shew, strow ~ strew).

POPE. foredoom: home 78. lose: chose pp. 481. (re) move, prove: grove, Jove 14, 160, 161, 162, 318. — billet-doux pl.: rows s. 74 (cf. billet-doux sg.: true 74).

The rimes do: so 498, do: show 138 are uncertain, on account of Wallis' and Cooper's $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ in do (Ellis p. 1006). — Rimes $\mu \in \bar{Q}$:

µs o are Broome n. pr., (fore)doom: Rome 66, 88, 370 (cf. e. g. Horn Gr. § 96, 4; Ellis p. 1014); domes: hecatombs 327; rooms: honeycombs 493 (Horn l. c., Ekw. § 292).

Byron. do, to, who: foe, (a)go, no, so, woe 107 a, 178 b, 378 b, 541 a, 810 b. do, two, who: bow (OE boga), know, below, show, throw, though (: foe) 149 a, 160 b, 373 b, 709 a, 737 a, 751 b, 806 a. abode: load: bestow'd: mood 223 b. spoke: smoke: Tooke n. pr. 162 b. doom, (en)tomb, whom: home, domos (Lat.) (: Rome) 73 a, 110 b, 706 a, 820 b. group, stoop, troop: hope, ope, Pope 710 b, 725 b, 747 b. lose, whose: foes, nose, rose, those, woes 158 a, 195 b, 722 a. choose, lose, whose: knows, shows, snows (: foes, those) 172 b, 791 b, 808 a. strove: remove 28 a.

Correct rimes $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$ are certainly Rome: doom, tomb 9 b, 108 a, 344 b, 607 b, 686 b, 697 b (still W. 1791 gives $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$ in Rome); probably also dome s.: bloom, gloom, tomb, plume 24 b, 30 b, 32 b, 140 b, 180 a, 240 a (Walker, later editions, mentions a London pronunciation of dome with $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$. Horn Gr. § 96,4 quotes this pronunciation from W. 1791 (first edition); I have not found it there). The rimes canoe: foe, know (archipelago) 340 a, 342 b, 352 a (,345 a) may be $= \mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}: \mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$, as Bch gives $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$ in canoe (Ellis). Yet Kenrick and W. know only $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$; cf. Byron's rimes canoe: grew 353 b, canoe: do 616 a.

SWINBURNE. abode: mood PB I:289. move: drove pret. PB I:60; woven: proven: cloven SS 183. youth: growth TL 17.

Present E. up: pp (,oup).1

138

Spenser. sore v. (= soar): More s. (= Moor) 526 b. poor (spelt-ore; -00-300 b, 405 a, 477 a, 578 a): before, therefore, hoar a., lore s., (-)more, oar, shore, sore, store, restore, wore pp. 30 a, 60 b, 64 a, 64 b, 196 b, 213 b, 297 a, 300 b, 405 a, 477 a, 578 a. afore: therefore: Paramore 253 a; floure (= floor): Paramoure 128 b; Paramoure: succoure: floure (= floor): poure v. (= pour) 133 b.

As present E. ua- words are here regarded also moor s. (and compounds), moor v., Moor s. (all given with present E. ua ~ 200 in NED, only with [ū] in CD and St. D.), Moore n. pr. (not given in NED or CD; St. D. gives [ū], Flügel and Wenström – Lindgren give an [ō]- vowel ~ [ū]), whore (CD and St. D. give an [ō]- vowel; Flügel gives an [ō]- vowel ~ [ū]: »geziert, bes. theatr.»). W. 1791 transcribes all these words (Moore n. pr. is not mentioned) as »-oor», but he mentions a pronunciation = »more» of moor s. and Moor s. — I give under this heading also the rimes present E. 20: paramour, though -our has not the stress in this word in present E. Cf. § 143, foot-note.

Pope. Matadores: Moors 79. poor: door, floor 303, 487, poor: store, yore 145, 240, 250, 255, 293. whore: door (441), four (331), adore, more, explore, score, shore, store, restore 94, 143, 233, 234, 257, 329, 331, 332, 339, 339, 414, 414, 441. whores: abhors 325 [cf. whore: Moore n. pr. 273, 283, 498]. amours: doors 147.

Byron. paramour: o'er 178 b; nor: paramour: store 727 a. poor: hoar, more, shore 171 b, 626 b. unmoor: shore 249 a; and (:present E. oue) mooring: lowering 56 b. Moor: pour; door; (corridor), before, gore, o'er, shore, store 98 a, 168 a, 168 b, 192 b, 197 a, 221 a, 260 b, 266 a, 290 a. Moore n. pr.: pour; o'er, shore, sore, restore, yore 100 b, 100 b, 109 b, 115 b, 117 b, 122 b. Moore n. pr.: nor 648 b. whore: more, shore, sore 128 b, 144 b, 688 b. whored: lord 782 a.

SWINBURNE. moorland: foreland: norland PB II: 164. — whored: lord SBS 35 [Rimes whore: Walker o'r not collected].

Present E. u:uw.

139

SPENSER. good, hood s., womanhood, (-)stood, wood s.: brood s., food, mood, rood s., wood a. 23 a, 34 b, 40 a, 63 b, 76 b, 116 a, 167 b, 245 b, 276 b, 282 a, 286 b, 308 b, 311 a, 385 a, 402 a, 414 b, 419 b, 452 b, 501 a, 525 b. mood: stood: woo'd pp. 324 a. foot: boot v., boot s., (un)soote a. & adv. 92 a, 433 b, 455 b, 474 a, 485 a.— All correct; cf. §§ 320, 326.

Pope. good, wood s.: food, rood 149, 209, 210, 442. good: endued, pursued, rude 208, 215, 445. full: rule 53.

BYRON. good, goods, hood, Hood n. pr., (-hood,) (-)stood, wood s.; could, should, would: brood, food, mood, rood s.; conclude, en-, subdued, feud, renewed, prude, rude, sued, en-, pursued, intrude, viewed (, solitude etc.) 102 a, 108 a, 113 b, 122 b, 150 a, 157 b, 178 b, 183 a, 188 b, 199 a, 218 b, 222 a, 225 a, 243 a, 248 a, 262 b, 276 b, 280 b, 286 a, 304 a, 307 b, 328 a, 336 a, 338 a, 345 a, 350 a, 372 b, 376 a, 625 a, 631 b, 636 a, 656 b, 658 b, 679 b, 689 b, 692 a, 702 b, 707 a, 725 b, 727 b, 753 b, 757 b, 767 b, 774 b, 776 a, 800 b, 838 b. brook, crook, hook, look, nook, shook, took: duke, rebuke 88 a, 119 a, 151 b, 181 b, 644 a, 783 a, 783 a, 802 a, 809 b, 810 a, 821 b. foot: boot, root, brute, acute, dispute, mute, pollute. fruit, suit; through't 136 b, 187 a, 283 a, 316 a, 317 b, 337 a, 349 b, 604 a, 607 a, 692 b, 707 a, 747 a, 748 b. duty: booty: sooty 775 b.

John Bull, full : fool, school 127 b, 735 a, 783 a; bully s. : truly : newly 802 a.

SWINBURNE. good, stood, wood (,-hood): brood, food, mood; elude, imbrued, subdued (, multitude) PB I:249, 290; SSp 128; SBS 160; TL 19, 245; L 79, 106, 110; TB 28, 36; MH 48. (-)foot:-root, fruit, pursuit PB I:29; SBS 28, 188; AC 4. woman: human PB I:121.

Present E. u:o.

140

Spenser. No cases.

Pope. wood: God 175, 212. woman: uncommon 478.

Byron. produce: a good use 768 b. brook it: pocket 773 a. (un)common: woman 154 b, 633 b, 807 b, 810 a.— The rime bosom: blossom 547 b is somewhat uncertain. Bch gives με ŏ (Ellis) in bosom (= Bll: Luick, Anglia 14, 281 foot-note); W. only με ō; Kenrick με ō ~ με ŭ (= present E. ε); besides the present E. u may have been used in Byron's time. It is impossible to tell which pronunciation Byron had in view in this rime.

SWINBURNE. bosom: blossom PB I: 86, 96, 177, 200, 229; PB II: 81; SS 70, 110; SBS 61, 80, 92. The rimes are probably only emergency rimes present E. u: 5. They can hardly be interpreted as rimes present E. v: 5 (which are rather numerous in Swinburne), as the pronunciation v in bosom (= Kenrick) is only vulgar in present E. (Storm p. 379).

Present E. u:ou.

141

SPENSER. $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ (?). shooke pret.: broke pret.: stroke s.: wroke pp. 265 a. looke inf: broke pret.: spoke pret.: tooke pret. 309 b. mistooke pret.: broke pret. 332 b. Gill gives $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ in shook, took, look; Bll $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}\sim\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ in took (Hauck p. 20). Cf. B. §§ 100, 105; Grundr. § 103.

Correct, = με \tilde{o} : με \tilde{o} , is probably strooke pret. : smooke s. (= smoke) : looke s. : shooke pret. 350 a; cf. Smith's »it smokes » with με \tilde{o} , Ellis p. 903; B. § 101; Luick U. § 469.

με ou : με ou (§ 324). could, should, would : bold, fold s. (= pen), unfold, manifold, gold, hold s. & v., behold, old, (un)told; mould (Rom.) 26 b, 38 b, 47 a, 48 a, 116 a, 126 b, 221 b, 280 a, 280 b, 313 b, 322 a, 322 a, 327 b, 364 a, 383 a, 406 a, 496 a, 516 a, 543 b, 596 a, 600 a.

POPE. look: (be)spoke 130, 148, 169, 406. strook: broke pret. 155.

Byron. smoking: looking: stroking 752 b. woman: Roman, no man 58 b, 615 a, 793 b.

In the rime Lord Coke: look: nook 825 b, Coke has [ŭ]; (cf. St. D.: present E. u ~ ou).

SWINBURNE. No cases.

142

Present E. au:u.

Pope. proud: good 328.

Spenser. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

143

Present E. aua: ua.1

SPENSER. flower, lower, tower: conjure, endure, recure, sure 127 b, 346 a, 541 b.

bower, lower, devour, flour, flower, deflower, hour, power, stour, tower(all spelt -ow-; occasionally -ou-): Belamour, Blandamour, Paramour, Scudamour [, conqueror, warrior] (all spelt -ou-; except Paramowre 153 a) 99 a, 108 a, 153 a, 167 b, 169 a, 191 a, 192 b, 209 b, 237 a, 253 a, 255 a, 275 a, 276 b, 283 b, 406 a, 418 a, 432 b, 456 a, 493 b, 511 b, 513 a, 582 b, 605 b. Blandamour: recoure (= recover, trans., cf. § 46) 277 a. Toure n. pr.: Paramoure: flowre: stowre 159 a [For rimes to present E. weak-stressed ME-ūr (= the Rom. ending -our), see B. § 138].

The rimes yours: bowers, powers, stours 34 a, 581 b, 590 b are no doubt = $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$: $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$, cf. ten Br. § 49; Ellis pp. 910, 1018.

POPE. sour: poor 291.

Byron paramour: hour 324 b; tambour: hour 259 a. — hour: bower: Moore 637 b (cf. § 322).

SWINBURNE. paramour, Triamour: flower, hour, our, power, shower PB II: 33; L 41, 69; TL 32, 127; TB 29.

144

Present E. au: uw.

Spenser. $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{u} : $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{o} . thereto: doe (=do): to (=too): disavow 385 a. brood s.: food: proud: mood 573 a. about, out; Colin Cloute n. pr.: root s. (spelt-roote) 471 a, 485 a, 541 a.

με ū: με ū. mouth: drouth: couth 118 a; uncouth: mouth 473 b; wowed (=wooed): vowed 560 a (cf. § 46); swownes. & v. (=swoon): με ū 16 a, 178 a, 184 b, 188 b, 272 a, 320 a; swounds. (=swoon): με ū 46 a, 162 b, etc.; wounds. & inf.: με ū 12 b, 14 a, 22 b, 46 a, etc. — (un)couth has here the regular με ū. woo is generally rimed with με ō; wound, swound have only με ū in Spenser. Beside swowne Spenser has the form swone, rimed:

¹ I give, under this heading, also the rimes paramour etc., tambour, Moore n. pr.: present E. auə (cf. § 138, foot-note), and the rimes yours: present E. auə.

με \bar{Q} 389 a, see § 192. Cf. B. § 185, Luick U. § 140, Ekw. § 319, foot-note (for woo, swoon). The rimes rowne (=room): renowne (=renown) 183 a, 222 b are uncertain. B. § 130 is of opinion that the spelling -ow-implies με \bar{u} in both words.

Pope. cowl, owl: fool 221, 374. mouth: youth, truth 473, 484. The rimes wound s. & inf.: (re)bound, found, ground, sound s. 27, 33, 34, 42, 86, 101, 179, 312, 484, 492 (wound is rimed only: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$) are correct rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$; EO and Bch give $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ in wound (Ellis p. 1082). brows: ooze s. 388 may be meant as $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$; Jones seems to prescribe $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ in ooze, cf. Ekw. § 333.

Byron. drouth, mouth, south: uncouth, youth 360, 618 b, 145 695 a, 761 b. mouth: youth: soothe 662 b.

prow(s): canoe(s) 34I a, 35I a may be meant as rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{Q}: \mu\epsilon$ $\bar{Q}:$

SWINBURNE. mouth : youth SSp 18. — For wound : $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{u} SN 43, SBS 97, cf. above, Byron.

Present E. au: o.

146

Spenser. Pope. No cases.

BYRON. polish: owlish 101 b. Here may also be mentioned blonde (F.): monde (F.): ground 800 b.

SWINBURNE. vermilion: shone: renown: upon PB II: 206 (Villon, cf. § 1).

Present E. au: ou.

147

Spenser. $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{u} : $\mu\epsilon$ ou. now: grow, low a. 526 b, 553 b. knowne: downe adv.: crown 176 b. howle v.: owle: sowle 257 a. behold: fold s.: enrould: hould (=howled) 245 b.

couch : reproch : broch v. : approch 162 b is no doubt a rime $\mu\epsilon$ \tilde{Q} : $\mu\epsilon$ \tilde{Q} . As there are no other rimes $\mu\epsilon$ \tilde{u} : $\mu\epsilon$ \tilde{Q} . For $\mu\epsilon$ \tilde{Q} in couch, see Luick, Anglia 16, 504.

Pope. bough, brow, now, thou; spouse (130): blow s., bow s., below, flow, glow, grow, know, show 67, 130, 159, 168, 195 252, 463, 502. crown, down s., gown, town; found: own, (un)known, thrown 60, 74, 119, 145, 158, 170, 256, 293, 322, 322, 472, 481.

brows, vows: compose, goes 174, 482. down adv., frown, gown, town: alone, stone, throne 115, 182, 365.

The rimes bough: $\mu\epsilon$ ou 140, 171, 175 are somewhat uncertain, because $[\bar{o}]$ is recorded in bough by 18th c. orthoepists; cf. Luick, Anglia 16, 494, Ekw. § 316 ff. Yet, of course, the rimes do not necessarily imply that Pope had in view this pronunciation of bough; cf. bough: vow, allow 21, 174.

Byron. bough, bow, brow, enow (69 b), how, now, plough, thou; allow, endow, (a)vow: »ow» (?745 a), bestow, blow, bow. flow, glow, know, (be)low, row s., show, slow, sow v., I trow (69 b). throw, though 12 a, 13 a, 18 a, 21 b, 21 b, 47 b, 63 a, 69 b, 76 b, 104 b, 110 a, 177 a, 179 b, 185 a, 196 a, 203 a, 204 a, 208 b. 209 b, 216 b, 238 a, 241 a, 241 b, 244 b, 251 b, 274 a, 289 a, 307 b, 317 a, 321 b, 342 b, 349 b, 350 b, 362 b, 367 a, 368 a, 620 b, 633 a, 683 a, 690 b, 691 b, 712 b, 713 b, 721 a, 722 b, 726 a, 728 a, 728 b, 734 b, 739 a, 744 b, 745 a, 753 a, 759 b, 764 b, 765 a, 772 b, 787 a, 799 a, 813 b, 827 b, 833 b. below: own 225 b. shroud: cloud: glow'd: allow'd 241 b. crown, down, drown, frown, gown, renown, town: own, grown, known, shown, thrown 4 a, 69 b, 83 a, 155 b, 164 b, 227 b, 633 a, 653 a, 655 b, 686 b, 746 a, 753 b, 766 a, 776 a, 814 a. — brow, now: foe, (a)go, so, woe (: flow, below, show, snow, overthrow) 157 a, 208 b, 230 b, 701 a, 747 a, 823 a. down: own: groan 693 a. close: rose: bows s. 838 a. — cowl, foul, owl: soul 347 a, 831 b, 831 b. howl: jowl: cowl 155 b. cowl: soul: unroll 378 a. fowl: roll: Espagnole 824 a. soul: stole pret.: cowl 840 b. foul: soul: hole: control 190 b.

The rimes prow: below, slow 246 a, 345 a, and prowls: rolls 22 b (cf. howl: prowl: foul 758 a) may be $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$. For prow cf. § 145. prowl has only $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ according to Kenrick, and this pronunciation is mentioned as a variant by W. 1791; cf. Koeppel p. 45.

SWINBURNE. bough, brow: glow, grow, know PB I: 244; SBS 280. down: sown pp. PB I: 290. growth: mouth PB II: 197 (Villon, cf. § 1). mouth: loth PB I: 136, 277.

Present E. au: 33.

POPE. out: thought 474.

Byron. withdrawn: down: frown 710 b.

SPENSER, SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. aua: 50 (,oua).

150

149

SPENSER. bowr: doore 53 a. owre (= ore): howre: powre s. 177 a. powre s.: flowre (= floor): stowre s.: devoure 391 b. poure v.: floure (= floor): power: devoure 575 b.

The rimes pour v. (spelt poure, power): bower, devour, dower, flower, deflower (289 b), power, scour, shower, stour, tower 124 a, 125 a, 168 a, 289 b, 342 b, 362 a, 491 a, 502 b, 503 b, 507 a, 596 b, 604 b are correct, $= \mu \epsilon \ \bar{u} : \mu \epsilon \ \bar{u}$, cf. § 293.

POPE. power: adore, more, o'er 13, 74, 220, 339.

The rimes shower: pour v. 27, 164, 377 are probably = $\mu s \bar{u}$: $\mu s \bar{u}$, cf. § 293.

Byron. bower, devour, hour, lower v., power: door, floor; adore, more, restore, soar; four 2 b, 368 a, 374 b, 702 b, 708 b. cower, flower, hour, power: lower, slower 215 a, 296 b, 677 a. bestower: shore: power 367 a. — For the rimes pour v.: hour, shower s., coward 42 b, 64 b, 88 a, 147 a, 268 a, 318 a, cf. § 293. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. v: au.

151

Spenser. No certain cases $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$: $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$. thus (,hideous, vitious): house 218a, 223 a (as well as the numerous rimes house: weak-stressed ending -ous, see B. § 139; other cases 493 a, 509 b, 527 b) may be rimes $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$: $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$, due to a variant of house with shortened vowel; cf. B. § 139. Mount s.: front 66 a is probably based on the ME \Bar{u} - variant frount, cf. B. § 142 (front occurs only in this rime). wount a. (= wont): recount: surmount 131 a may be a rime $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$: $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{o}$; cf. § 334, B. § 125. bough s.: enough, tough; rough 108 b, 576 b are correct rimes $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$: $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$ or $\mu\epsilon\ \Bar{u}$ if, according to Luick, Anglia 16, 490 ff.

POPE. No cases.

Byron. hundred, wonder v.: founder v. 613 b, 789 b. front, wont (, Passamont): count, (dis)mount 195 a, 372 b, 376 b. but: shut: doubt 668 b.

SWINBURNE. brows: does: calamus PB I:260. doth 3 sg.: mouth, south PB I:4, 29, 277, 283, 294, 306, 319.

Spenser. dull: full 500 b. wolfe: gulfe s. 474 b. but, rebut, cut, englut: put 41 a, 89 a. brush, gush, rush: bush, push 26 a, 69 b, 93 b, 157 b, 216 b, 452 b.

blood (spelt -oud 14 a, 263 a, 266 a, 299 a), flood: good, goods s., (with)stood, wood s. 14 a, 23 a, 30 b, 48 b, 58 a, 58 b, 71 a, 74 b, 98 a, 110 b, 151 b, 152 a, 173 a, 184 b, 186 a, 210 a, 240 a, 240 b, 244 b, 263 a, 266 a, 282 b, 287 b, 288 b, 290 a, 299 a, 305 b, 365 a, 368 b, 372 b, 381 a, 434 a, 492 b, 496 a, 496 b, 515 a, 538 a, 550 b, 578 a, 581 a, 597 b, 601 a.

Woods: buds s. 458 a. budde v.: good 481 a. — Lud n. pr.: good 136 a. flood: mud s.: blood: good 302 b.

POPE. dull: full 397, 421, 464.

blood, flood: good, (with)stood, wood s. 24, 32, 35, 35, 38, 39, 43, 44, 57, 68, 91, 95, 101, 102, 108, 116, 117, 131, 135, 160, 161, 168, 172, 173, 198, 210, 211, 250, 263, 492. — blood: could 128.

Byron. sudden: pudding: mud in 775 b. full (,beautiful), pull; bullet: annul, cull v., dull, lull; gullet 45 a, 153 a, 647 a, 671 a, 700 a, 728 b, 738 b, 794 a, 803 b, 804 b, 806 b. gulf: wolf 313 a, 328 a. a dumb one: woman 676 b. but, cut, hut, shut; mutton: put 632 b, 667 a, 675 a, 723 b, 778 a, 829 b. blush, brush, flush, gush; concussion, discussion, Russian: bush, push; cushion 330 b, 737 a; 765 a, 766 b, 769 b, 809 a.

blood, flood: good, (-)stood, woods. 19 a, 19 b, 60 a, 167 a, 250 a, 250 a, 252 a, 260 a, 289 b, 310 a, 323 a, 350 a, 354 b, 371 b, 799 b. hood: blood: should 840 b.

blood: mud: good 714 b. truculent: succulent: look you lent 762 a. but: put: foot 692 a.

SWINBURNE. blood, flood: good, hood, stood, woods. PB I: 27, 83, 164, 262, 273, 273, 282, 283, 296; PB II:75; AC 49, 82; SN 7, 21; TL 17, 21, 140; TB 108.

Present E. v: (j)uw.

SPENSER. blood, flood: brood, food, mood 17 b, 171 a, 188 b, 197 a, 260 b, 328 a, 502 a, 504 b, 539 b, 560 b, 606 a. woo'd: blood 509 a. Equivalent to these is the rime food: feood (= feud): blood: brood 232 b, cf. § 80. — (-)come, some, -some: bloom, broom, doom, groom, room, tomb; whom, womb 62 a, 86 a, 86 b, 227 a, 263 b, 281 a, 299 b, 318 a, 340 a, 362 b, 402 b, 519 a, 534 b,

588 b, 590 b, 600 b [Cf. Christendome: some 474 b]. — love (spelt -00- 162 a), above, dove: behove, (-)move, (-)prove 7 b, 27 a, 30 a, 32 a, 35 a, 56 b, 85 a, 88 b, 90 a, 99 b, 103 b, 119 a, 133 b, 134 b, 158 b, 158 b, 162 a, 167 a, 168 a, 168 b, 189 a, 203 a, 204 b, 208 b, 211 a, 222 b, 227 a, 229 a, 229 b, 230 a, 237 b, 239 b, 239 b, 252 b, 261 a, 261 a, 264 a, 268 a, 268 b, 273 a, 274 a, 274 b, 276 a, 276 b, 279 a, 279 a, 293 a, 347 b, 366 a, 373 a, 376 a, 412 b, 435 b, 446 a, 447 a, 454 b, 464 a, 493 b, 501 b, 516 a, 535 a, 545 b, 559 a, 583 b, 586 a, 587 a, 587 b, 589 b, 590 a, 591 a, 593 a, 599 a, 590 a, 601 a, 601 b, 606 b; equivalent are probably the rimes love: hove inf.: move 21 a; love: dove: hove inf.: move 586 b; see § 44. — fordonne pp.: soone: boone 197 a. — groomes.: come: sommes. (= sum) 323 a. soon: donne pp.: wonne pp. 321 a. Moones.: Sunne: runnes. 327 a.

The rime covet: renew it 549 b is probably = $\mu\epsilon \ \bar{o}: \mu\epsilon$ ew. A variant of covet with $\mu\epsilon \ \bar{o}$ — though not evidenced, cf. Gill: $\mu\epsilon \ \bar{u}$ — is not improbable, as the vowel quantity of Rom. words was very unsettled in early Mod. E., cf. § 245 foot-note; NED records a 16th c. form coouet.

Pope. blood, flood: food 23, 195, 213, 214. blood: embru'd 168. come: doom, room; tomb, womb 39, 78, 101, 156, 212, 331, 332, 476, 480. love, above, dove: (-)move, (-)prove 19, 20, 21, 21, 22, 27, 34, 35, 43, 43, 44, 44, 45, 52, 62, 64, 92, 99, 99, 100, 100, 101, 103, 103, 104, 106, 106, 107, 108, 109, 109, 110, 112, 112, 123, 133, 136, 139, 143, 149, 151, 152, 167, 175, 176, 179, 211, 232, 234, 240, 252, 265, 272, 275, 279, 296, 309, 325, 325, 341, 456, 457, 459, 459, 462, 468, 482, 482, 502. — dull, skull: fool, school 64, 94, 321, 343.

BYRON. blood, flood: food, mood, rood 239 b, 251 a, 265 b, 329 a, 336 a, 366 a, 658 a. proof: enough: hoof 376 b. come, some: doom, tomb 65 b, 161 a, 368 b. presume: doom: some 701 a. summit: overcome it: entomb it 775 a. love, above, dove, glove: (-)move, (-)prove 5 a, 5 a, 6 b, 7 a, 9 a, 9 a, 19 a, 19 b, 23 b, 24 a, 26 a, 27 a, 30 b, 38 a, 42 b, 46 a, 46 b, 48 b, 50 a, 53 a, 54 a, 57 a, 63 b, 64 a, 69 a, 74 b, 77 a, 88 a, 104 a, 104 b, 110 b, 110 b, 184 b, 188 a, 202 b, 203 a, 215 a, 227 a, 236 b, 254 b, 264 b, 274 b, 275 b, 288 b, 308 b, 311 a, 328 a, 346 a, 358 b, 362 a, 374 a, 537 b, 537 b, 619 b, 638 a, 670 b, 671 b, 674 a, 678 a, 692 a, 699 b, 712 a, 712 b, 762 b, 763 a, 770 a, 813 a, 814 b, 815 b. lover of: Souvaroff 734 b. — beautiful: dull: school 668 b. discussion, Russian: Andalusian: -ution 101 a, 727 a, 796 a.

154

SWINBURNE. blood: food, mood, rood PB I:269, 295; SBS 120, 156, 256. love, above, dove: (-)move, (-)prove PB I:40, 93, 198; PB III:118, 181; SS 23; A 188; SBS 41, 60, 165, 192, 192, 192, 196; TL 5, 8, 9, 11, 16, 22.

Present E. v: o.

Spenser. [For instances of the numerous rimes present E. o (& v): weak-stressed endings -on, -ion, cf. B. § 142; other cases occur 537 b, 552 b, 554 b.]

με \ddot{u} : με \ddot{o} . overcommen pp. (= -come) : commen inf. 338 a. με \ddot{o} : με \ddot{o} . one : occasion : upon 98 b. attone adv. : don inf. (present E. o) : on 324 a.

με \bar{Q} : με \bar{Q} (§§ 129, 332). one, none, attone adv.: anon (spelt -one), gone, shone pret. 13 a, 128 a, 158 a, 254 b, 257 b, 428 a, 468 a, 471 a.

με ŏ: με ŏ (? § 335). among (+ sprong 146 a, cf. § 48): long, along, song s., strong, throng, wrong 15 a, 130 b, 146 a, 192 b, 362 b, 419 a, 456 b, 481 a, 485 a, 536 b, 548 b, 559 a, 560 a, 588 a. dong s. (= dung), tong(e) (= tongue) (+ hong 36 a, 46 b, cf. § 48): long, along, prolong, song s., strong, wrong 11 a, 23 a, 36 a, 46 b, 66 a, 98 a, 122 a, 421 b, 606 b. For the rimes gon (= gone): begon pret. sg. (= began) 140 a, thereon: -upon: won pp. 401 a, cf. §§ 43, 129.

Pope. flood: nod 412. — shone: none 370. none: gone 323. one: John, on 177, 318. — sun: upon: none 57. — run, sun: (up)on 164, 180, 250, 319. tongue, young: long, along, song, strong, wrong 74, 100, 221, 311, 320, 320, 389 [Addison: gone 472. Gormogon: one 421. Solomon: one, sun 140, 141].

The rimes front: on't 258; other: pother 291 may be considered as correct (= \mu \opera : \mu \opera \opera \opera \mu \opera \overa : \mu \opera \overa \ov

Byron. body: bloody 752 a. — object: subject: project 832 b. judgment: lodgment 649 b. enough, rough, stuff: of 223 a, 366 b. offer'd: proffer'd: suffer'd 724 a. introduction: construction: concoction 793 a. Tom: some 106 b. the Commons: summons

71 b. one, none, done, fun, gun, begun, run, sun, son, shun, ton, won: shone pret. (547 b, 820 b), gone, anon, (up)on, John, Doctor Donne (69 b), honour (622 b, 765 b), (Acheron, Ilion, Macedon, skeleton) 55 b, 56 a, 61 b, 69 b, 76 a, 83 b, 107 b, 114 a, 118 b, 128 b, 154 b, 161 b, 163 a, 170 a, 172 a, 177 b, 182 a, 196 b, 197 a, 209 b, 210 a, 218 a, 225 b, 236 a, 238 b, 248 b, 254 a, 273 a, 274 b, 285 b, 312 b, 315 b, 328 a, 336 a, 337 b, 355 b, 547 b, 613 a, 614 b, 622 b, 633 a, 633 b, 639 a, 655 a, 655 a, 659 b, 665 a, 670 a, 683 b, 689 a, 706 b, 716 a, 738 b, 741 b, 756 a, 765 b, 793 a, 802 a, 818 b, 819 b, 820 b, 827 b, 838 b. plunder, under, wonder; hundred: ponder, yonder 706 b, 736 a, 792 b. nonsense: one sense 735 a. Johnson: sun soon: monsoon 744 a. Continent: one tenant 782 b. convent: one vent 721 a. among, tongue, young(ster), sung, hunger : long, along belong, song(ster), strong, throng, wrong 69 a, 76 a, 147 b, 181 b, 182 a, 202 a, 215 b, 228 a, 311 a, 355 a, 363 b, 379 b, 547 a, 607 a, 613 b, 626 a, 628 b, 642 a, 668 a, 684 a, 701 a, 720 b, 771 a, 778 a, 788 b, 789 a, 821 a. cup s. & v., up: drop, stop, optim 762 b, 769 a. hurry, worry: sorry 149 a, 151 a. thorough: borrow, sorrow 537 b, 778 b. mosque: tusk 737 a. apostle: jostle: tustle 155 b. brother, mother, (an)other: bother 616a, 738a, 783a. love is: novice 634 b.

The rime frontier: one tear s. 767 b may be based on the variant of frontier with μ s \ddot{u} (still in use; cf. NED). The rimes (front, cf. above,) wont: font, upon't 154 a, 704 b, 829 b may have been = μ s \ddot{o} : μ s \ddot{o} , as Bch gives μ s \ddot{o} in wont (Ellis); the present E. ou-variant of wont seems to be of very late origin (cf. Koeppel p. 58; W. has only u^2) and was probably unknown to Byron.

SWINBURNE. blood, bloody: god, rod, body PB I:28, I20, I78; SBS II3. — one, none, done; dun a., son, sun: shone (PB I:260; SN 5; TL I4), gone, John, (-)on, anon (,Aragon, Bourbon, question) PB I:139, 259, 260, 285, 286, 288, 328, 329; PB II:202¹, 203¹, 206¹, 207¹; SBS I44, 229; SN 5; TL I4. wrong: among, tongue PB II:225; MH I79. current: torrent SBS II4. furrow, thorough: borrow, morrow, sorrow A I86; CR 65, 76. love, above, dove; enough: (-)of PB I:3, 5, 6, 9, 25, 29, 60, 73, 76, 89, I06, I08, I25, I33, I33, I35, I36, I37, I39, I66, 207, 207, 209, 216, 217, 257, 263, 265, 273, 276, 280, 280, 283, 284, 285, 290, 292, 299, 306, 3I4; PB II:194; SN 9, 49, 50; SSP 9, II, I3, I9, I05; SS 30, I49; AC 33, 76; A I77; CR 66; SBS I00, I40, 2I3, 2I4, 2I9, 220, 261, 272; TL 6, I4, 32, 76, II0, 35I.

¹ Villon, cf. § 1.

Spenser. done inf. (= do): one, none: alone, prone, throne 158 b, 165 a. done pp.: one: moan, stone 381 b. — love, above: Iove 286 a, 434 a, 578 b.

In come: Rome 538 a, Rome no doubt has $\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$, cf. § 137. — The rime discover: over: hover: lover 411 b (for hover cf. Koeppel p. 55) can be = $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}$: $\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$ (Bll and Gill give $\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$ in over, Ellis p. 898; Hart $\mu\epsilon\bar{o} \sim \mu\epsilon\bar{o}$, Jespersen p. 101) or $\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$: $\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$ (in discover $\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$ is not recorded but certainly probable as a variant, cf. by covet § 153, further B. § 140, Luick U. § 417). Finally there is the mere possibility that the rime is due to a mistake by the printer: covered over, for over cover (cf. § 9 f.).

 $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in ou$. one, none (§ 332): blown (OE $\bar{a}w$), unknown, own s. & v., (-)thrown (: alone) (Geryone, paragon) 30 a, 47 a, 344 b, 362 b, 403 b, 560 b, 582 b.

με \bar{Q} : με \bar{Q} . one, none, attone adv.: alone, bone, fone pl., groan, Jone n. pr., loan, (be)moan, stone, throne (,Coridone, Deucalione, Paragone, affection, contention) 84 b, 106 a, 117 a, 172 a, 182 b, 193 b, 215 a, 238 b, 248 b, 255 a, 264 a, 264 b, 277 b, 283 b, 291 b, 292 a, 292 a, 295 a, 305 a, 307 a, 326 b, 328 a, 341 b, 346 a, 358 b, 379 a, 386 b, 403 a, 408 b, 450 b, 452 b, 455 a, 458 b, 484 b, 491 b, 499 a, 502 a, 508 b, 532 a, 545 b, 573 a, 578 b. — Here belongs (in spite of the spelling -00-) Demophoon: one: one 506 b, cf. ten Br. § 71; probably also Bellibone (= *a bonibell - - a fayre mayde, or Bonilasse, * Glosse 1579): one 455 b.

Pope. one, none: (-)known, own, shown (: alone) 50, 124, 139, 141, 150, 213, 213, 230, 299, 412, 458. son: own 165, 294 [Addison: own, shown 264, 382]. won: shown 481. — one, none: alone, stone, throne 18, 120, 133, 137, 198, 211, 423, 480. (-)come: home 195, 292, 383, 383, 466. done: throne 122. son: throne 165. begun, run, sun, won: alone, bone, stone, tone 114, 118, 131, 149. love, above: alcove, grove, Jove, rove, strove 15, 16, 17, 19, 19, 21, 24, 39, 42, 59, 102, 156, 159, 162, 170, 172, 194, 254, 259, 341, 446, 481, 493, 495.

BYRON. noble: trouble 613 b. 782 a. come, some (,-some): dome (205 b, 316 b), foam, home, roam; Homer 81 b, 148 a, 173 b, 177 b, 203 a, 205 b, 267 a, 278 a, 281 a, 299 b, 301 a, 316 b, 330 b, 613 a, 613 b, 617 a, 629 b, 660 a, 664 a, 676 a, 779 a, 832 a. home: come: hum s. 639 b. one, none, done: own, grown, shown (: alone, throne) 56 a, 232 b, 368 b, 632 b, 733 b, 785 b. one, none, done: (a)lone, stone, tone, throne, zone 50 a, 55 b, 56 a, 63 a, 251 b, 299 b, 329 b,

705 b, 799 b. son: none: alone: one: done 322 a. dozen: chosen: cousin 710 a, 787 b. nothing: clothing, loathing; both; doting 621 b, 629 b, 781 a. nothing: growth in: both in 705 b. love; above, dove; (dis-, re-) cover; hover (725 a, 771 a): cove, grove, Jove, rove, strove, wove; Dover, over 2 a, 5 b, 6 b, 9 a, 9 a, 9 a, 9 a, 13 a, 14 a, 15 b, 16 a, 18 b, 28 a, 28 b, 29 a, 35 a, 43 a, 45 a, 79 b, 86 a, 88 b, 100 a, 100 a, 105 b, 266 b, 269 a, 274 b, 284 a, 295 b, 302 b, 324 a, 351 b, 372 a, 379 b, 613 a, 613 b, 616 b, 635 a, 637 a, 643 a, 668 b, 672 a, 698 b, 702 a, 719 a, 725 a, 726 b, 765 a, 771 a, 787 b.

dome may have $\mu\epsilon \tilde{o}$ (see § 137); in hover $\mu\epsilon \tilde{o}$ is given by Bch and Sheridan, and is also used as a variant in present E. (cf. Koeppel p. 55). The rimes front, wont s.: don't, won't 775 b, 778 a are probably = $\mu\epsilon \tilde{o}$: $\mu\epsilon \tilde{o}$; cf. § 154.

SWINBURNE. clomb pret.: dumb PB I: 300. bones: once PB I: 138. knows: does PB I: 138. chosen: dozen PB I: 180. doth: loth PB I: 276. growth: doth PB I: 284, 299. love, above, dove; (dis)cover; hover (PB II: 129; CR 68): clove pret., cove, grove, strove pret.; over, rover PB I: 59, 62, 92, 100, 100, 103, 104, 111, 331; PB II: 22, 43, 74, 129, 129, 169; SS 37; AC 4, 36, 93; CR 68; SBS 100, 147, 174, 193; TL 197. — [For hover, see above, Byron.]

Present E. v: 00.

156

BYRON. enough, rough, tough: off, cough 163 b, 760 b, 810 b. story: promontory: hurry 695 b. historian: glory on: hurry on 748 a.

SPENSER. POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. v:aa.

157

BYRON. France: advance: once 155 b. Spenser. Popé. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. v:æ.

158

Spenser. other: gather 514 a is = $\mu\epsilon \ \ddot{o}$: $\mu\epsilon \ \ddot{u}$ or $\mu\epsilon \ \ddot{u}$: $\mu\epsilon \ \ddot{e}$ cf. §§ 114, 333.

POPE. No cases.

Byron. Matapan: man: one 675 b. man: one: sun 633 b. banns: once: dunce 836 b. arrow: thorough: farrow 376 a.

SWINBURNE. hungry: angry AC 93.

A. Gabrielson.

159

Present E. v:e.

Spenser. florish: perishe: cherishe 493 a. mother: other: together 282 a. discover: lover: endever s.: ever 329 a.

Byron. flesh: fresh: hush 633 a. self: gulf 77 a. remember: number 28 b. once: dunce: sense 725 b, 788 b. interrupt: supt pp.: accept 707 a. perish'd: cherish'd: nourish'd 751 b. breast: dust 317 b. dust: entrust: must: bless'd 242 a.

POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

160

Present E. v:i.

SPENSER. much: such: rich 214 b (B. § 2); discover: quiver v. 389 a (B. § 140). Both are no doubt correct rimes $\mu \epsilon I : \mu \epsilon I$. Cf. sich § 33; $\mu \epsilon I$ is given in much by Salesbury (Ellis), in cover by Gill as Eastern pronunciation.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

161

Present E. əə: v.

Spenser. The rime trust: first: must v.: unjust 319 a may be based on the variant furst (cf. § 82) or on a form frust (cf. for ME e. g. Morsbach Gr. p. 167), similar to the form thrust (= thirst; § 47).

Byron. hurry: furry: flurry 767 a. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

162

Present E. 22: u2.

Spenser. loord s. (= lout) : word 466 b is probably a correct rime μ e $\bar{\rho}$ r : μ e $\bar{\rho}$ r (for word cf. §§ 164, 286).

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

163

Present E. 22: ou.

 [$\bar{0}$] + 1°, cf. §§ 288, 296) : $\mu \epsilon$ ou (cf. the rimes report, enforced : $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$ §§ 128, 296); the rime 70 b may be a case of the same kind, or = $\mu \epsilon \bar{O}$ °, cf. § 129.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. 99:00.

Spenser. 164

A. Irrelevant and uncertain rimes.

heard pp. : reward 329 b is a rime us ărc: us wărc (heard see § 169). — In yborne: morne s.: werne (= were, ind. pl.) 240 a, Spenser may have had in mind the ME Midland form worne (Kaluza §§ 211 c, 342, Dibelius § 63, Neumann § 193) with με Q̄ [Yet Gill reads this rime »-orn»: »-ërn», cf. Jiriczek p. 129]. - word: (a) board, sword, afford 170 b, 202 a, 212 a, 310 a, 363 b, 364 a, 515 a, 546 a, may be rimes us orc: us orc or possibly us orc: με \breve{o} rc (cf. §§ 286, 289; word has με \breve{o} (rc) ~ με \breve{u} (rc) ~ με \bar{o} (rc), see Luick, Anglia 16, 455; Viëtor Sh. § 53). — word: accord, lord 115 a, 316 b, 522 b, 601 a, are rimes $\mu \in \check{orc}$: $\mu \in \check{orc}$; as well as word: abhor'd 516 a, Lord: word: accord: abhord 353 a. — In the rimes word: (a)board, afford, ford, sword: Lord, accord, record 106 b, 191 b, 199 b, 269 b, 312 a, 318 a; and the rimes Lord: ador'd: scor'd: word 12 a, sword: word: abhord 81 a, restord: accord: word: bord 138 b, abhord: accord: bord: word 217 a, word is probably meant to have the pronunciation us orc; so that the rimes are to be judged as other rimes με ŏrc: με ḡrc & με ḡrc (see § 296). — A rime με ŏrc: με ŏrc is possibly border v.: murder v.: disorder 411 a, where murder may stand for morder, cf. NED. — (re)turn, burn: bourn s. (= stream), mourn 46 a, 107 a, 228 b, 306 a, 511 a, 518 a, 528 b, 545 b, 549 b, 553 a, 556 a, 587 a, may all be correct rimes, = με ŭr^c: με ŭr^c, or, in the case of the rimes (re)turn: bourn, mourn, = με ϙrc: με ϙrc (bourn, mourn, see Luick l. c.; turn is not given with $\mu \in \bar{O}(r^c)$ by orthoepists, but this vowel is certainly possible as a variant, cf. B. § 119). An early us ŭ in mourn is somewhat uncertain (it is given only by Jones, where it may be a provincialism, Ekw. § 343); but it seems to be wanted to explain Spenser's rime to learn 400 a (§ 166); cf. the rimes us ŭ: us e § 339. — The rimes perfourmed: refourmed: retourned 303 a, tourne: transforme 339 b, are probably meant to be rimes με ǫrc: με ǫrc (form see § 291). — nurse (spelt -ou- 98): source 98 b, 607 a; worse (spelt -ou- 295): course, source 295 a, 448 a. discourst: wourst 517 b; are rimes us orc: us orc or us urc : με ϙ̄r^c (worse, worst see Luick 1. c.; nurse is equivalent to turn, see above). — worth: forth 89 a, 237 a, 242 a, 351 a, 450 b, 492 b, 526 a, 536 b, 555 b, 585 b, are probably rimes με ŭr^c: με ŭr^c (worth see Luick 1. c.; forth is given with με ŭ by Bll, Hauck p. 9); as well as foorth: worth: birth 93 b, where birth no doubt stands for burth (OE y, cf. § 350).

A special group is formed by the rimes sworne: retourne: 165 mourne 78 b; (re)turn: horn, scorn, born, (-)borne, forlorn 85 b. 236 a, 374 b, 382 a, 459 b, 574 b; forse: course: sourse: redisbourse 244 a; stormes: wormes 449 b; forme: scorne: worme: deforme 537 b. Theoretically, all these rimes can be based upon [ū]- forms of worm (Luick l. c.), return (often spelt -ou-), redisburse (spelt -ou-; equivalent to return, cf. § 164, as regards the possibility of an $[\tilde{u}]$), developing $> [\tilde{\varrho}] | r^c$ as in sword etc., court etc., see §§ 286, 288. Yet it cannot be positively affirmed that such [o]-forms of these words ever existed, as neither these forms themselves nor the [ū]-forms from which they would spring, appear in the works of the 16th and 17th c. orthoepists - Bll gives us u in worm acording to Hauck p. 12, not uso as stated by Ellis p. 909 — or in the later development of the words. — It seems more plausible to assume that (re)turn and worm have us ŏ-forms in these rimes. Such forms are not actually recorded; yet a µe ŏ in (-)turn is easily explained (cf. B. § 110) if the ground form is Lat. tornare (cf. also the common ME and early Mod. E. spelling -o-, used by Spenser in the rimes 236 a, 450 b); and a us of in worm could spring from OE eo (recorded by Sievers § 72, Anm.), cf. the early Mod. E. [o]-forms of word, world, below § 289. In this case, these rimes of (re)turn and worm would be equivalent to the corresponding rimes of W. o³ r^c (= group e, § 287), cf. § 296. The remaining rime 244 a (to redisburse) would be best interpreted as [ūr]:[ōr]. B. The remaining rimes are as follows (cf. § 355): 166

turne: mourne: learne 409 a. worth: forth: earth 511 b. — burds (= birds): words: Lords 239 b. support: durt (= dirt): hurt 398 a.

167 Pope. earth: birth: forth 181. — work: cork 83. worm: form 184, 276, 472. (re)turn: borne, worn 61, 495. (re)turn, urn: (un)born, horn, morn, scorn 103, 133, 209, 255, 373, 378. worse: horse 499. worth: forth 120, 255, 297.

Uncertain are the rimes burn, return, urn: mourn 37, 102, 156, 200, 260, 337, 395, 396, 449, 479, 500 [on account of Jones'

με ŭ in mourn; cf. also the scarcity of rimes mourn: present E. $\mathfrak{DO}(:borne\ 150,\ forlorn\ 20,\ adorn\ 23,\ 91,\ 155,\ 447)];$ further the rimes word: afford, board, sword 255, 316, 463, 471; word: restored 423; word: cord, lord 131, 142, 301, 302, 317, 405 [: Mauger-Festeau 1696 etc., Ludwig 1717 (according to Löwisch p. 65) give word with με $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$, which could be the regular development of Bll's and Gill's με $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$; yet the foreign orthoepists concerned may of course be simply mistaken, as their notation is not given by any English orthoepist].

Byron. W. e²: 0¹. birth: forth 38 a, 59 b. — birth, mirth: 168 earth: forth 235 a, 365 a, 629 b, 692 b, 723 a. — berth, earth: forth 103 a, 373 b, 381 b, 651 a.

W. $e^2: u^2: o^1$. virtue: hurt you: court you 788 b. forth: worth: birth 828 b. — earth: birth: worth: forth 212 b. — (un)-heard: word: sword 216 b, 259 b. return: bourne: concern 744 a. verse, universe: nurse, worse: source 239 b, 684 a. — dearth, earth: worth: forth, fourth 706 b, 783 b.

W. $u^2: o^1$. bird, stirred: word: afford, sword 677 b, 688 a.—church: porch 317 b, 831 a. word: afford, board, sword; explored, restored, roared 118 a, 126 a, 251 a, 278 a, 315 b, 363 b, 646 a, 690 b, 704 a, 749 a. burden: worth in: forth in 833 b. burn, (re)turn, urn: bourne (5 a), mourn 5 a, 17 b, 27 a, 27 b, 32 a, 54 a, 118 b, 278 a, 607 a, 676 a, 679 a, 748 a. worse: coarse, course, resource, divorce 288 a, 615 a, 630 b, 700 b. worth: forth, fourth 10 b, 73 b, 87 b, 98 b, 143 b, 200 a, 334 a.— word: poured (, deplored) 79 a, 548 b.

 $W.u^2: 0^3 \ (+a^3).$ form: worm: conform: warm 213 b. — purchase: torches: churches 833 b. word: (-)chord, ac-, record, lord, abhorred 47 b, 128 a, 230 a, 298 b, 545 b, 732 b, 803 a. mortgage: burgage: her gage 833 b. work, lurk: cork, fork, stork 118 a, 164 b, 169 b, 216 a, 822 b. worm: form, storm 158 a, 165 a, 268 b, 297 b, 355 a, 390 b, 601 a, 768 b. usurper: torpor 388 b.

W. u²: 0³: 0³: word: afford, horde, sword; adored, restored: ac-,record, lord, abhorred 202 a, 255 a, 549 a, 710 b, 715 b, 754 a, 774 b, 798 a. work, Turk: fork: pork 376 a, 624 a. worth: forth, fourth: north 225 b, 233 a, 633 b, 650 b, 704 a. — lord: word: pour'd 367 b.

SWINBURNE. mourns: urns: yearns PB II: 79. stern: return: yearn: discern: bournes.: return PB III: 117. — chords: words PB I: 292. — word: Lord: adored: poured SBS 152 [Here word may not be meant to rime; the rime-system is not clear].

169

Spenser. heard pret. & pp. (spelt-ea-), preferred (spelt-ard): de-, embarred, hard, marred, regard 21 a, 75 b, 201 b, 292 a, 341 b, 406 a, 558 a; desert s. (< deserve), con-, per-, revert (all spelt-art, except con-, revert 262, 319, 575): heart (spelt-art; -ea-only 575); art s., art 2 sg. pres., dart, (a)part, depart, smart; Britomart 89 b, 99 b, 199 b, 210 a, 221 a, 221 b, 233 a, 235 b, 262 a, 319 a, 384 b, 398 b, 493 a, 575 a, 579 a; warke (= work; < werk, cf. B. § 113): ark, bark, cark s. dark, lark, mark 83 b, 481 a, 539 a; are no doubt all meant to be rimes \mu \mathbb{a}(\mathbb{a}'): \mu \mathbb{a}(\mathbb{c}'). Cf. \mathbb{s} 344 ff; \mathbb{s} 348. For heard cf. also the spelling hard 128 a, 165 a (\mathbb{s} 213), 534 b (\mathbb{s} 214). [heard is also rimed: \mu \mathbb{w} \mathbb{a}r^{\mathbb{c}}, \mu \mathbb{e} \mathbb{e} \mathbb{e}, \mu \mathbb{e} \mathbb{e} \mathbb{e} \mathbb{e}, \mu \mathbb{e} \mathbb{e}

Clerks: werks (= works) 516 b; desert s.: expert: astert v.: revert 482 b; serve, deserve: kerve, sterve (= carve, starve) 109 b, 230 a, 232 b, 516 a; may all be rimes $\mu \in \check{a}(r^c)$: $\mu \in \check{a}(r^c)$ or $\mu \in \check{c}(r^c)$: $\mu \in \check{c}(r^c)$ (kerve and sterve are still used by Dryden, cf. NED carve; Dierb. § 42. Only a spelling?); the same is the case with the rime sermon: Parson 517 a (or is this last rime due to a printer's error?). — preferre: farre 550 b is probably a rime $\mu \in \check{a}(r)$: $\mu \in \check{a}(r)$ (cf. the spelling prefard above). farre: her pers. pron.: jarre (= noise etc.) 406 a seems to be best explained as a rime $\mu \in \check{c}(r)$: $\mu \in \check{c}(r)$ (NED gives several 16th c. cases of far, jar with -e-), as a pronunciation $\mu \in \check{a}(r)$: $\mu \in \check{c}(r)$ (cf. Lediard's $\mu \in \check{a}$ in pearl, Ellis p. 1044) or, most likely, $\mu \in \check{c}(r)$: $\mu \in \check{c}(r)$, as Butler gives the form dearling (Ellis p. 887, Sweet HES p. 356).

armes (Teut.): wormes 530 b can hardly have been meant as a rime $\mu \epsilon \breve{a}(r^c)$: $\mu \epsilon \breve{u}(r^c)$, as such rimes do not occur in Spenser. If not simply due to a misprint (: armes for e. g. formes), the rime seems best interpreted as $\mu \epsilon \breve{a}(r^c)$: $\mu \epsilon \breve{o}(r^c)$ (cf. § 314; for worm, § 165). A form of worm with $\mu \epsilon \breve{a}(r^c) < [\breve{e}] r$ (for ME e-forms, cf. Stratmann-Bradley) might also be assumed (cf. wark above, and the forms warse, werse, werst in Paston Letters, Neumann §§ 18, 58); but to my knowledge such a form of worm has not been actually found.

Pope. preferred: guard 205. desert s.: pert: heart 68, 223, 231, 341, 454, 482. observe, reserve: starve 245, 278, 327 [Cf. err: singular 60]. — Berks [: remarks 302] has present E. aa ~ 30 (Koeppel p. 38); the former is of course the regular (= local)

early Mod. E. pronunciation (given by Jones), the latter is a spelling-pronunciation.

Byron. prisoners: bars: hers 368 b. harmony: Germany: term any 819 b. march: arch: research 819 a, 823 a. clergy: charge ye 614 a. birth, mirth, earth: hearth 16 b, 18 a, 56 a, 107 b, 202 b, 293 a. worth: earth: hearth 630 b.

SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. aa: ea.

170

SPENSER. The rimes her pers. pron.: were 208 a, 413 b, 541 b are uncertain. Chaucer rimes here: ME \bar{e} (Cromie p. 108); but Spenser's rimes cited here need not be cases of that kind. Very likely they are = $\mu \epsilon \, \bar{e} \, r$: $\mu \epsilon \, \bar{e} \, r$, due to a weak form of were (though such a form is not recorded by orthoepists until the 18th c., cf. Byron, below). — The rime heard pret.: far'd: far

POPE. No cases [Cf. swear: thunderer 162; share: commissioner 397].

Byron. her: ne'er, bear v. (+ were) 104b, 379 b, 694 b.—there: err: fair 768 b.— fair: err: her 682 a.— early: fairly 722 b.—heard: bared, cared, dared, prepared, shared 84 b, 704 b, 743 a. were (present E. eə ~ əə) is given by Ellis' authorities with the sound corresponding to present E. eə, up to the latter part of the 18th c. Then µs ĕr is given as the only pronunciation by Bch, Sheridan (Ellis p. 1082), Walker (= -er in prefer, Princ. 94); both pronunciations by Kenrick.— Byron generally rimes were: present E. eə; I have counted 30 rimes of this kind. To present E. əə there are the following rimes: were: err 342 a; her: were 321 b; her: stir: were 621 a, 690 a, 721 b. [Besides there are the two cases were: present E. əə & eə 379 b, 694 b, given above, and three rimes to present E. iə, given § III.]

SWINBURNE. there: her PB I: 289.

were (cf. above) is generally rimed: present E. eə; I have counted 61 cases. To present E. əə I have found only the rime thorn-flower: were: her SBS 197. [Besides hear: were PB I: 287, § 111.]

Present E. əə:e.

171

Spenser. gerle (= girl): fell pret. 427 a is probably a rime $\mu\epsilon$ ϵ_1^c : $\mu\epsilon$ ϵ^c (girl has [I] ~ [\vec{e}] in ME); cf. for similar rimes §§ 128, 163. Pope. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

172

SPENSER. heard pret.: afeard: inferd: appeard 399 b is a rime µs ĕr: µs ēr. — heard pret. & pp. (cf. § 169); herd s. (= flock: spelt -ea-, cf. B. § 35): steered (203 a; spelt -ea-); beard; ateard: feared, appeared, (up) reared, seared 70 b, 96 b, 145 a, 190 a, 193 a, 203 a, 216 b, 217 a, 235 a, 261 b, 263 a, 350 b, 369 b, 382 b, 425 a, 496 a, 525 b, 552 a, 590 b, may all be taken as rimes us er us er (cf. §§ 228 ff., 236). — For rimes to sweard, swerd (= sword), see § 49. - fierce, (em) pierce (both spelt -e-; -ie- only 559): rehearse (spelt -e-), disperse, verse, reverse 32 b, 59 b, 227 b, 559 a; pierced (spelt -e-, -ea-): rehearsed (spelt -e-), reversed; earst 255 b, 365 b, 375 b; may all be rimes us er: us er [Cf. B. § 55: Horn. Gr. §§ 82; 83,2]. It may be added that fierce is given by Bch and Sheridan (Ellis p. 1076) with us e; pierce with us e by Jones (spelt -ea-; cf. Ekw. p. 41), by Bch with $\mu \in \bar{e} - \mu \in \bar{e}$, by Sheridan with us ĕ (Ellis p. 1079); both words by Walker 1791 with e¹ - e². Both these pronunciations are given for both words also in later editions of Walker, yet with the addition that the latter pronunciation »is heard chiefly on the stage». CD and one of the authorities of St. D. still give pierce with the e in over as an alternative pronunciation. — The rimes given above are Spenser's only rimes of pierce, tierce, except for ferce: perce 26 a, empierce: fierce 109 b; in the last rime the spelling -ie- points to a pronunciation us ē.

173 POPE. aver, refer: hear, here 193, 479 [Cf. Westminster, Gulliver: ear 297, 365; sepulchres: years 91; character: steer 52].

In the rimes heard: appeared, revered 42, 120, 306, Pope may have had in view $\mu \epsilon$ ϵ r in heard, given (apart from Bll's [ϵ] and Gill's [ϵ], cf. § 169) by Lediard (Ellis p. 1049), used by Dr. Johnson (Ellis p. 624, foot-note) and mentioned (disapprovingly) still by Walker; according to Ellis' interpretation of Webster (Ellis p. 1069) this pronunciation was almost universally used in America before the War of Independence [Yet cf. Pope's rime heard: averr'd 133. Of the common 16th or 17th c. pronunciation of heard with $\mu \epsilon$ ϵ r there is no trace in Pope's rimes]. — fierce, pierce: verse, universe 193, 286, 343, 471, are correct rimes $\mu \epsilon$ ϵ r: $\mu \epsilon$ ϵ r in Pope's time; cf. above [These are Pope's only rimes to fierce, pierce].

174 Byron. dear etc. (several words with present E. ia): her 537 a. appear: err: her 375 a. heard: word: appear'd 377 b. early: merely: curly 668 b.

The rimes beard: averr'd 197 b, beard: heard 729 b, heard, herd: appeared, cleared, feared, reared, weird 186 b, 296 a, 319 b, 349 a, 350 a, 695 b, 829 a, reverse: pierce: fierce, 720 b, fierce, pierce: amerce, rehearse, verse: curse 230 a, 361 b, 368 a may be based on the pronunciation µs er in heard (see § 173) and the pronunciation µs er in fierce, pierce (see § 172) and in beard, which last word according to Walker (Princ. 228) was often pronounced as if written berd, cf. the note to beard in later editions of Walker (e. g. 1872).

SWINBURNE. cheer: dear: her PB I:29. beard: heard, word PB I:276, 281. dearliest: earliest PB II:180.

In the rimes fierce, pierce: first, thirst; rehearse, mercies, disperse, verse, adverse, perverse, reverse; curse, nurse, worse PBI: 218, PBII: 89, L 15, AC 35, A 33, SSp 71, 104, CR 67, TB 62, TL 198, Swinburne may have had in view the archaic pronunciation present E. 22 in fierce, pierce (see § 172).

II. Rimes containing more than two different rimevowels (in present E. pronunciation).

Present E. i:ij:ai.

175

Spenser. forgive: drive inf.: live: grieve 404 a is = $\mu\epsilon$ ĭ or $\mu\epsilon\bar{\epsilon}: \mu\epsilon\bar{\epsilon}: \mu\epsilon\bar{\imath}$; cf. §§ 88, 94.

BYRON. original: besieging all: obliging all 833 a is a rime $\mu\epsilon\bar{e}:\mu\epsilon\bar{i}$. oblige is given with $\mu\epsilon\bar{e}$ by Jones (Ekw. p. 48), with $\mu\epsilon\bar{e}\sim\mu\epsilon\bar{i}$ by Bch (Ellis), W., and still by CD, and the former pronunciation is of course meant by Byron in this rime.

POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. ea: ia: aia.

176

SPENSER. The rime fyres: Spheres: yeres: theirs 296 a is quite anomalous, unless fyres stands for the Kentish feres, of which form there is, however, no direct evidence in Spenser's rimes. theirs seems to be an alteration of the printer's for th'air(e)s (or possibly for th'eirs), cf. § 10.

POPE Byron, Swinburne, No cases.

177 Present E. e:i:ij, iə.

SPENSER. POPE. No cases.

Byron. heaven, seven: (for)given: even 373 a, 709 b. SWINBURNE. spirit: inherit: merit: rear it TL 200.

178

Present E. ei, ea: e: i.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

BYRON. graven pp.: heaven: driven 365 a.

The rimes bear, wear, where: merit: spirit 629 a, 772 b, 796 b, may be based upon the pronunciation $\mu\epsilon$ ĕ in spirit, cf. §§ 98, 108.

179

Present E. ei:e:ij.

Spenser. ME & : \(\pi\): \(\pi\) (cf. \(\frac{5}{3}\) 100, 109). \(\gamma\) great: \(\sin\) sweat, \(\text{threat}\) : \(\text{beat}\), \(\ext{excheat}\), \(\text{heat}\), \(\text{meat}\), \(\text{geat}\), \(\text{teat}\), \(\text{excheat}\), \(\text{33}\) b, \(\frac{62}{3}\) b, \(\frac{62}3\) b, \(\frac{62}3\) b, \(\frac{62}3\) b, \(\frac{62}3

ME & : με & : με e. necke : wreake : breake : weake a. 314 b. Byron. death : faith : beneath 674 b.

Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

180

Present E. æ:ei:ij.

Spenser. $\mu\epsilon$ ā: $\mu\epsilon$ ē. have: gave, save: leave, receive (spelt -ea-) 138 b, 352 b. stature: nature: creature, feature 240 a, 607 b.

— For have cf. § 112. — stature is not mentioned by the 16th c. orthoepists, but Spenser's rimes (cf. §§ 112, 115) make it probable that it was occasionally pronunced with $\mu\epsilon$ ā.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

181

Present E. æ:e:ij.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases. Byron. devil: evil: cavil v. 711 a.

182

Present E. aa: æ: ei.

Spenser. haste: past: fast: hast 2 sg. 58 b. — bath: wrath: hat'th: hath 3 sg. 87 a. — The rimes bath, path, wrath: hath 3

sg.: scath s. 103 b, 337 b, 577 b, are equivalent to the rimes of hath § 116, as scath has $\mu\epsilon$ ā \sim $\mu\epsilon$ ă(th) cf. § 118.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. 22: aa: e2.

183

Spenser. µe (w)ăr(c): µe ār. ward s. & v., award, reward, hitherward: (-)guard, hard, regard, shard s.; unbarred, marred: declared, fared, com-, prepared, scared, spared 23 b, 77 a, 102 b, 110 a, 114 b, 117 a, 159 a, 183 b, 275 a, 280 b, 299 b, 363 a, 377 b. Pope. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. 22: aa: ei.

184

SPENSER. In the rime fast: wast inf.: coast 532 a, waste s. should probably be substituted for coast, cf. § 10.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. o: ou: oo.

185

Byron. stow'd: abroad: odd 716 a. road: broad: god 773 a. — boat: thought: lot 657 b.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. o: oo: aa.

186

Byron. haunt: pant: want 358 b. granted: wanted: haunted 646 a. — was: pass: cause 370 b.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. o: oo: æ.

187

Byron. want: cant s.: vaunt 627 a. — as: was: cause 784 b. Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. o: oo: ei.

188

Byron. was: bass: maws s. 654 a. — In this rime, Byron no doubt had in view a spelling-pronunciation of bass with pe as; cf. Sheridan, Ellis p. 1073 [In Pope's rime bass: ass

385 (cf. § 118) the pronunciation meant is $\mu\epsilon\bar{a}$, as appears from the spelling base in Warburton's edition (1752)].

SPENSER. POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

189

Present E. o: aa: æ.

Spenser. was: alas, glass, grass, lass, mass (< OF), (-)pass (, Molucas): has 13 b, 15 a, 20 a, 23 b, 148 b, 171 a, 191 a, 220 b, 228 b, 291 b, 301 b, 308 a, 337 b, 343 a, 343 b, 351 b, 373 a, 384 b.

Byron. commander: squander: salamander 735 b. Alexander: wander: meander 727 a. — was: class, pass: as, has 682 b, 723 a, 770 a.

POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

190

Present E. o:aa:ei.

Spenser. was: pas: case: place 374 b. was: passe: embase: case 388 a.

Pope. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

191

Present E. o:æ:ei.

Byron. squabble: rabble: able 697 a. — same ages: damages: homages 791 a.

SPENSER. POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

192

Present E. uw: 5: ou.

SPENSER. alone: anone (= anon, cf. § 129): bemone v.: swone s. (= swoon) 389 a is a rime $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q} : \mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$, or possibly = $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q} : \mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$; cf. B. § 185 who attempts an explanation of a swone with $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$. I have not found the form swoon in Spenser's rimes (for $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$ -forms cf. § 144).

Byron. from: room: home 773 b. Gothic: so thick: through thick 833 b. — In the rimes soon, moon: tone: shone pret. 712 b. 828 a, shone has $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ as usual in Byron, cf. § 130.

POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

193

Present E. uw: ou: 55.

Spenser. broode s.: abrode: abode: lode s. (= load) 288 a is a certain rime $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ (: ME \bar{Q}). In mode s. (= mood)

: abrode : rode pret. : brode 247 b, mood may have μs $\bar{Q} <$ mode, cf. B. § 105; Skeat Et. D.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. u:uw:o.

194

SPENSER. POPE. No cases.

Byron. mood: stood: god 241 a. woman: two men: common 617 b.

SWINBURNE. lose him: bosom: blossom PB I:172. — bosom has present E. u ~ uw; cf. further § 140.

Present E. u:o:ou.

195

SPENSER. POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases. BYRON. woman: common: no man, Roman, Knowman 635 a, 637 a, 718 b, 820 a.

Present E. au:u:ou.

196

SPENSER. mould (Rom.): should: defould 64 b (cf. § 324), POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. aua: ua: oa(a).

197

Spenser. dore = door : towre v. (= tour) : devoure 588a (cf. § 319).

POPE. BYRON, SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. au:uw:ou.

198

Spenser. showed: wowed (= wooed): vowed 412 a is best explained by $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$ in woo, cf. §-144.

SWINBURNE. growth: mouth: youth: south PB II:92.

POPE. BYRON. No cases.

Present E. au: o; ou.

199

BYRON. gone: own: alone: grown: down 103 b. Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

200

Present E. v: au: u.

Spenser. wood s.: stood: bud v.: aloud 407 b. Pope. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

201

Present E. v: au: o.

Byron. on: down: undone 732 b.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

202

Present E. v: au: ou.

Byron. none: town: own 836 b. Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

203

Present E. v: u: (j)uw.

Spenser. blood (spelt -oud 210, 277), flood: good, hood, (-)stood, woods.: broods., food, mood, wooda.; remoud (= removed, 210 b) 36 a, 61 b, 67 a, 70 a, 99 a, 112 b, 173 b, 179 b, 210 b, 277 b, 283 b, 321 a, 329 a, 335 b, 344 a, 378 a, 506 b, 508 b.

POPE. No cases.

Byron. blood: good, (-)stood, woods: brood, food, mood 371 b, 645 a, 668 b, 679 a, 691 a, 815 a. woman: summon: human 797 b.

SWINBURNE. blood: good, woods.; fatherhood: brood, food, mood SN 73; SSp 24. blood: sued: food: good SN 57. stood: mood: good: blood: subdued: rude SS 36.

204

Present E. v: u: o.

Byron. everybody: should I: muddy 825 b. uncommon: summon: woman 809 a. put: not: but 653 a.

SPENSER. POPE. SWINBURNE. No cases.

205

Present E. v: uw: o, ou.

Spenser. loved: roved: proved 320 a, lover: over: recover: move her 321 b, are no doubt = ME \ddot{u} -: $\mu\epsilon \ddot{\varrho}$: $\mu\epsilon \ddot{\varrho}$ (for $\mu\epsilon \ddot{\varrho}$ in recover, cf. § 155). — The rime Rome: roome: doome: overcome

137 b is = ME \tilde{u} -: $\mu \in \tilde{Q}$ (for Rome cf. § 137), possibly also wombe: come: rome v. (= roam): home 291 a (cf. § 320).

POPE. No cases.

Byron. of: move: love 238 a. nominal: overcoming all: entombing all 697 b. — home: come: tomb 679 a. love, above: behove, (-)move, prove: grove, rove, over 191 b, 192 b, 197 b, 674 a, 777 b, 785 a.

SWINBURNE. blood: rod: God: food SN 62. love, above, dove; enough: (-)move, (-)prove: (-)of PB II:91, 208; SS 62, 202; TB 25; CR 31; SBS 26. — well-beloved: moved: roved: proved: removed: reproved SS 16. — love: above: strove pret.: thereof: move: dove SBS 26.

Present E. v: uw: 50.

206

BYRON. off: aloof: enough 817 b.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

Present E. v: 5: ou.

207

Spenser. flood: God: abode 286 b. won s. (cf. § 47): -upon: done pp.: alone 338 b.

με \bar{Q} : με \bar{Q} . one, none (§ 332): (-)gone, shone pret. (§ 129): alone, fone pl., groan, (be)moan, stone 32 b, 92 b, 205a, 231 b, 393 a, 435 a, 490 b, 506 a. fone: woe-begone: attone adv.: wone inf. (cf. § 47) 333 b.

 $\mu\epsilon$ ou : $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\varrho}.$ knowen pp. : none : gone : attone adv. 264 a. Pope. No cases.

Byron. Tom: home: drum 776 a. done, none: gone, on: alone, bone 645 a, 685 b, 758 b. spun: shone pret.: stone 663 b. gone: shown: one 164 b. economy: one am I: alone am I 155 a. incontinent: I won't anent: affronting in't 778 b. dozen: rosin: chosen 744 b, 789 b [For shone cf. § 130].

SWINBURNE. Tom: from: overcome: home TL 288. one, son: anon, gone: alone, bone PB I:269; SBS 197. dove: love: strove: thereof: above: love CR 84.

Present E. v: o: oo.

208

Byron. dollars: colours: bawlers 699 a. of: scoff: rough 365 a, 730 b. Koklophti: scoff'd high: Mufti 732 a.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

209

Present E. v:o:aa.

Byron. bronze: once: glance 618 b.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

210

Present E. v:o, oo:æ.

Byron. upon: one: man 696 a. — awkward: backward: stuck hard 708 a, 714 b.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

211

Present E. v:æ:e.

Spenser. emperisht: cherisht: guarisht: florisht 244 b. guarish may stand for guerish, which would make the rime = \mu \tilde{u} \tilde{u}: \mu \tilde{e}, cf. \§ 339. OF has gue- by the side of the more common gua-, cf. Godefroy; NED records a 15th c. English form gwerysshe. Spenser has guarish also p. 186 a, but not in the rime.

Pope. Byron. Swinburne. No cases.

212

Present E. aa:a:aa.

Byron. before all: moral: her all 631 a.

Spenser. Pope. Swinburne. No cases.

213

Present E. 99:00:aa.

Spenser. heard (spelt -a- 128, 165), trans-, preferred (spelt -a-): gard, regard, hard; (de)barred: ward s., reward 128 a, 165 a, 221 a, 238 b, 383 b, 493 a; are all rimes μe wărc: μe ărc (cf. § 169). Pope. No cases.

Byron. conferr'd: reward: card 769 b. — forms: worms: charms 719 a.

SWINBURNE. No cases.

214a)

Present E. aa: aa: ea.

SPENSER. hard pp. (= heard): reward: dar'd 534 b is a rime με (w) ărc: με ār (cf. § 169, heard); or possibly = με wărc: με ăr(c), based on a form of dare with με ăr, cf. § 39.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. əə; aa; eə.

214 b)

Spenser. heard pret. : debard : prepard 314 b is a rime $\mu\epsilon$ ăr(c) : $\mu\epsilon$ ār (cf. § 169, heard).

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

Present E. əə:eə:iə.

215

Spenser. appeard: heard pp.: fared: stared 329 a is a rime μ s $\bar{e}r$: μ s $\bar{a}r$, cf. § 170.

POPE. BYRON. SWINBURNE. No cases.

CHAPTER II.

Relations of the Rimes to the Pronunciation of the Rime-Vowels.

6 Present E. ai.

SPENSER. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 29, 86, 88, 89, 90, 176, 237.

με $\bar{\imath}$: με $\bar{\imath}$ (numerous, \S 86; cf. \S 89). με $\bar{\imath}$: here, fear, sheer (3 cases). με $\bar{\imath}$: με ai [με $\bar{\imath}$: seize 50 b; -ight: keight, straight (,weight) (8 cases); με $\bar{\imath}$: eyne: slain 384 a (cf. eyen: με ai 574 b. \S 90; felicitie: away 560 a, \S 86)]. με $\bar{\imath}$: Ind; give, live (10 cases; cf. \S 88). forgive: drive inf.: live: grieve 404 a. inclynd: find: mind: attend v. 576 a. με $\bar{\imath}$: present E. oi (numerous).

The rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\imath}$: present E. oi, and $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\imath}$: $\mu\epsilon$ ai () no rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: these vowels) make it evident that Spenser knew a diphthongated με ī. As to the rimes με ī: με ai, it is remarkable that they consist almost exclusively of rimes to keight, straight (,weight); a fact that can hardly be considered as due to mere chance. us Ivariants of keight, straight might possibly be assumed as analogical doublets, due to the variation us i - us ai in height, sleight, weight — in fact, NED records a 14th c. pp. kight but does not give any citation — yet, as far as I know, there is no trace of such variants of keight, straight in the Mod. E. period. It seems more plausible to suppose I) that Spenser usually pronounced the fricative gh in keight, straight [keight occurs only in two rimes given § 90; straight is also rimed with us ai, cf. B. § 148, in those cases spelt strayt (once -aict)] and on that account preferred rime-words with -ght; 2) that Spenser at least occasionally used diphthongated $\mu \in I + gh$ in the words with -ight (and by analogy gh also in quite, despite, cf. B. §§ 6, 15, 21). This latter pronuncation may or may not have been artificial (cf. Sweet HES § 892).

216

At any rate, it appears from Spenser's restricted use of rimes $\mu \in \overline{1}$: $\mu \in ai$ that the diphthongation of $\mu \in \overline{1}$ had not advanced very far in his pronunciation. This is also the conclusion to be drawn from the numerous rimes $\mu \in \overline{1}$: $\mu \in \overline{1}$. The rimes $\mu \in \overline{1}$: $\mu \in \overline{1}$ — as far as they are certain — should probably be regarded as emergency rimes. For the rime attend: $\mu \in \overline{1}$ 576 a, cf. § 246.

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 86, 87.217 µs ī: Racine, magazine; caprice (4 cases). µs ī: live (2 cases); : wit (2 cases). — The rimes are certainly mainly due to tradition and spelling (cf. e. g. Dryden, Dierb. § 80). — For the numerous rimes µs ī: present E. oi (§ 91), cf. § 222.

Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 88,218 89,175.

ravine: pine 613 b. με Ι: με Ι (10 cases, incl. 5 rimes: give).

— The rimes are due to tradition and spelling. — For the numerous rimes με Ι: present E. oi (§ 91), cf. 223.

SWINBURNE'S rimes $(for)give: \mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}$ (2 cases) are certainly 219 traditional.

Present E. oi.

220

Cf. Ellis p. 130 ff.; Luick, Anglia 14, 294 ff., E. St. 26, 269 f., 275; B. § 164; Dierberger § 83; Viëtor Sh. § 54 f.; Hauck p. 92 ff.; Ekw. § 355 ff.; Horn Gr. § 119.

Spenser (cf. §§ 51, 91) rimes $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\imath} : -oil$ (numerous); : coined, joined, purloined (4 cases); : destroy(ed), annoyed (7 cases). The total absence of rimes µs ī: e. g. voice; noise, choice; (en)joy; employ, poise (= Luick's groups I b; IV; V) which are consistently spelt -oi-, -oy- (not -ui-, -uy-) in ME (Luick, Anglia l. c. p. 298) and almost unanimously given with us ŏi by the orthoepists, fully authorizes the conclusion drawn by B. I. c. that in the rimes με ī: present E. oi-words Spenser has not had in mind με ŏi in these latter words, but us ŭi, which later becomes equivalent to the diphthongated us ī, a development probably already begun in Spenser's pronunciation. It is true that - except possibly for Mulcaster, who distinguishes between »the diphthong sounding upon the o»... e. g. joy, enjoy, annoy, toy, boy, and »the other, which soundeth upon the u»: anoint, appoint, foil, and such » (Ellis p. 915) — the pronunciation us ŭi of the present E. oi-words seems not to have been known by the 16th c. orthoepists. Hart (Jespersen §§ 9, 31, 38) gives us ŏi in choice, hoist, point,

voice; other pronunciations in oister, boy, buoy, hoys; join (,voice): but not με ŭi (or με δi); Bll (Hauck l. c.) gives με ŏi in boy, boisterous, coit, joy, joined, joint, loiter, noise, ointment, spoil, voice, void, avoid; us oi in buoy, toil; us ui in joist; Smith (Ellis l. c.) is uncertain: he gives only one pronunciation, but it is not clear whether he means με ŏi or με ŭi or something between the two. Gill still mentions the pronunciation us ŭi only in toilsome and (~ με ŏi or με ōi) in join, soil, toil (not με ūi in join as is asserted by Viëtor Sh. 1. c.); his other notations are us ŏi sin assoil, avoid. broil s. (~ ue oi), devoid, disloigned, disloyal, -ly, -ty; enjoy, join (~ με ŭi and με δi), joy, joyful, joyous, moist, -en, oil, rejoice, royal. soil (~ με ŭi and με ōi), Taillebois, toil (~ με ŭi and με ōi), toit (»Occid. pro sedili»), toys, voice, void] and us oi [in appointed, boil broil s. (~ με ŏi), broil v., buoy, foil s., foined, join (~ με ŭi and με ŏi), joint, point, soil (~ µs ŭi and µs ŏi), spoil, toil (~ µs ŭi and µs ŏi)]; besides he gives boy = »buoi» (»Non bue»; »boi dial. Bor.»). -But a 16th c. pronunciation µs ŭi is implied, not only in Spenser's rimes, but also by the fact that Wallis 1653 gives the first element of the diphthong in toil, oil — as pronounced by some — = »ò vel ù obscurum», i. e. = με ŭ (Luick, Anglia l. c.). — Bll's and Gill's µs oi on the other hand appears later as [ŭi], given by Cooper (Ellis p. 134) in some words, and more generally by Jones (Ekw. 1. c.). Cf. the development of blood, flood (§§ 333, 337): 16th c. με ō ~ με ŭ; Cooper [ŭ] (bloodily; flood) ~ με ŭ (flood) (Ellis), 18th c. etc. us u.

The variation με δὶ ~ με ŭi may be of ME origin; or else με ŭi may have developed from με δὶ by a shortening of the long first element in the 16th c. In this latter case, the omission of με ŭi by Bll and its scarcity in Gill is easily explained as mere conservatism on the part of these orthoepists. Hart's and Bll's με δἱ in point etc. (see above) does not prove that there did not exist με ŭi-variants, as well, of these words, but it evidently proves the existence of με δi-variants (possibly due to the spelling); such variants are besides evidenced later by Gill's vacillation between με ŭi & με δὶ and με δὶ in several words of this kind, and by Wallis (1653) expressly stating that some people pronounced all oi-words with με δὶ. — Under these circumstances it seems very possible that Spenser knew the pronunciation με δὶ, besides με ŭi, in the words rimed: με τ̄; so that his rimes present E. οὶ: οὶ (§ 51) require no comment.

According to Viëtor Sh. l. c. and the rime-lists l. c. p. 245 ff.,

Shakespeare has no rimes present E. oi : ai. Of course this does not prove that Shakespeare did not know the pronunciation $\mu\epsilon$ ŭi in any present E. oi-words; the phonetic difference between $\mu\epsilon$ ī and $\mu\epsilon$ ŭi in Shakespeare's time (= in Spenser's) was certainly great enough sufficiently to account for the absence of rimes between these diphthongs in any poet of that time.

Later, when us ui had become equivalent to the diphthong-221 ated µs ī, it seems natural that the rimes present E. oi : ai then occurring should be based upon this pronunciation of the oi-words. Yet, in Dryden's rimes (Dierb. l. c.) as i is rimed, not only with join (numerous), loins, coin, purloin; soil, spoil, toil; destroy (1 case), which present no difficulty, but also with (en)joy (7 cases); and among the rimes quoted from Waller by Mead p. 104, there are side: employ'd (I case); employ: die (I case). — Dierb. l. c. holds — on the authority of foreign grammarians — that Dryden knew a pronunciation $\mu \epsilon \, \text{u} \, \text{i} \, (= \mu \epsilon \, \text{i})$ in (en)joy as well as in the other present E. oi-words rimed with us i; Luick, E. St. l. c., after pointing out that such a form of (en)joy could hardly be a ME variant not found until Dryden, is of opinion that the rimes are licences, = με ῑ: με οι. — Now, it is certainly not extraordinary, that the variation us ŏi - us ŭi (ōi) in many words (mentioned by Wallis in oil, toil, and by Gill in several words, cf. above) should call forth us ui-variants of the words formerly pronounced only with us oi; and this analogical development --- which is probably the origin of orthoepistical notations such as Hodges' με ŭi in royal (Ekw. l. c.), Price's »oi» (χ »oy») in e. g. noise, poise (Luick, Anglia l. c.), Jones' με ŭi (= με ī) in e. g poise and »abusively» in decoy, loyal, royal, voyage (Ekw. l. c.) — may very well be at the bottom of Dryden's (and Waller's) rimes us I: (en)joy (,employ).

Yet the possibility is not excluded that Dryden and Waller (and their contemporaries) actually rimed $\mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}: \mu\epsilon \breve{o}i$, and that they did so in their own opinion on the authority of older usage. Even in case they could not hit upon any older rimes of $\mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}$ to the very words (en)joy, employ, other rimes such as Spenser's $\mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}: annoy$, destroy might be rimes $\mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}: \mu\epsilon \breve{o}i$ according to their pronunciation and lead them to the conclusion that rimes $\mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}: \mu\epsilon \breve{o}i$ in general were a license authorized by older usage. Their rimes $\mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}: \mu\epsilon \breve{o}i$ would be the more easily explained if we might assume that they did not themselves use the pronunciation $\mu\epsilon \breve{u}i$ (= $\mu\epsilon \bar{\imath}$) but based the rimes present E. ai: oi exclusively

or mainly on older authority; yet there does not seem to be sufficient reason for making this assumption.

Pope's rimes (cf. §§ 51, 91) are to be judged like Dryden's; with the difference, of course, that the rimes (en)joy: µs ī may be direct loans from Dryden (or other 17th c. poets). At any rate, the result is the same as in the case of Dryden's rimes: it cannot be decided with any degree of certainty whether all Pope's rimes present E. di: ai were meant to be = µs ī: µs ī, or whether some are (traditional or quasi-traditional) rimes µs ī: µs di. The rimes present E. oi: oi prove as little as Spenser's, because Pope very probably knew the pronunciation µs di in all oi-words.

In Byron's time the pronunciation με τ of -oi- had long been regarded as vulgar (cf. Walker, Princ. 299), and his rimes (cf. §§ 51, 91, 92) present E. ai: oi are certainly based upon older usage. As all present E. oi-words were equivalent in his pronunciation, it is only natural that he rimes με τ : choice, voice, etc. as well as: boil, coil, etc.

Present E. ij.

224

Spenser. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 30, 31, 33, 52, 53, 86, 94, 99, 100, 101, 109. — For *kaies* (= keys): μ s ai cf. § 109; for *seize*: μ s ī cf. §§ 86, 216.

με \tilde{e} : με $\tilde{1}$ (numerous). forgive: drive inf.: live: grieve 404 a. με \tilde{e} : με $\tilde{1}$ (few certain cases, \S 94).

με ē : με ē (not very numerous, § 53; equivalent cases §§ 102, 109).

με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{i}}$ (?) (prison: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ 536 a, see § 245 f.). με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ (not very numerous, § 102); +: break 314 a (§ 179). με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: break, great (§ 109; numerous. Equivalent cases § 179). με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ (numerous; § 109. For equivalent cases cf. § 252). με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$: με ai (very few; cf. § 109).

The rimes make it obvious that $\mu\epsilon$ is an [1]-vowel, $\mu\epsilon$ an [e]-vowel in Spenser's pronunciation. The few rimes remaining as $\mu\epsilon$ is after due allowance being made for surviving ME variants (cf. §§ 53, 99, 100, 102, 109) cannot, of course, allow any conclusions as to Spenser's pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ and $\mu\epsilon$. The rimes may be purely traditional, as rimes of the same kind are found in many 15th & 16th c. poets (cf. Unna p. 22 f., and the references given there). On the other hand they might possibly imply an extension of the legitimate vacillation between $\mu\epsilon$ and $\mu\epsilon$ (in words like read etc.); though it seems rather improbable

that such an (analogical) vaciliation should have existed in words as wreak, entreat, tread; week, seek, feet, heed.

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 54, 225 95, 103. — For the rime key: weigh 403, cf. § 109.

 $με \bar{e} : με \bar{I}$ (4 cases). $με \bar{e} : με \bar{I}$ (few cases; § 95). $με \bar{e} : με \bar{e}$ (§ 103. few certain cases; for rimes to tread etc., cf. § 244).

με ē : με ē (numerous).

με ξ : με ἴ (con-, per-, receive : live, I case; : give, II cases). με ξ : με ἔ (§ 103; rather numerous, especially | st; for rimes to dead etc., cf. § 244). με ξ : break, great (2 and I2 cases respectively). με ξ : F. ê (I case). speaks : makes : breaks 85. με ξ : με ā & με ai (numerous).

In fact, all these rimes might be due to traditional influence cf. Spenser's rimes above; further Dryden, Dierb. e. g. § 4 ff. (µs ē: $\mu = \bar{e}$; $\mu = \bar{e}$: $\mu = \bar{a}$ & $\mu = ai$), § 18 ff., § 36 ($\mu = \bar{e}$: $\mu = \bar{e}$), § 80 ($\mu = \bar{e}$: $\mu = i$); etc. and consequently no certain conclusions as to Pope's pronunciation of $\mu \epsilon \tilde{e}$ and $\mu \epsilon \tilde{e}$ can be drawn from their occurrence. But the fact that there are numerous rimes $\mu \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$: $\mu \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ & $\mu \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ in orimes $\mu \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$: us ā & us ai, certainly justifies the conclusion that Pope made in his pronunciation — or, at least, knew — a difference between µs ē and µs ē. The rimes µs ē: µs ī are of no use, as they are limited to rimes between words spelt -i-. — To obtain further results as to Pope's pronunciation we have to turn to the orthoepists of his time. To judge from their statements, us ē changed from an [e]- to an [i]-vowel about 1700 (cf. Ekw. § 175, and the references given there). So it is very probable that Pope knew and used in his rimes two pronunciations of use: one (more conservative) = an $\lceil \bar{e} \rceil$ -vowel (probably very close, cf. Cooper's statements. Ellis p. 82 f.), the other (more modern) = an [I]vowel, identical with the [1]-vowel < us ē. — By this duality of pronunciation the incorrectness of Pope's rimes to us ē is considerably reduced. The rimes $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} : \mu \epsilon \check{\epsilon}$, which — as far as they were really meant as such, cf. §§ 103, 244 — remain as very incorrect, are to be considered as directly traditional (not quasitraditional as in Byron, cf. below).

BYRON. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 104. 226 — For the rime quay: away, cf. § 109.

με $\bar{\epsilon}$: με $\bar{\epsilon}$ (numerous; in CH alone about 80 cases, cf. § .55).

ravine: pine 613 b. με $\bar{\epsilon}$ & με $\bar{\epsilon}$ & με $\bar{\epsilon}$ (3 cases): με $\bar{\epsilon}$ (numerous; § 95, cf. § 175). με $\bar{\epsilon}$ & με $\bar{\epsilon}$ & με $\bar{\epsilon}$ (2 cases): με $\bar{\epsilon}$ (numerous; § 104). heaven, seven: (for)given: even (2 cases). με $\bar{\epsilon}$ &

με ξ: break (8 cases). seat: -tête: bête 803 a. speak: break: take 365 a. με ξ: με ā (7 cases). με ξ: με ai (veil: conceal: seal 808 a). death: beneath: faith 674 b. devil: evil: cavil 711 a.

Byron's rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{a}$ & $\mu\epsilon\,ai$ (& F. ê) are so few, and contain so few $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ -words, that the absence of rimes of $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ to correspond may well be put down to mere chance. In his rimes to other vowels (the solitary rime to $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ is of course of no importance) Byron makes no marked difference between $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ and $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$, whose identity in his pronunciation is, besides, fully proved already by the enormous number of rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$. Thus, Byron's rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ are equivalent to his rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$, and consequently justified, in his opinion, not only by the (comparatively rare) occurrence of rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ in older poets but also by the (very frequent) occurrence of rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$; i. e. the rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ are quasi-traditional in Byron [As to the possibility of Sc. E. influence in Byron's rimes $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ & $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}:\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$, cf. § 248, end].

227 SWINBURNE. Rimes.

με \bar{e} : με \bar{e} (numerous; in SBS alone about 120 cases; cf. § 55). με \bar{e} & με \bar{e} & με \bar{e} (1 case): με \bar{i} (numerous). beneath, wreath, even: με \bar{e} (4 cases). με \bar{e} : με \bar{a} (1 case). — με \bar{e} and με \bar{e} are of course both = present E. ij in Swinburne's pronunciation. The rimes to με \bar{i} are certainly intended to be rimes \bar{v} l: \bar{v} l; the rimes to με \bar{e} & με \bar{a} are strictly traditional cases.

228

Present E. ia.

a. Development of ME \bar{e} & \bar{e} before r (final).

Luick U. § 331 ff. discusses the early Mod. E. results of ME $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$ & $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$ before r. If we arrange the words mentioned by Luick I. c. according to the pronunciation given there, we get the following three groups.

Group I) = early Mod. E. [\bar{i}]. Contains some Teut. words with ME \bar{e} : steer, leer, deer, beer; and Rom. words: appear, clear, arrears, mere, peer, cheer.

Group 2) = early Mod. E. $[\tilde{\mathbf{e}}] \sim [\tilde{\mathbf{1}}]$. Contains words with ME $\tilde{\mathbf{e}} \sim$ ME $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$, e. g. fear, year, bier; some words with ME $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$: hear, dear, dreary, weary, here, fere s.; and some words with ME $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$: ear, sear, near, tear s., shear, smear, spear, gear.

Group 3) = early Mod. E. [ē]. Contains the words bear s.,

bear v., wear, tear v., swear, pear s.; ere (< OE x^1 , cf. \S 53), there, where, were (< OE x^2 , cf. \S 53). To this group should be added e'er, ne'er (= ever, never); [\bar{e}] is given in ne'er by Gill, and in e'er by Hart (? Jespersen \S 10) and Jones (yet \sim [$\bar{1}$], Ekw. \S 185).

cheer is given by Gill with [e] (in cheerful) and may accord-220 ingly be removed to group 2). And, in fact, it seems very probable that an alternative pronunciation with [e] has existed in early Mod. E. in all the words contained in group 1) above.1 Such a pronunciation is indicated by the spelling (-ea-), adopted by the literary language in appear, clear, arrear(s)2, possibly also by the spelling (-e-) in mere. Besides, the spelling -ea- occurs, more or less frequently, also in the other words of group 1). deer: NED... »6-7 deare»..., quot. from Shakespeare 1605; cf. also Viëtor Sh. § 25. leer v.: NED . . . »also 6 lere, 6-7 leare, leere » . . .; quotations with -ea-numerous, the latest from Bunyan 1666. [Of leer s. (OE hleor) NED gives no -ea-forms; but this may be due to the fact that — to judge from the quotations in NED the word was going out of use in the 16th c., i. e. at the time when the orthographic distinction $ee \ (= \mu \epsilon \, \tilde{e}) \ \chi \ ea \ (= \mu \epsilon \, \tilde{e})$ was being introduced.] beer: NED... »6-7 bear(e»...; no quotations with -ea- are given; one case is recorded by Diehl p. 30 from 1602. cheer: NED.. »5-7 cheare, 6-8 chear»...: quotations with -ea- numerous. Spenser generally spells -ea-. peer, steer s. & v. are as a rule spelt -ea- in Spenser (cf. B. §§ 62-64). — Of words spelt -ee- in the literary language which are not mentioned by Luick (because not mentioned by the orthoepists) may be quoted jeer: NED . . . »(appears c. 1550) . . . 6 geare . . . 7 jear(e»... (Spenser geare 108 b); fleer: NED... »6-8 flear(e»... (Spenser fleare 519 b); queer: NED records no forms with -ea-, but quere and quer from the 16th & 17th c.

sheer a. does not seem to be mentioned by the 16th and 17th c. orthoepists (Hart's shēr, Jespersen § 10, is no doubt = shear). The word probably had $ME \, \bar{e} \sim \bar{e} \ (< OE * e^1; cf. Skeat,$

¹ This assumption has already been made by Viëtor Sh. § 25 in the case of Shakespeare's rimes. Cf. also Horn Gr. § 85.

² Ellis' statement p. 1002 that Jones gives arrears with $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ seems to be founded only on the fact that this word is not found in Jones' list of words (Ekw. p. 47) in which ϵa is pronounced = $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$. This may however very well be due to omission; e. g. dreary, weary, fear, are not mentioned there either.

Et. D., and Björkman p. 125), the 16th c. spelling -ee- (Spenser has -eere 432 a, -ere 167 b, 259 b, -eare 218 b) no doubt goes back upon ME \(\varepsilon\). The fact that -ee- finally became the only spelling in the literary language may be due, to some extent at least, to the want of differentiation (\chi shear); as may also be the case of the spelling -ee- in deer (\chi dear), leer (\chi le(a)re s.), beer (\chi bier, bear), peer (\chi pear).

As for the use of the words of group 1) in Spenser's and Pope's rimes, see below § 232 ff.

The origin of this vacillation $[\bar{e}] \sim [\bar{1}]$ in the words of groups 230 1) & 2) is probably to be sought in the words with ME er. I have tried to show above (cf. also Viëtor Sh. § 25), ME er appears in the 16th c. as $\mu \in \bar{e} + r \sim \mu \in \bar{e} + r$, a variation possibly due (cf. Grundr. § 97, B. § 61, Horn Gr. § 85) to a mixing of the results of two different developments of ME er, originally localized in different dialects. This variation in the words with ME er - probably supported by a similar variation in some words with ME er ~ er (yet in some words of this kind: there, where, were, such a variation is not recorded) --- seems to have gradually encroached (= Horn Gr. § 87) upon words with certain ME e. (ear etc.), in which a pronunciation with [1] appears too early to be accounted for by the general change $\mu \in \bar{e} > [\bar{1}]$. The words of group 3) seem however to have constantly resisted this analogical influence (except for Jones' [i] ~ [e] in e'er, cf. § 228). In many of the words this may be due to the want of differentiation: bear () bier), tear v. () tear s.), pear () peer), ere, e'er (X ear); e'er, ne'er may also have been influenced by [e] in ever, never; wear also by bear v.; and in there, where, were, the [e]-forms had the support of weak-stressed [ĕ]-forms, expressly recorded in the case of there, where by Mason, cf. Brotanek p. XXI; for were cf. § 170. — Further, the words of group 3) remained on the whole unaffected by the general change of ME $\bar{\mathfrak{e}} > [\bar{\imath}]$ at the end of the 17th c. The fact that the words of group 3) did not share the early vacillation [e] ~ [i] while probably all other ME er-words (= present E. ia) did, certainly gives the impression that the words of group 3) represent the regular development of the early (= 16th c.) [e] | r, and that it is only the early (= 16th c.) [īr]-variants of the words of groups 1) & 2) that are the phonetic basis of present E. io in these words. This is in fact the theory proposed by Luick 1. c. (and later adopted by Horn Gr. 1. c.), and it certainly accounts for the main facts of

the case; yet there remain a few points which require some further discussion.

There is no certain evidence of a distinction of the words of 231 group 3) from the [e]-words in general (i. e with us e) till after the middle of the 17th c. Bll's »ba'r» (= us ā) for bear s. (Hauck p. 32) and occasional early spellings -a-, -ai- in words of group 3) (cf. Horn Gr. § 86, Diehl p. 32; besides NED) need not be due to an early »broadening» (by -r) of $[\bar{e}]$: Bll's $\mu \in \bar{a}$ in bear is derived by Hauck l. c. from [ă] < ME ĕ|r; and early -a- & -ai- spellings occur also in such words as gear, spear, mean, seal, steal (Diehl l. c.) and consequently prove nothing in the present case. — But Cooper (p. 57 ff.) expressly states that the vowel in bear, swear, tear, wear is = με ā, and different from με ξ (= Ellis' »Second ea», probably a sound between [e] and [i] in Cooper's pronunciation, cf. Ellis p. 83); and Miège who gives $\mu \in \bar{e} = \text{slong } \hat{e} \text{ masculin} \times \text{(Ellis p. 84)}$, transcribes bear s. and pear (sune poires) as bair, pair (i. e. = us ā, Ellis p. 71). If this vowel in bear etc. was due to the influence of -r, we should expect to find the same vowel in present E. ia-words of which early [er]-forms still existed at that time; and, in fact, it appears in Cooper's shear (Ellis. Cooper's [īr] in arrear, besmear, etc., and in shears, of course represents early [īr]-forms of these words). But by the side of this pronunciation of the early [er]-forms there existed another, in which the vowel of these forms was still identified with ME ē in general. i. e. = $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$. This latter pronunciation actually appears in Cooper's µs ē-forms of wear, forswear (Ellis p. 82), and it is further implied in many words e. g. by Miège's general rule that the E. long e & ea are = »long é masculin» (which is besides expressly given in sphere, Ellis' lists), by Jones' »e » in there, where, were and in sphere, etc. (cf. § 239), as well as in sea, plea, these, blaspheme, etc. (Ekw. p. 39 f.), and possibly — in the case of some (or all?) present E. ia-words — by Bailey's statements (cf. § 230). Thus it is obvious that the present E. ia in the words of groups 1) & 2) need not be due exclusively to the early [īr]-variants of these words but can be derived as well from the early [er]-variants, viz. in the case of words of which such variants still existed (with µs ē) at the time of the change of $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}>[\bar{\imath}]$. Further, this pronunciation with $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\epsilon}$ is no doubt the basis of EO's [1] in ere, pear (Ellis p. 88 f.) and of the [1]-& [ie]-forms of present E. ea-words given by foreign orthoepists about 1700 [e. g. König 1706 in forswear & wear (cf. Cooper),

Ludwig 1717 in ere, e'er, ne'er; Nicolai 1693 in where, there; cf. further Viëtor El. § 53 Anm. 5; Löwisch p. 54 f.]; as far as these notations represent actually existing pronunciations and are not mere mistakes or mere theoretical prescriptions, cf. Lediard's rule (Ellis p. 1041) that there, where, were should be pronounced with [1], though they were pronounced with [6]. by bad habit.

The 17th c. pronunciation with us a naturally leads to the present E. ea in bear etc. Already EO (Ellis p. 88) and Lediard (Ellis pp. 1041, 1044; Löwisch p. 55) give us a - with the exceptions or restrictions indicated above — in all words of group 3) (§ 228); except that e'er, ne'er are not mentioned in their lists as given by Ellis. — But there remains the question how the us a-pronunciation came to be so quickly established in these words, whilst, with the exception of Cooper's shear (see above), we find in the orthoepists no traces of this pronunciation in the case of the present E. ia-words. This difficulty will be solved by assuming that the us a-pronunciation in question has entered the standard language, in the case of the present E. ea-words concerned, by the way of the vulgar language of London, where it is was due to an r-influence (cf. the present E. 22 and aa in off etc., sort etc., glass etc., harm etc., which have probably come from the same quarter). — Thistheory explains the fact that the present E. ea-words concerned are all common every-day words; while learned loan-words of which surviving [er]-forms are actually recorded — or else probable — in the latter half of the 17th c. (incl. Jones), cf. § 239, appear only with present E. ia, no doubt because these words did not often occur in the language of every-day life, at least not among the lower classes of people (cf. the present E. distinction between po in cloth, cross, frost, froth, loss, off, and p in broth, dross, loft, moss, Horn Gr. § 59, which may have a similar cause). Of the present E. ia-words which are likely to have been used in the London vulgar language, early [er]-variants

¹ In fact, Lediard gives με ā only in there, where, were (as a »bad habit», instead of [i]); in bear etc. (as also in e. g. break, great, beard, earl) he gives »long e» (Ellis). But this special [ē]-sound in bear etc. is, no doubt, simply a mistake on his part, due to the spelling (-ea-).

will, as a rule, no longer have existed (in that language) at the time of the r-influence here considered; or, if they did exist in some cases (cf. Cooper's shear, above, and the late $[\bar{e}]$ -forms mentioned § 239, beginning), there probably coexisted with them early $[\bar{r}]$ -variants of the same words. — This theory also accounts for the fact that Jones, as late as r_{701} , identifies the vowel in there, where, were, with $\mu \in \xi \setminus EO$'s $\mu \in \bar{a}$ in these words only three years later: Jones did not record the pronunciation of London, cf. Ekw. §§ 49, 176.

In this connection it may be pointed out that the early (i. e. 16th & early 17th c.) [e]- forms of present E. 22- words as earl, earn, search, etc. as long as they survived alongside with the [ĕ]-variants by which they were finally supplanted, seem to have had the same development as the words bear etc. Cooper (Ellis p. 82) gives us ā (as in bear etc.) in (beard.) earl. early, earn, earnest, learn, rehearse, searce, search, potsheard; Lediard (Ellis p. 1044) gives »long e» (probably = us ā, cf. p. 124, foot-note 2) in (beard.) earl, early; and Kenrick still remarks to earn: »This word, like many others with ea, is pronounced both short» (i. e. with us er) and long» (i. e. with μεā). — EO's [ī] in yearn (Ellis p. 89) probably originates in a pronunciation with $\mu \in \bar{e}$ (cf. his [I] in ere, pear, above). Early (i. e. 16th & early 17th c.) [1]-variants of the words here considered are not recorded (Horn Gr. § 83,2 mentions early Mod. E. [1]-forms of bearl, berch, but does not tell how early they appeared). The words beard, tierce, pierce, in which an early variation [e] ~[i] actually existed (cf. Horn Gr. §§ 83,2, 85 & Anni.), were evidently too few to call forth analogical [1]-variants of the words with [ē.c]; besides the [ē]-forms of these words were supported by the contemporary (cf. § 344) µs e (and µs a)-variants (cf. there, where, were, § 230). These variants may, of course. also have contributed, later, to distinguish the vowel of the [e]variants from µe ē.

b. Rimes to us er (= present E. ia).

A. Spenser. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 232 32, 110, 172.

με ēr : με ēr (ME ēr & ME ēr), see below.

με ēr: με ī (3 cases). με ēr: bear etc. (= group 3) above) (numerous). με ēr: με ĕr (heard pret.: afeard: inferd: appeard 399 b). με ēr: με ār (10 cases, § 110; equivalent is appeard: heard pp.: fared: stared 329 a, § 215). με ēr: με air (2 cases,

§ 110; equivalent? fyres: spheres: yeres: theirs 296 a; cf. § 176). regard: reard 202 a (cf. § 282).

The rimes do not give any authority for a group I) with a fixed pronunciation $[\overline{I}]$. As to the Teut. words assigned to that group above, beer and leer do not occur in Spenser's rimes; to the rest: deer, steer s., steer v. — to which may be added fleer, jeer of uncertain origin (: NED) — the rimes are as follows.

steer v. feare: steare: cleare: appeare 149 a; heard s.: beard: heard pret.: steard 203 a. — steer s. steeres: peeres: feares 426 a; speares: steares: heares s. 126 b; deare: Steare 222 a; feare: steare: beare v.: heare v. 261 b; steare: beare v.: teare v.: neare 397 b. — deer. dear a.: heare s.: teare v.: deare s. 24 b; dere: there 417 b. — fleer. fleare: eare 519 b. — jeer. reare: cheare v.: forbeare: geare 108 b.

sheer a. (cf. § 229, end) is rimed: $\mu\epsilon$ \(\text{I}\) 167 a, : appear, clear, near, spear 218 b, 259 b, 432 a. — For rimes to brere (= brier), enquere (= enquire), cf. \(\xi\) 237.

Of the Romance words with present E. iə, sphere need not be taken into consideration, because it is given with [ē] by 17th c. orthoepists (Ellis p. 1015); the [ē]-form is probably due to re-adoption from Latin or French, cf. Ekw. § 204. The rimes are: sphere (spelt -ea- 79 b, 295 b, 435 a, 581 b, and -e-): appear, clear, fear, hear v., year 79 b, 295 b, 435 a, 581 b; sphere: were, enquere 66 a; spheres: theirs (?, see § 10) 603 a; spheres: fyres, yeres, theirs (?, see § 10) 296 a. — To sincere (17th c. [ē], Ellis p. 1015) there is only one rime, sincere: feare: reare 505 b; as well as to severe (: arere: crueller 509 b). — tabrere (= tambour-ier)¹ is found only in the rime: chere s. 458 b.

The remaining Romance words with present E. is which are used as rimes by Spenser, i. e. clear, appear, cheer, peer, arrear, are rimed as follows (of the rimes to groups 1), 2), 3) above (§ 228) I give only those occurring p. 446-p. 608 of the Globe Ed.).

Rimes to group 1). clear, appear, cheer, peer, rimed inter se 452 b, 481 a, 552 b, 579 a, 579 a, 583 a, 602 b, 604 a [+ 458 b, cf. tabrere above]. — Rimes to group 2). clear, appear, cheer, peer¹: (en)dear, ear (OE ēare), fear, hear, here, near, (up)rear, tear, year 471 b, 484 a, 484 a, 490 a, 494 b, 507 b, 510 b, 513 a, 513 b, 521 a, 527 a, 532 a, 535 a, 538 a, 540 b, 540 b, 549 a, 554 b, 557 b, 560 b, 561 b, 585 a, 593 a, 595 b, 596 a, 604 a, 607 a, 608 a

¹ The endings -ere, in words as messengere etc., have as a rule been disregarded here.

[+ yeare: spheare: cleare: appeare 581 b]. — Rimes to group 3)
[+ words of group 2)]. clear, appear, cheer, peer: bear s., bear v., there, wear, were, where [+dear, fear, (y) fere s., hear, near, sear a., spear]
448 a, 455 a, 468 a, 481 b, 492 a, 494 b, 496 a, 498 a, 505 a, 523 a, 531 b, 537 b, 539 a, 541 a, 544 a, 550 a, 551 b, 579 b, 581 a, 596 a, 598 a, 603 a, 606 a, 608 b. Equivalent to these last are the rimes appeard: sweard (= sword) [+ (up) reard, affcard] 244 b, 245 a, cf. § 49. The rimes appear(')d: heard pret. & pp. 261 b, 369 b are equivalent to the rimes to group 2) above, as an [1]-pronunciation of heard, no doubt due to the analogy of hear, is recorded by Bll, cf. § 169. Finally there are a few rimes containing \mus \bar{a}, one to arrear and four to appear, cf. §§ 110, 215; and the rime heard pret.: afeard: inferd: appear'd 399 b, cf. § 172.

From these rimes it can surely be concluded that Spenser 235 did not pronounce the words with ME er & er in accordance . with the distinctions made in groups 1), 2), 3), above. B. § 61 is of opinion (= Luick E. St. 26, 265) that the great number and frequent use of the words of group 3) should be made to account for the great number of rimes to the words of this group; but this explanation cannot be applied to the distribution of the rimes of group 1) to group 2) and group 3) respectively, because the words of group 2) are far more numerous than the words of group 3), and the former group, besides, contains several words of very frequent occurrence in Spenser's rimes [In the rimes are found all the words expressly given in group 2), above § 228, and further the equivalent heare (= hair, see § 32), le(a)re s. (cf. § 32; OE æ1, cf. § 53; not recorded by the orthoepists), (up) rear (OE æ1; 16th c. & 17th c. [e]; probably meant by Jones' rere with [ē], Ekw. § 183); blear v. (ME &; 17th c. [ī], Ellis p. 1003); and all are rimed both with group 3) (= present E. ea) and with groups 1) & 2) (= present E ia), except that weary is rimed only with cherry (= cherish) & merry (see § 99), that sear a. occurs only in the rimes 69 b, 242 b, 446 b, 481 b, which all contain words of group 3), sear v. only in heard pp. : beard : afeard : seard 70 b, bleard: seard: appeard II2 a; blear only in the rime II2 a just quoted; and smear only in where: smere 467 a. — For the Rom. words sphere, sincere, severe, tabrere, cf. § 233]. — Against B.'s opinion it can further be adduced that the rimes group 3): με ār & us air (see § 60) are not superior in number to the rimes

¹ arrear is not rimed — except 509 b (§ 233) — to any word of groups 1), 2), 3) in p. 446-p. 608, but it occurs in such rimes 196 a, 214 a, 377 b.

group 2): $\mu\epsilon$ ār & $\mu\epsilon$ air (see § 110); this might, however, be chance, as the rimes in question are few.

But if Spenser's rimes show that he did not observe the **2**36 distinctions given in the three groups § 228, they cannot, on the other hand, be considered to prove, conclusively, that he consistently used the pronunciation assumed above as the most probable in his time, i. e. $[\bar{e}] \sim [\bar{1}]$ in groups 1) & 2), $[\bar{e}]$ in group 3). they certainly make it probable that he did. A pronunciation [1] is ascertained in the words here, fear, sheer a. by the rimes : $\mu \in \overline{i}$ (§ 87; cf. the numerous rimes $\mu \in \overline{e}$: $\mu \in \overline{i}$ § 86, χ no rimes us \bar{e} : us \bar{i}) and, perhaps, by the spelling -ee- in steer s. 426 a, peer s. 426 a, 434 a, 481 a, etc.; cheer 471 b, clear 430 b, sheer a. 432 a. here 547 b, 588 a, (en)dear 471 b, 547 b, 595 b, year 521 a, near 432 a, 547 b, 588 a, spear 377 a. The pronunciation [e] is ascertained in appear, arrear, spear, tear s., near, fear, hear v., year, dear(,sphere), by rimes of these words to us ar & us air (§ 110); besides the occurrence of the spelling -ea-, more or less frequently, in all words — of groups 1) & 2) as well as of group 3) — (except ytere s., which has only -e-) points to a general pronunciation [e], yet probably only alternative in groups 1) & 2).

Special cases. brear(e), brere [= present E. (poetic-**2**37 al) »brere»; the form brier, -ar does not occur in Spenser]; OE: »WS. brær, Angl. brér, of unknown origin.» (: NED). are — : fear, lere v., near, sear a. 400 a, 449 b, 450 b, 484 a, 576 b; — : bear v., where 64 a, 458 a; deare a. : beare v. : sweetbreare: heare s. (= hair) 221 b. — en-, requere has — besides the form with ME 1, which also occurs in Spenser, rimed: µe 1 — ME ē (cf. ten Br. § 68), probably ~ ME ē (< pres. ind., cf. ten. Br. § 67) and, possibly, ~ ME & (B. § 64). The rimes are: en-, requere (spelt -ire 352 a, 414 b): fear, heare (= hair), spear, tear s. 25 a, 92 b; —: bear v., swear, there, wear, were (+ appear, fear, hear v., near, sphere (,prisonere)] 15 a, 23 b, 41 a, 66 a, 213 b, 335 a, 352 b, 365 b, 414 b; inquerd: herd pp. 42 b. The last rime is possibly based upon a ME ĕ-form of enquere (= the spelling); cf. § 82.

238 B. POPE. Rimes [For the rimes to fierce, pierce, the rimes appeared, revered: heard, and the rime peer: shire, which are not included in the following review, see §§ 173 & 87].

Present E. io: eo (cf. § III). Gazetteer, steer s.: bear v. 174, 387. appear, clear, dear, hear, here, fear, year, rear v., ear (OE eare), ear (OE ear), near, tear s., shear v., spear; sphere, atmo-

sphere, sincere: bear etc., parterre, με ār & με air; (48 cases). — με ēr: με (w) ăr (c). revere: star 231. appear'd: reward 378. — με ēr: με ĕr. hear, here: aver, refer (2 cases; cf. steer v., ear, year: weak-stressed -er, 4 cases).

με ēr: με ēr. In these rimes occur a) appear, clear, rear s., dear, hear, here, fear, year; rear v. (: appear, year, 6 cases); ear (OE ēare), near, tear s., spear; sphere (: ear, year, 3 cases); sincere (: severe 2 cases; : clear, hear, here, tear s., 7 cases); severe (: sincere, 2 cases; : steer s., I case); revere (: appeared, beard, 2 cases); beard (: rever'd, I case); b) beer, jeer, leer v., sneer, cheer, peer; mynheer (: appear 183); career, volunteer; barrier (: near 198); Poitiers (: peers 297); Moliere (: here 369); bier s. — The words b) are used in 26 of these rimes; of rimes between the words a) inter se I have noted 121 cases (yet the rimes appear: year, appear: tear s., ear: hear, have not been taken down after pages 118, 172, 124 respectively, where 6 cases of each rime were noted).

The rimes $\mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon} r : \mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon} r \, require no comment, as, to judge$ from the orthoepists, the pronunciation [1] was certainly known to Pope in all the words concerned. The difficulty is to ascertain whether he knew also an [e]-pronunciation of all or any of the words with $\mu \epsilon \bar{e}r$. — In the -eer- & -ier-words [= b) above] such a pronunciation is not probable in Pope's time. The 16th c. [ē]forms assumed (cf. § 229) in such of these words as were in use at that time are not likely to have survived very long alongside with the increasingly prevalent spelling (-ee-, -ie-); and in fact we find that with isolated exceptions such as Jones' [e] ~ [I] in bier and his [e?] ~ [I] in canonier etc. (Ekw. §§ 183, 185, 195, 207), the -eer- & -ier-words are not distinguished by Ellis' authorities from the middle of the 17th c. down from the bulk of the words spelt -ee-. — Accordingly, Pope's two rimes Gazetteer, steer s.: bear (as well as steer v.: character 52) must be considered as phonetically incorrect rimes, due to tradition (cf. Spenser's rimes), unless Pope had in mind a pronunciation of bear with [1], cf. § 261.

In the case of the -ear-& -ere-words [= a) above] matters are 239 more doubtful, as late [ē]-forms (= a more or less close ē, cf. § 231) are recorded of some words. Such are affear'd (?), rear v., sphere, sincere, austere, adhere, cohere [equivalent to these are probably the learned loans atmosphere, revere, severe, which are not found in Ellis' authorities until EO], in which [ē] is still

A. Gabrielson.

given by Jones (Ekw. §§ 183, 194, 195) while a pronunciation with [ī] is first recorded by orthoepists who identify me & me e: ear, spear (Price [e], Ellis p. 82); shear (Cooper ue a, cf. § 231). Besides it should be noticed that those of Ellis' authorities from the middle of the 17th c. down who distinguish me e (Ellis p. 81 ff.) differ considerably from each other in their lists of words spelt -ea- which have the exceptional pronunciation [1] $(= \mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon})$. Thus, for instance, we miss appear in Cooper, while on the other hand sear (= sere), tear s., are found only in Cooper's list: etc. Yet, this difference between the lists of the orthoepists allows of no certain conclusions; because the completeness of of such lists may always be called into question. — Still more conflicting are the rules of different foreign grammarians, quoted e. g. by Löwisch p. 53 ff.; to some of these an [1]-pronunciation of the -ear- & -ere-words seems to be practically unknown about 1700 and later still, but this can of course be put down to mere ignorance on their part or to dependence on antiquated authorities. Finally, Bailey 1726 (Ellis p. 1049 f.) seems to prescribe an [ē]-vowel — apparently a very close [ē], standing between the vowel in bear etc. (= µɛā, Ellis l. c.) and [ī] — in appear, and he also seems to regard the same vowel in dear, hear, year as the general pronunciation of these words; but Bailey's statements are not very clear, at least not as recorded by Ellis. - At any rate, this review of the orthoepists leads to the conclusion that Pope may have known a pronunciation with [e] (probably a very close [e]) at least in some of the present E. ie-words with the spelling -ear, -ere. Such a pronunciation is in fact indicated by Pope's considerable number of rimes to usar & usair (cf. Byron, § 240), and besides by the two rimes to star and reward (cf. § 238) which, if they had to be based on an [1]-pronunciation of the present E. ia-words concerned, would be strangely incorrect in a careful rimer like Pope. [The rimes above, dove, love: move etc. cannot be adduced as parallels to these last rimes (as regards phonetic incorrectness); because in their case there is, besides the spelling and a singularly frequent use in older (especially 17th c.) poets, also the practical absence of correct rime-words to promote the maintenance of the rimes in spite of their great phonetical incorrectness.]

C. Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 174.

με ēr: με ēr (very numerous; not recorded).

με $\bar{e}r$: με $\bar{e}r$, $\bar{u}r$ (4 cases). με $\bar{e}r$: bear etc. (15 cases). με $\bar{e}r$: με $\bar{a}r$ (2 cases). με $\bar{e}r$: με \bar{u} (3 cases). με $\bar{e}r$: με \bar{u} (2 cases).

In Byron's time all $\mu\epsilon$ er had long been united under an [1]-pronunciation in standard English. And there is nothing in Byron's rimes that need be taken as a sign of his knowing an [e]-pronunciation in any of the $\mu\epsilon$ er-words. The great preponderance of -ear-words in the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ er: bear etc. may be a case of spelling influence, as these rimes are limited, with only four exceptions, to rimes between words spelt -ear (cf. § 111); and the fact that there are no -eer-words among the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ er: $\mu\epsilon$ ar (§ 171) and: $\mu\epsilon$ er, $\mu\epsilon$ if (§ 174) proves nothing, because of the scarcity of both these groups of rimes in Byron. — The fact that rimes present E. ia: ea are far less numerous in Byron than in Pope, which is practically never the case with merely traditional rimes with about the same phonetic incorrectness in the times of both poets, directly points to some phonetic reason for the number of these rimes in Pope (cf. §§ 239, 261).

D. SWINBURNE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see 241 §§ 111, 174.

με ēr : με ēr (very numerous; not recorded).

με ēr: με ĕr & με ŭr (4 cases). με ēr: there, were (2 cases). με ēr: με ĭ & με ĕ (hear it: spirit SSp 58; spirit: inherit: merit: rear it TL 200).

The rimes do not require any comment.

Present E. i and e.

242

a. με ĕ < ME ē.

Already in ME, [\$\vec{e}\$] was to great extent shortened before consonant groups and long consonants; accordingly it is only natural that words like bless, less, etc. and the pret. & pp. fed, led, shed, etc. should be spelt -e- and rimed only: \(\mu\)s \vec{e}\) by SPENSER. In the same way Spenser treats also the words of this kind in which the literary language has adopted the spelling -ea-, e. g. read, spread, meant (pret. & pp.), dread a. (in Spenser also pret. & pp.); except that the spelling -ea- occurs in read 359 a, dread a. (?) 477 b, 557 a. — A curious exception is formed by the pret. & pp. bred, spelt -ea- 342 b and rimed: \(\mu\)s \(\vec{e}\) 65 b, 342 b (see \(\vec{e}\) 102; for bred: hid, rid (= read pp.) 429 b, 529 b, see \(\vec{e}\) 96).

Of words with ME e | single (and short) final consonant, 243 red a., lend, let, wet, are consistently spelt -e- and rimed: µs e

in Spenser. Only with the spelling -e- occur also fret, get; they are generally rimed: µs ĕ, but: µs ē 396 a (fret, get), 105 a, 599 b. and (+ µs ĕ) 308 b (get), which latter rimes are probably based upon forms with unshortened vowel, cf. §§ 100, 106 [For get: us I 24 a see § 96]. — In the words of this class which are spelt -eain the literary language (bread, dead, etc.) the orthoepists of Spenser's time show a vacillation between $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ and $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$. Cf. Bll (Hauck pp. 42 ff., 48): µs ę in spread, tread; µs ĕ in threaten, head, breath. sweat (s. or v. ? cf. Gill's pret. swet, and Spenser's swet pret. : set 283 a); Gill (Jiriczek's Wortliste) : µs ę ~ µs ĕ in bread,1 dead. head; us e in breath, (goodli)head; us e in death, instead, lead s.. sweat inf., threating. — Spenser's rimes (and spellings) show that in most of the words of this group he knew both pronunciations (the pronunciation of dread s. with $\mu \in \bar{e}$, cf. § 99, is here disregarded). Thus, bread, dead, dread s., head, -head, (in)stead, tread v., occur spelt both -ea- and -e-, and rimed : µs ĕ < ME ĕ, : µs ĕ < ME ē. and : µs & [tread, spelt tred, also : µs & (& µs &) 124 a, see § 102]. - dread v. is always spelt -ea-, and occurs - except in lead s. : dread 557 a — only in rimes containing $\mu \in \tilde{e} > \text{present E. ij.}$ lead s. is always spelt -ea-; it is rimed : dead, dread v. (& a. ?) 477 b, 481 a, 577 a; : ME ĕ (fledde pp., hedde (= head), shedde inf.) 464 b; further: $\mu \in \bar{e} > \text{present E. ij. } spread \text{ inf. is spelt}$ -e- except in spread: bed 591 a; it is always rimed: με ĕ. On the other hand thread s. occurs only in rimes containing $\mu \in \bar{\mathfrak{e}} >$ present E. ij (for thrid s. : µE I 240 b, see § 33), as does also sweat s. & inf., and threat(en) except in threatt: nett: Tett 115 a (and in a rime containing με ę 537 a, see § 102). breath, death are rimed only with each other and : $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} > \text{present E. ij, except}$ for hath: death 599 b (see §§ 268, 282). — For other present E. ewords with με ē ~ με ē in Spenser, see §§ 100, 101, 106; cf. also § 245, foot-note.

POPE. In Pope's time $\mu \epsilon \ ext{ im}$ was certainly the usual pronunciation of all the words bread, breath, threat, etc.; the difficulty is to ascertain whether a pronunciation $\mu \epsilon \ ext{ ext{ im}}$ was also known to Pope in any of these words. The orthoepists quoted by Ellis p. 81 ff. unanimously give $\mu \epsilon \ ext{ except}$ that $\mu \epsilon \ ext{ except}$ is given in spread by Price, in sweat by Cooper. Besides Jones states (Ekw. p. 40 f.) that -ea- in a number of words enumerated is sounded short (= $\mu \epsilon \ ext{ except}$) wor may be sounded short, an addition which implies

Gill only us according to Horn Gr. § 82: 3.

² Gill only µe ĕ according to Horn Gr. l. c.

that he knew also a pronunciation $\mu s \bar{e}$ at least in some of the words enumerated (cf. Ekw. § 84); but as Jones' list contains e. g. dear, reach, leap, it is very possible that with his »may be » he has in view only these cases and not also bread, dead, etc.

Pope's rimes (§§ 103, 106, 114) are not conclusive on this point. The rimes spread, tread, breath: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ 165, 226, 323; overspread pp.: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ 165 would certainly be best explained as rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$, which group is very numerous in Pope (see §§ 54, 225); but they may also be taken as rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$, as there are some (though few) certain rimes of this kind. And in view of the numerous certain cases $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$, the rimes dead, head, etc.: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ may also very well have been meant for rimes $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$. — The rimes to present E. ϵ ei are of as little use in determining Pope's pronunciation of the words here concerned. — Of course the existence of the pronunciation $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ is evidenced by numerous rimes: certain $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$; examples do not seem necessary.

In Byron's and Swinburne's times, bread etc. have, of course, only [ĕ] (= µɛ ĕ) in standard E. pronunciation.

b. Quality of µs ĕ and µs ĭ.

245

SPENSER. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 33, 34, 88, 89, 94, 96, 99, 100, 101, 106, 114, 160, 175, 211.

με ĭ (?): με ῖ (cf. §§ 88, 175). με ǐ: με ễ (few certain cases, § 94). prison: reason: geason: season 536 a.

 $\mu\text{s }\breve{\textbf{i}}:\mu\text{s }\breve{\textbf{e}} \quad (rather \quad numerous, \quad \S \quad 96).$

με ĕ: με ī (inclynd: find: mind: attend v. 576 a). με ĕ: με ễ (few certain cases, § 102; equivalent is break: με ĕ: με ễ 314 b, cf. § 259). με ĕ: με ŭ (few cases; § 159. For equivalent cases cf. § 339). [For the doubtful rimes hath: death 599 b, creast (= crest): με ăst 250 b, see § 282; for gerle: fell 427 a, cf. § 171.]

If the rimes given above § 96 B as $\mu\epsilon$ i: $\mu\epsilon$ e were actually felt as such by Spenser, they could be explained as traditional; rimes of this kind being rather common in ME, especially from the 13th c. down (cf. e. g. Morsbach Gr. § 114 Anm. 1). But it is not certain that they were felt as $\mu\epsilon$ i: $\mu\epsilon$ e by Spenser. Phonetic variants, usually originating in ME conditions, have been made to account (§ 96 A) for many rimes which would be = present E. i:e; and this mode of explanation could possibly be extended further. Thus the rimes mixt: next 482 b, tremble: nimble: assemble: dissemble 260 b (here could besides nimble stand for nemble, cf. Stratmann-Bradley; B. § 8), resemblance:

semblance: hindrance 340 a, riches: wretches 499 a, all contain e- words which, according to Luick St. p. 190 ff. have ME close [ĕ] > late ME (dialectal) [ĭ]; and the same explanation applies to the rime attend: us I 576 a (consequently = us I or close [ĕ] : slightly diphthongated us ī, cf. § 216). On the other hand. the rimes will s., ill, quill, skill (,-fill, chill): µs ĕ 261 a, 283 a, 410 b, 480 b [.208 b] — possibly also the uncertain Dattodowndillies: Lillies: Delice 456 a, though Salesbury has close [1] in lily, cf. Sweet HES § 786 — tally with the ME spellings -e- for [1] | l, which represent either a very open [Y] or even an [ĕ] (Morsb. Gr. § 114). Finally, the rimes river: (n) ever, sever 511 a, 528 b; spirit: merit, (dis)inherit o a etc. might be = $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$ (< [1-], according to Luick's law, cf. above e. g. § 94): us & (given ~ us & by Gill in ever, never; meriting is given by Gill with use, as severing by Bll (Hauck p. 47) and heritage by Salesbury according to Ellis, but a 16th c. He \(\bar{e}\)-pronunciation of merit, (-)inherit, sever is very probable, because the quantity of the stress vowel in numerous paroxytone (and proparoxytone) words is proved by orthoepists to have been, in early Mod. E., very unsettled or at least greatly differing from the present E. pronunciation).1 To this group (µs ē : µs ē) might also be counted the rime prison: με ę 536 a. The existence of a pronunciation με e of river, spirit (,prison), in Spenser's time is however in no way evidenced, nor even probable (cf. below); so that the rimes to these words given above as µe ĭ: µe ĕ must still be accepted as such, as well as the rimes afflict: infect 502 b, beheast: breast: represt: resist 299 b, for which I have found no special explanation.

There is, however, still another possibility to be considered. Luick St. p. 208 f. is of opinion »dass jedes spät-me. e für i-langes e anzeigt, u. zw. soweit nicht ae. eo oder kentisches e für y zu Grunde liegt, geschlossenes ē, geradeso wie im Norden»; and he holds it probable, St. p. 214 f., that this rule includes also Rom.

¹ Cf. e. g. Hart (Jespersen's lists): με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in heaven, treasure, με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) ~ με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in legible, με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in experience, obedient, με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) ~ με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in reason(able); Bullokar (Hauck p. 42 ff.): με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in pleasant, με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) ~ με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in pleasant, με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) ~ με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in heaven, (n)ever, Cæsar, cherish, present, reason, treasure; με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in heavy, pleasant, (dis)pleasure, endeavour (με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in ingenious, με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in neglical). Cf. (for other vowels) Gill: με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) ~ με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in lady, nature, water; με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in Saturn, με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in hatred, naked, favour (με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) ~ με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in open). — For με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) ~ με \(\bar{\epsilon}\) in paroxytone words about 1700, see Ekw. \(\bar{\epsilon}\) 167.

loan-words. If this was so in ME - which is, however, somewhat doubtful, cf. Dib. § 49 f. —it was certainly not so in Spenser's time; as appears from the -e- spellings for ME i cited by Diehl p. 19 f. out of diaries and private letters mainly from the latter part of the 16th and the beginning of the 17th c. These e-spellings cannot stand for use, in the first place because the spelling -e- is not used by Diehl's authorities in any case for certain us ē (cf. Diehl p. 29 ff.), and further because the e-forms are not limited to open syllables, but appear almost equally often in closed syllables where a µs ē is, as a rule, out of the question [e.g. comession, vessyons, untell, wel, beld, shellenges, cheldren, aprell, selver, Cornelle (= Cornhill), bell, ... tember, ... hendrance, ... resest, etc.; pete, cete, to vesette, ... sperethes, conseder, thether, hether, empresonment, presoner, presoun, prevy, feneshe, lenen cloths, etc.]. But these various e-forms could be brought under Luick's rule by assuming that the e-spelling in words like pity, city, etc. $(= [\tilde{I}-])$, in late ME = $\mu \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$, was kept traditionally, also later, when the words in question were generally pronunced with με ĭ, and afterwards extended to words like till, will, etc. (= [ĭ] in closed syllable); so that -e- would be only a spelling for us i. But such a theory would be open to serious objections: in the first place we have use expressly given in hither (Butler, Gill), thither (Lediard), thistle (cf. Viëtor El. § 16; Horn Gr. § 28); and further, if µs ĭ and µs ĕ were distinctly kept apart at the end of the 16th c., it is hard to conceive that the earlier e-spellings of pity etc. — which after all were only exceptions in the South — could have brought about such a number of e-spellings in both open and closed syllables as those quoted by Diehl. Neither, in view of their great number, can these e-forms well be interpreted as mere spellings for us i, due to the analogy of cases like yet, get, etc., (cf. § 96), which may have been pronounced with με ĭ by many, and yet spelt -e- in accordance with the common usage. — Thus there is left only one conclusion to be made: that these e-forms had a phonetic basis. As they are, however, only exceptions, and as on the other hand there occur i-forms for me ĕ as well (though not very numerous, cf. Diehl p. 17), also in cases which do not fall within Luick's rules for με ĕ > με ĭ (Luick St. p. 190 ff.), it seems probable that the numerous e-forms do not denote a change of us i towards, or even into, us e, but rather a variation me i ~ me e. This variation must in Spenser's time have been totally independent of the position of the vowels in

open or closed syllables as well as of their consonantal surroundings; though it may originate - apart from analogical influence of the vowel variation in words with OE y - in different treatment in different dialects of ME e as well as of ME i in special positions. — As this variation does not appear in the 16th c. orthoepists, who, except in very few words, distinguish strictly between us I and us e, it cannot have been universally adopted in Spenser's time. But, if it was not simply a dialectal peculiarity, it may have been a general tendency in the (colloquial?) language, though opposed by people of learning, who had, in their knowledge of Greek and Latin and foreign languages generally, a corrective not available for other people. That Spenser knew, and (exceptionally) used in his rimes this variation me i ~ με ĕ, is made probable by the rime prison: με \ \bar{e} 536 a, which certainly wants some special explanation. The rime attend: με \bar{i} 576 a can be explained in the same way, or by με $\tilde{e} > \mu$ ε $\tilde{i} \mid n^c$. cf. § 245; it could also be explained as traditional (cf. Luick U. § 483) [The existence of a pronunciation of find etc. with unlengthened OE I (cf. Luick U. §§ 416, 483) is not probable in Spenser's time and cannot, at any rate, be inferred from this single rime]. — As to the rimes given above (§ 96) as us I: us ĕ. it is impossible to decide, whether they are mainly due to this unconditional variation — i. e. unconditional as regards the consonantal surroundings —, or to survivals of different developments in ME (cf. above), or to traditional influence; or, perhaps, to all these factors combined; at any rate, they give no certain results as to Spenser's pronunciation. The rimes $\mu \in I : \mu \in I$ and $: \mu \in \bar{e}$; με ĕ: με ē and: με ŭ (as well as the anomalous cases 427 a, 266 a); demand an [i]-sound for us i an [e]-sound for us e; but they do not authorize any conclusions as to the (more or less close or open) quality of us Y and us e in Spenser's pronunciation.

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 95, 97, 103, 114, 126.

με I: με Ε (few cases). με I: με Ε (live: με Ε I case; give: με Ε II cases; cf. Ε 95). within: mean Ε : με Ε (4 cases, incl. live: με Ε).

με ĭ: με ĕ (will: tell 147; driven, (-)given: heaven, 21 cases).

με ĕ: με ē (§ 103, few certain cases; cf. also § 244). με ĕ: με ē (§ 103, numerous, especially | st; cf. also § 244). με ĕ (neck, threat): break, great (2 cases). με ĕ: με ā (only head, dead, bread

: με ā, 3 cases; cf. Jerusalem: με ā 149). με ĕ: με ai (prevail: hell 42). με ĕ: με ă (shadows: Meadows n. pr. 478).

With the possible exception of the rimes hence, yet, -get, devil: µs ĭ, hither, spirit, mirror: µs ĕ, which are treated above § 97, Pope's rimes present E. i:e do not authorize any conclusions as to his pronunciation of the words used in these rimes; will: tell 147 is probably merely traditional (cf. Spenser's rimes), and the numerous rimes heaven: us I are typical emergency rimes, besides being authorized by frequent occurrence in the 17th c. poets (e. g. Dryden, Dierb. § 36; Waller, Mead p. 95). — The rimes $\mu \in \tilde{I} : \mu \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are most probably = $[\tilde{I}] : [\tilde{I}]$ (cf. § 225), as well as the rimes µs ĭ: µs ē; and the rimes µs ĭ: µs ī — phonetically quite incorrect — are due to tradition (cf. Spenser; further Dryden, Dierb. § 80) and to some extent certainly also to the likeness in orthography. — Of the rimes of us e, those to us a (if head etc. : µs ā are to be interpreted as such; cf. § 244), to µs ai, and to µs ă, are, in spite of their scarcity, of interest as being neither supported by spelling nor by tradition (no cases in Spenser; among Dierberger's quotations from Dryden's rimes I have found only the three cases change: revenge Dierb. § 34, declaim: them: Jerusalem Dierb. § 2, laid pp.: fled: head Dierb. § 36 (p. 41), note). — The rimes µs ĕ: µs ĕ (cf. § 225) are certainly to some extent at least based upon tradition; the rimes to break, great are no doubt meant as [e]:[e] and require no comment; for the rimes to use, see § 225.

BYRON. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 88, 248 89, 98, 104, 108, 114, 126.

με Ι : με Ι (10 cases). με Ι : με Ε̄r (3 cases) & με Ε̄ & με Ε̄ (numerous, § 95; cf. § 175).

με I: με ĕ (numerous). heaven, seven: forgiven: even 373 a, 709 b. graven: heaven: driven 365 a.

με ἔ: με ἴ (+ "other vowels); see just above. με ἔ: με ễr (2 cases) & με ễ & με ễ (numerous). με ἔ: break, steak, great, wear (4 cases; equivalent is με ἔ: tête 712 b, possibly also spirit: (merit:) bear etc., 7 cases, cf. §§ 108, 178). με ἔ: με ᾶ(r) & με αὶ (numerous). με ἔ: με ᾶ (12 cases, § 114; equivalent is probably rather: με ἔ 376 b, cf. § 120). death: faith: beneath 674 b. devil: evil: cavil 711 a. με ἔ: ΜΕ ᾶ | lengthening cons. (3 cases). warriors: fox-terriers 732 b. με ἔ: με ῦ (9 cases).

In Byron's rimes we find a much freer use of the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ĭ: $\mu\epsilon$ ĕ than in Pope's rimes. This fact does not, however, allow any

certain conclusions as to Byron's pronunciation of these vowels, because except for Bp and DI in which Byron particularly often indulges in phonetically incorrect rimes, the rimes usi : use are with few exceptions limited to cases like hither, thither, whither: us E, together, devil (+ level), heaven (+ seven), ever, never : ue I, which are fully explained by their frequent occurrence in older poets (cf. Spenser and Pope). But there is of course the possibility of Sc. E. influence in Byron's rimes present E. i:e (cf. § 25). — It cannot be decided by the rimes whether Byron's us ĕ was = the Sc. E. open vowel (cf. § 25). Of the rimes which seem to point that way, those of use e to present E. æ & aa prove little, because they are not numerous and, besides, Byron's pronunciation is uncertain as well with regard to present E. æ & aa generally as to some of the special words concerned (cf. §§ 266, 284). The remarkable rimes $\mu\epsilon\ \breve{e}$: $\mu\epsilon\ \breve{u}$ do not prove much either as regards the pronunciation of µs ĕ (cf. § 342); and the solitary rime to warriors cannot prove anything by itself. — The great number of rimes με ĕ: με ē & με ēr as against only one case με ĭ: (με ĕ:) us a, which latter type of rime is certainly not more incorrect phonetically than the former, is a telling instance of the power of tradition (and orthography). — Also in the case of these rimes με ĕ: με ē & με ēr there is of course the possibility of Sc. E. influence (cf. Bell's »twinty» etc. § 25, and the Sc. (E.) peculiarities of vowel quantity § 24), but this factor need not be adduced, as the rimes are well explained by the influence of tradition (and orthography). Besides, this Sc. E. [I]-pronunciation was (is) no doubt limited to special (groups of) words, and it does not appear from Bell to which; of the us e-words used by Byron in the rimes concerned, EDGr. gives Sc. [1]-forms only of stretch, bury (Byron has burial), devil, heaven (all in »Abd» or »ne. Sc.»), and tread (»Sh I»; but [ĕ] in »ne. Sc. ») [it must be added, however, that many of the use e-words used in Byron's rimes are not given in EDGr.].

249 SWINBURNE. Rimes. με Ι : με Ι ((-)give : με Ι, 2 cases). με Ι : με ēr (1 case) & με ē (numerous). με Ι : με ĕ (numerous). spirit : inherit : merit : rear it TL 200.

με ĕ: με ĭ (+ με ēr); see just above. με ĕ: με ē (breath etc., saith: beneath etc., 2 cases; even: heaven, 2 cases). με ĕ: με ā & με ai (numerous). με ĕ: με ӑ (10 cases).

Swinburne's rimes $\mu \in I$: present E. ij, ia, and $\mu \in E$: present E. ei, are no doubt traditionally meant for rimes V: V, and need

no comment. The rimes με ĭ:με ī, με ĭ:με ĕ, με ĕ:με ē (& με ēr), are almost exclusively limited to cases which may be explained both by tradition and by the lack of correct rime-words, and in some cases also by the similarity in spelling. For the rimes με ĕ:με ă cf. § 267.

c. Special cases.

250

I. Any, many, said, says, saith.

any, many. The ME variants eny, meny (cf. e. g. Dib. §§ 36, 61) do not appear in the statements of Ellis' authorities until Cooper's many with µs ĕ; though they have certainly existed throughout the Mod. E. period. Only the ME variants with µs ă are mentioned by the orthoepists of the 16th c. and the first half of the 17th c. In the 18th c. the pronunciation µs ă is still often prescribed by the orthoepists: in any by Buchanan and Sheridan (Ellis), in many by Kenrick, in both words by Ludwig 1717 (Löwisch p. 33). Besides Lediard gives µs ā in many (Ellis p. 1041) and any (Löwisch l. c.); Kenrick µs ā (~µs ĕ) in any. W. gives µs ĕ in both words.

Spenser has the irrelevant rimes any: many 500 a, 514 a, 524 a, 550 a, and the rime anie: penie 517 b, which latter rime is no doubt based upon the ME form eny. — Pope's only rime Stanyan n. pr.: any one (§ 114) is probably = \mus \vec{a}: \mus \vec{a}. — Byron's rime to \mus \vec{a} & \mus \vec{e} (\§ 114) is of no interest in the present case. The five rimes to \mus \vec{a} & \mus \vec{a} (\§ 107) and the solitary rime to \mus \vec{a} (\§ 114) are uncertain. They may very well be explained as merely traditional; but on the other hand it is not altogether impossible that Byron knew the (oldfashioned?, artificial?) pronunciations with \mus \vec{a} & \vec{a} \vec{a} & \vec{a}. — I have not looked for rimes to present E. e in all Byron's works; in CH there are none.— Swinburne's rimes to any, many are in accordance with the present E. pronunciation.

said, says, saith. Spenser. said: $\mu \epsilon$ ai, very numerous (§ 106). said: $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$ (+ $\mu \epsilon$ ai), 7 cases (§ 106). said: $\mu \epsilon \bar{e}$, only in red (= read pp.): slavered: sed pret. 357 b.— says I have noticed only in the rime sayes: dayes 515 a; saith does not occur.

Pope. said: με ai & με ā, 6 cases (§ 106). said: dead, head, lead, bed, red, Ned 85, 130, 152, 235, 271, 344, 416, 445, 491. says is rimed only: days, praise, 3 cases (§ 106); saith does not occur.

Byron. said: present E. ei (§ 107; 19 cases); +: present

¹ From inadvertency I have not paid attention to possibly existing rimes says: present E. ei in Swinburne. — Of rimes said, says, saith: present E. e only those in Byron's CH and Swinburne's SBS have been collected here.

E. e (§ 107; 2 cases). said: unwed (CH) 210 b. — says: present E. ei (§ 107; 4 cases).

SWINBURNE. said, saith: present E. ei (§ 107; 5 cases); +: present E. e (§ 107; 3 cases). — said: dead, head, led, shed SBS 44, 47, 48, 71, 127, 147, 215, 255, 260. saith: breath, death SBS 42, 44, 51, 67, 86, 137, 162, 196, 233, 246. — [Finally there are the rimes saith: present E. e & ij CR 46, 88; cf. 104.]

The weak forms with μs ĕ are evidenced by orthoepists very early: in said by Smith 1568 (Horn Gr. § 117), in says by Daines 1640 (Horn l. c.), in saith by Hart (~ long vowel) 1569 & 1570 (Jespersen p. 80). A long vowel (< μs ai) seems to be known by Jones (~ μs ĕ) in said, says; and W. still prescribes long vowel in said, when it sis an adjective, as the said mans. — Consequently Spenser's and Pope's rimes to said, says, need no comment. Byron's rimes said: present E. ei may have been based upon a pronunciation with μs ai of said (cf. W.); but on the other hand they may very well have been merely traditional, which is no doubt the case with Byron's rimes says: present E. ei and Swinburne's rimes said, saith: present E. ei.

251 II. Words with present E. i or e ~ other vowel: wind s., been, again.

wind s. (cf. Horn Gr. § 71).

Spenser rimes wind s. only: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\imath}$ (the cases are very numerous); as well as Pope (I have recorded 20 cases), and Byron (46 cases recorded), except in wind: Inde n. pr.: blind (Byron) 156 a. Swinburne on the contrary generally rimes wind: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\imath}$; I have recorded 27 rimes of this kind [: Rosalind (I case), Ind (2 cases), sinned, thinned, bedinned, (-)finned] against only two rimes: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\imath}$ [blind, bind, behind, kind PB I: 158; SBS 16].

been pp. (& pres. pl., Spenser).

Spenser. Generally rimed: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ (very numerous; about 70 cases recorded); $\mu \epsilon \bar{i}$ only 15 a, 19 b, 48 b, 93 a, 149 b, 340 b, 474 b (in these cases, except the last, spelt bin). Besides one rime: $\mu \epsilon \bar{i}$, see § 86.

Pope. been: between, seen, queen 85, 142, 253, 395; been: sin 151.

Byron. Generally rimed: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ (very numerous; 66 cases recorded); : $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ only 371 a, 704 a, 795 b, 799 a.

SWINBURNE. Of rimes been: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} I$ have found 14 cases (besides the rime to Faustine PB I: 25, which word is rimed

¹ Cf. p. 139, foot-note.

in the same poem 26 times to $\mu s \bar{e}$ and 14 times to $\mu s \bar{i}$); rimes been: $\mu s \bar{i}$ I have found only PB I: 28, L 105, A 161, 181.

again (cf. Horn Gr. § 117).

Spenser (cf. B. § 144). again: $\mu \varepsilon$ ai, very numerous; again: $\mu \varepsilon \bar{a}$, no cases; again: $\mu \varepsilon \bar{e}$, only in agein: pen: men 54 a.

Pope. again: με ai, numerous (more than 30 cases); besides again: profane: main 160. — again: με ĕ (men, pen, then) 244, 336, 336, 343, 454, 470.

Byron. again: present E. ei (µe ai & µe ā), very numerous (about 120 cases recorded); again: present E. e, very numerous (51 cases racorded); again: present E. ei: present E. e 252 b, 336 b, 733 b (§ 107).

SWINBURNE. again: present E. ei (µɛ ai & µɛ ā), very numerous (60 cases recorded); again: present E. e, very numerous (58 cases recorded, incl. the rimes to Gondolen, Magdalen PB I: 25, L 22); again: present E. ei: present E. e, PB I: 16, 266, PB II: 204, SBS 247 (§ 107).

Present E. ei, ea.

252

The change $[\bar{e}] > [\bar{e}] / r$ in care, air, bear, etc., recorded by orthoepists from the middle of the 18th c. (Horn Gr. § 92), and resulting in the present E. distinction name etc. χ care etc., does not appear in the rimes, and has consequently been disregarded here.

a. με ā(r) & με ai(r).

Spenser. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 35, 36, 37, 57, 60, 90, 109, 110, 112, 117, 118, 124, 125, 170, 182.

με ai : με ī [slain : με ī 348 a, -aight : -ight 8 cases, § 90; equivalent is seize : με ī 50 b, and probably felicitie : away 560 a; cf. § 86]. με ai : με ξ [very few; § 109]. με air : sphere, dear [2 cases, § 110; equivalent (?) fyres : spheres : yeres : theirs 296 a; cf. § 176]. με ai : με ӑ [only obtain'd : hand 423 a].

με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$: με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$ [numerous, § 57; equivalent cases §§ 112, 117]. με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ & με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{r}$ [numerous, §§ 109, 110; equivalent are the rimes με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$: great; bear etc. (+: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ & με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{r}$) (10 cases; §§ 60, 109, 110); here belong also endeavour: με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ (2 cases), § 106; stature (: nature): με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ (3 cases) §§ 115, 180; have: (με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$:) με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ (5 cases), §§ 115, 180; με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$: appeared: heard pp. 329 a]. με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ [generally somewhat uncertain; § 112]. με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$: was [4

cases]; +:pass [2 cases]. $\mu\epsilon\bar{a}(r):\mu\epsilon\bar{a}th$, $\bar{a}_{.}^{(e)}$, $(w)\bar{a}_{.}^{(e)}$ [numerous, §§ 117, 118, 124, 183, 184; equivalent are the rimes $\mu\epsilon\bar{a}(r):hath$, hast (§§ 112, 182), further heard $\mu\epsilon\bar{a}r:\mu\epsilon\bar{a}r$ 314 b (§ 214 b) and (probably) hard (= heard): reward: dar'd 534 b (§ 214 a)]. $\mu\epsilon\bar{a}:\mu\epsilon\bar{a}$ [tale: call: overall 338 a].

Spenser's rimes to $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}(r)$ are well in accordance with the duality in the pronunciation of $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$ (= $[\bar{a}]$ & $[\bar{a}]$) which Luick (Anglia 14, 268 ff., cf. U. § 312 ff.) concludes from the orthoepists to have existed in the 16th c. To $[\bar{a}]$ point the rimes to $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$, probably also those to $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$ th, \bar{a} s(c), (w) \bar{a} r(c), and further the solitary rime 338 a; the numerous rimes to $\mu \epsilon \bar{c}$ & $\mu \epsilon \bar{c}$ r point to a more »front» sound.

As to use ai(r) (= ME ai & ME ei; cf. Luick, Anglia 14, 273 ff.: 253 B. § 154), the rimes to με \(\bar{e}\) & με \(\bar{e}\) and the numerous rimes to με \(\bar{a}(r)\) leave no doubt that Spenser knew a monophthongic pronunciation of us ai(r). These rimes can hardly be due to tradition: besides the fact that traditional influence is much less conspicuous in Spenser's rimes than in those of later poets (cf. § 13), rimes us ai(r) : $\mu \in \bar{\mathbf{a}}(r)$ & $\mu \in \bar{\mathbf{e}}(r)$ are naturally scarce in ME (cf. Dib. § 46 ff.) — except for the rimes $\mu \in ai(r)$: $\mu \in \bar{a}(r)$ in Scotch, where $\mu \in ai(r)$ & me a(r) joined in late ME under an [e]-vowel (cf. Luick U. 360 ff.). — To judge from the rimes, this monophthongic us ai should have been $= [\bar{a}]$, a sound implied also by the statements of several orthoepists of that time, cf. Luick, Anglia l. c.; U. § 201; thus the numerous rimes to us a(r) would be correct, and those to me & me er would be on a level with the numerous rimes me ā(r): με ç̄ & με ēr. — Viëtor's theory (El. § 53 Anm. 8, cf. Sh. § 42) that $\mu \epsilon$ ai was $= [\tilde{e}]$ ($\mu \epsilon \tilde{a} = [\tilde{x}]$, is not supported by Spenser's rimes (cf. B. § 154); such a pronunciation would necessarily have caused another proportion between the rimes of us ai(r) and $\mu \in \bar{a}(r)$ to $\mu \in \bar{e}(r)$. — Nor does a pronunciation of $\mu \in ai = [\bar{a}]$ seem to have been known to Spenser, as there is only one rime με ai : με \check{a} (which may very well have been = $[\tilde{a}]$: $[\check{a}]$, cf. § 264). In fact, such a pronunciation of us ai is not likely to have ever existed; it is true that Smith & Gill give it as a Northern and Scotch peculiarity, but according to Luick U. §§ 260, 289, these statements are not to be taken literally.1

¹ In Anglia 14,279, Luick seems to admit the possibility af a 16th c. pronunciation $[\bar{a}]$ of $\mu \bar{a}$ [>Bindungen von $\alpha i: \alpha$ erklären sich also als $\overline{\alpha}(i): \bar{\alpha}$, (vielleicht auch $\bar{a}(i): \bar{a})$...»], and so does B. § 154 [>Die Reime von ai: å könnten (\hat{a}^{i}): (\hat{a}) oder (\hat{a}): (\hat{a}) oder ... sein»]. But in U. §§ 291, 337, Luick expressly denies the existence of such a pronunciation.

Besides this monophthongic pronunciation of $\mu \epsilon$ ai (= $[\bar{x}]$), 254 Spenser must, however, have known also the old diphthongic pronunciation, which is given by many 16th c. orthoepists as the only or as the best pronunciation. Spenser's rimes, naturally enough, afford little direct evidence of this pronunciation - only the rimes to us I can be adduced - but there is indirect evidence, afforded by a comparison between the rimes us ai(r): με ę & με er and the rimes με a(r): με ę & με er. The decided superiority in number of these latter rimes — cf. Pope, where the proportion is quite different — can be accounted for only by supposing that the $[\bar{z}]$ -pronunciation of $\mu \in \bar{a}(r)$ was more familiar to Spenser than the same pronunciation of us ai(r). This is not quite the same thing as concluding — as B. does § 154 — that the diphthongic pronunciation of us ai was Spenser's usual pronunciation. In support of his conclusion B. further adduces the all but total absence of rimes us ai: ME ă X numerous rimes us ā: ME \bar{a} , and the great proportion of rimes ME \bar{a} : ME \bar{a} /r (because | r the second element of the diphthong was particularly apt to be lost, and the rimes consequently less incorrect |r than in other positions). These arguments are, however, of little weight. the rimes us ai: ME a there would be a difference of quality, besides that of quantity, also with the monophthongic pronunciation of $\mu s ai (= [\bar{a}])$, while in the rimes $\mu s \bar{a} : ME \bar{a}$ there need not be any difference of quality. As to the rimes ME ai: ME a|r, they occur in Pope (see §§ 59, 60) in nearly the same proportion as in Spenser (in Spenser about one third, in Pope a little more than one quarter of all rimes $\mu s ai(r) : \mu s \bar{a}(r)$, not counting the rimes containing other vowels as well, especially ME e), so that the frequency of this kind of rime can hardly have been due to any special development of ME ai r.

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 109, 255 113, 117, 124.

με ai(r): great (I case); : bear etc. (numerous). με ai(r): με ξε & με ξε (: με ξε II cases; : με ξε I3 cases). με air: bear etc.: με ξε (3 cases). με ai: με ξε (prevail: hell 42). με ai: με ξε (5 cases; cf. tail: animal 183). με air: με (w) ξε (: star, Issachar n. pr. 3 cases; : war 2 cases).

με ai(r): με \bar{a} (r) (numerous; equivalent is are: chair 328, cf. § 117).

με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$: break (I case); : great (numerous); : bear etc. (numerous). με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ & με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ (: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ I3 cases; : με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ G cases). με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$: break, bear v. : με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{r})$ (2 cases). με $\bar{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r})$: με $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ (: bread,

dead, head, 3 cases; cf. came: Jerusalem 149, share: commissioner 397). με ā(r): με ă (9 cases; cf. fame: Buckingham 462). με ā(r): present E. aa & DD [: laugh (1 case); : με ǎs(c) (numerous); : hard (1 case); : war (5 cases; and bear v.: prepare: war 156); : reward (1 case)].

In Pope's pronunciation, usai (r) was certainly a monophthong, and $= \mu \epsilon \bar{a}(r)$; conclusive in this respect are especially the rimes to με (w)ă(r), to με ĕ, and to με ē & με ēr. The superiority in number of the rimes $\mu \epsilon ai(r)$: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \& \mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} r$ to the rimes $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}(r)$: us ē & us ēr is probably purely accidental; at any rate it cannot prove a more close pronunciation of us ai(r), as there is nothing else in the rimes that speaks in support of such a difference between $\mu \epsilon ai(r)$ and $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}(r)$. — The quality of $\mu \epsilon ai(r)$ and $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}(r)$ was an [e]-sound in Pope's pronunciation as is shown by the numerous rimes to (break,) great, bear, etc. and especially by the rimes to us e; that these latter are very few is no wonder, as they are little used by older poets (cf. § 247) and, besides, rimes vl : vl are not used frequently by Pope. Whether this [e]-sound was close or open (i. e. »mid front» or »low front») the rimes do not decide; on the one hand there are the rimes to us & us er, on the other, the rimes to us a & us (w) ai(c). But both these kinds may be purely traditional rimes in Pope; cf. Spenser, and further Dryden, who uses also rimes $\mu \epsilon ai(r) : \mu \epsilon \check{a} \& \mu \epsilon (w) \check{a}i(c)$ (Dierb. §§ 34, 41) though not frequently. Most probably, however, the rimes of this last kind are in Pope (and in Dryden as well) mainly quasi-traditional, i. e. based on the authority of the corresponding rimes of $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{a}(r)$, to which they were completely equivalent at least in Pope's pronunciation.

256 Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 109, 113, 119, 188.

veil: conceal: seal 808 a. death: faith: beneath 674 b.

με ai: με ĕ (numerous). με air: err, her, early (2 cases). there: err: fair 768 b. whate'er: Fair: are 614 b. pant: faint 602a. με ai(r): με ā(r); με ai(r) & με ā(r): break, great; bear etc. (very numerous; not taken down).

με ā : με ē (7 cases; + speak : break : take 365 a). με ā : με ē (12 cases). graven : heaven : driven 365 a. με ā : με ĕ (numerous). με ā : με ĕ (2 cases; cf. § 107). με ā : heard (3 cases). με ā : με ă (numerous; + ate pret. : cat : great 376 b, cf. § 113). με ā : με ǎ (3 cases). safe : half : chafe 692 b. με ā : με ǎ (c) (12 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (12 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (13 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (14 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (15 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (16 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (17 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (18 cases). με ā : με ǎ (c) (19 cases).

wăr^c (2 cases). paused: caused: chased 729 a. was: blaze 335 a. squabble: rabble: able 697 a. same ages: damages: homages 791 a. — [wail: Baal 82 b etc., not considered, cf. § 105.]

In the standard pronunciation of Byron's time $\mu \epsilon$ ai(r) and $\mu \epsilon$ $\bar{a}(r)$ were certainly identical; and that they were so also in Byron's pronunciation appears, not only from the great number of rimes $\mu \epsilon$ ai(r): $\mu \epsilon$ $\bar{a}(r)$ etc. (which have not been taken down), but also, above all, from the rimes $\mu \epsilon$ $\bar{a}(r)$ & $\mu \epsilon$ ai: $\mu \epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$. Thus, the difference that is actually made between $\mu \epsilon$ ai(r) and $\mu \epsilon$ $\bar{a}(r)$ in the rimes to some vowels (cf. the review, above), must be due to other than phonetic causes. In the case of rimes to $\mu \epsilon$ \bar{a}^c , there are hardly any $\mu \epsilon$ ai-words that could be used as rimewords (Walker's Rhyming Dict. gives only waist); and the absence of rimes $\mu \epsilon$ ai(r): $\mu \epsilon$ \bar{a} , and: $\mu \epsilon$ \bar{a}^c may very well be a case of spelling influence (cf. § 20, end).

SWINBURNE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see 257 § 113.

 $\mu \text{s ai}(r): \mu \text{s $\bar{a}(r)$, $\mu \text{s ai}(r)$ & $\mu \text{s $\bar{a}(r)$}: \textit{break, great; bear etc.} \\ \text{(very numerous; not taken down).}$

με ai & με \bar{a} : με \bar{e} (numerous). με ai & με \bar{a} : με \bar{a} : με

Swinburne, of course, has the present E. pronunciation of μs ai(r) and μs \bar{a} (r). Except for the rimes μs ai & μs \bar{a} : μs \bar{e} , which are no doubt regarded by the poet as rimes $\bar{v}l$: vl, the incorrect rimes are limited to a few strictly traditional cases.

b. break, steak, great [to yea I have not noticed any 258 rimes]; bear etc.; cf. Luick U. § 322 ff.

The history of bear etc. has been dealt with above § 230 f. As to break, great (Luick, l. c.), they are given with $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ by all 16th & 17th c. orthoepists; $[\bar{1}]$ is given by Buchanan and Sheridan in break; in great by EO. After the change $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon} > [\bar{1}]$, $[\bar{\epsilon}]$ is given by Lediard — who is the first orthoepist who distinguishes the words from $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ — in both words; further in great by Buchanan and Sheridan, etc. Walker gives $[\bar{\epsilon}]$ (= $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{a}) in break, great, but he also mentions an affected pronunciation with $[\bar{1}]$. — steak has $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ in Smith; then it is not mentioned until Lediard who gives $[\bar{\epsilon}]$ as in break, great.

SPENSER. Rimes Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 106, 259 109, 164, 170.

break, great: $\mu \in \xi$ (§ 109 numerous; equivalent are the rimes containing sweat, threat §§ 106, 179); +: $\mu \in \xi$ 314 b. great: repeat:

create: animate 138 b. — bear etc.: $\mu \epsilon$ ēr (numerous). bear etc. $\mu \epsilon$ ār (4 cases); $+ : \mu \epsilon$ ēr (5 cases; §§ 60, 110).

In these rimes there are, very naturally, no signs whatever of the words *break*, *great*; *bear* etc. being in any way distinguished from words in general with ME $\bar{\epsilon}$.

260 POPE. Rimes.

break: speak (2 cases). speaks: makes: breaks 85. great: µs & (12 cases). break: make 123; great: µs & (numerous); great: eight 479. break: neck 85; threat: great 118. break: crack 273.—bear etc.: µs &r (numerous); bear etc.: µs &r &r &r &sar & µs & air (4 cases). bear etc.: µs &r & µs &r & (numerous). bear v., wear: star 37, 166. war: tear 161. bear v.: prepare: war 156 [cf. swear: thunderer 162.]

Pope's rimes show an unmistakable separation of the words of 261 this group from the words with me \(\bar{e} \) and me \(\bar{e} \). Conclusive in this respect are above all break: crack (X no rimes $\mu \in \bar{e} : \mu \in \bar{a}$), the numerous rimes great: µs ā and bear etc.: µs ār & µs air (this last group is far more numerous than all rimes us er: us ar & us air put together). To judge from these rimes Pope's pronunciation of the words of this group was = $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}(r)$; if the theory proposed § 231 for the origin of the us a-pronunciation in bear etc. is correct, it is only natural that Pope, as being a Londoner born and bred, should have this pronunciation in the case of these words. - Yet, Pope may also have known (without using it himself) the pronunciation with $\mu \in \bar{e}$ (i. e. = $\lceil \bar{i} \rceil$ or close $\lceil \bar{e} \rceil$) in all the present E. ei- & ea-words here considered. In the case of the words break, great, there is, in fact, little doubt that he did; cf. the orthoepists, § 258. In the case of bear etc., matters are more doubtful; but it seems probable that the pronunciation µs \(\varphi \) was to be heard in London (though mainly, perhaps, from provincial speakers) at least in Pope's early days (cf. § 231). - Pope's rimes to use and use are of no use in the present case; cf. §§ 225, 238 (end), 239.

Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 170. break, great; bear etc.: $\mu\epsilon \bar{a}(r)$ & $\mu\epsilon ai(r)$ (very numerous; not taken down). break: $\mu\epsilon \bar{e}$ (8 cases). speak: break: take 365 a. break, steak, great: $\mu\epsilon \bar{e}$ (3 cases). ate pret.: cat: great 367 b (probably = $\mu\epsilon \bar{a}$: $\mu\epsilon \bar{a}$, cf. 113). — bear, wear, were, there, e'er: $\mu\epsilon \bar{e}$ (15 cases). Green Erin: wearing 778 a (cf. 107, footnote 1). bear, ne'er (+ were): her (3 cases). there: err: fair 768 b.

parable: arable: bearable 825 b. whate'er: Fair: are 614 b. [For the rimes bear etc.: (merit:) spirit (7 cases), cf. §§ 97, 108, 178.]

Byron's usual pronunciation of the words of this group was no doubt $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{a}(r)$. The rimes pointing to a pronunciation $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{e}(r)$, i. e. the rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{e} & $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{e} r, may all be due wholly to tradition and spelling; and this is probably the case with the rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{e} r (cf. 240). The rimes break: $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{e} χ no rimes great: $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{e} are remarkable, as great is by far the more commonly used in rimes (cf. Spenser and Pope §§ 259, 260), and may imply Byron's knowledge of a $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{e} -pronunciation of break, and not of great (= Buchanan and Sheridan, cf. § 258).

SWINBURNE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see 263 §§ III, 170.

break, great; bear etc.: $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}(r)$ & $\mu \epsilon ai(r)$ (very numerous; not taken down). there, were: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} r$ (2 cases). there: her PB I: 289.

Swinburne's pronunciation is of course present E. The. rimes disagreeing with this pronunciation are strictly traditional.

Present E. æ.

264

a. Quality of $\mu\epsilon$ ă.

Spenser. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 38, 43, 112, 114, 116, 123, 180, 211.

με ἄ: με ā (generally uncertain cases, see § 112). με ă: με ai (obtain'd: hand 423 a). band s., hand, (under)stand: demand (3 cases). με ă: με wă (numerous; equivalent are με ă: water (2 cases), cf. §§ 123, 279). με ă: με ŏ (§ 133, 6 cases; for equivalent cases cf. § 314).

In these rimes there is really no sign of a palatalisation of $\mu\epsilon$ ă to an [æ]-vowel: the rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ ā, as far as they are certain (cf. §112), may be based on the »back» pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ ā (§ 252), and the solitary rime to $\mu\epsilon$ ai is little conclusive regarding the quality of $\mu\epsilon$ ă, cf. § 253. On the other hand the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ă: $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ decidedly point to a »back» pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ ă (cf. § 314). — The rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ wă and to demand are irrelevant, cf. § 308 f. & § 280 respectively.

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 113, 114, 265 123.

με ă: με ā(r) & με ai (14 cases). crack: break 273. shadows: Meadows n. pr. 478. band s. etc.: command, demand (numerous). με ă: με wă (8 cases).

In Pope's time $\mu\epsilon$ ă was certainly palatalized to a sfront vowel. It is true that only the two cases 273 and 478 can be adduced in proof of this palatalisation (for the rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ ā(r) & $\mu\epsilon$ ai see § 255); but on the other hand there are no rimes that point to a sback pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ ă, as the rimes to command, demand and to $\mu\epsilon$ wă are irrelevant, as in Spenser, cf. §§ 280, 309.

Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, treated above, see §§ 113, 114, 116.

[§ II3:] $\mu \epsilon \ \tilde{a}$: $\mu \epsilon \ \tilde{a}$ (r) (numerous); $\mu \epsilon \ \tilde{a}$: great, bear (2 cases). [§ 114:] µE ă:µE ĕ (had:µE ĕ, 2 cases; shadow: meadow, 2 cases; gather: together 604 a - equivalent is probably rather: us ĕ 376 b; cf. § 120 -; chambre F.: amber: remember 827 b; further 6 cases). [§ 181:] devil: evil: cavil 711 a. [§ 116:] με ă: OF ã, με ăs(c), με ăth, father (numerous). [§ 123:] Cossacque: attack: walk 735 a; με ă: με au (2 cases); με ă: με-aught (+ water) (6 cases); με ă: water (8 cases); με ă: με war (5 cases); με ă: stanch, vaunt (3 cases); shall, canal: µs ăl (7 cases; cf. § 123). [§ 133:] με ἄ: με ὄ (+ με wǎ) (3 cases); laurels: quarrels: barrels 640 a; µs a : µs wa (numerous). [§ 187:] want : cant s. : vaunt 627 a; as: was: cause 784 b. [§ 189:] commander: squander: salamander 735 b; Alexander: wander: meander 727 a; was: class, pass: as, has (3 cases). [§ 191:] squabble: rabble: able 697 a; same ages: damages: homages 791 a. [§ 158:] µɛ ă: one, once, sun, dunce, thorough (4 cases). [§ 210:] upon: one: man 696 a; awkward: backward: stuck hard (2 cases).

Some of these rimes certainly seem to indicate that Byron used a more »back» pronunciation of us a than the present E. æ; or, if his own pronunciation was = present E. æ, that his native Sc. (E.) [ă] (cf. § 25) occasionally — possibly unconsciously — influenced his rimes. Quite irrelevant are the numerous rimes present E. æ: aa which may have been correct rimes με ă: με ă, cf. §§ 280, 284. Other rimes can be explained as being, exclusively or mainly, due to tradition; such are shall, canal: us al (cf. § 123), gather: father (cf. § 281), με ἄ: με wã &: με war. But this explanation is hardly applicable to the rimes containing με au (incl. laurel) or με ŏ (the rimes to με ŭ are uncertain, cf. § 342), which all point to a »back» pronunciation of µs ă [yet the rime homages: µs ă: µs ā somewhat lessens the value of the rimes $\mu \in \mathbf{a} : \mu \in \mathbf{o}$ as a proof]. — On the other hand, the rimes of με ă to με ā, to break & bear etc., and to με ĕ, are certainly best interpreted as being based upon a »front » pronunciation of µe ă, but none of these groups of rimes can be accepted as conclusive proof of such a pronunciation. The rimes to us a are traditional (i. e. traditionally looked upon as rimes vl : vl) and prove as little as e. g. the rimes to us wa; and the rimes to break, bear, etc. are easily explained as being quasi-traditional, being equivalent, phonetically, in Byron's time to the rimes us a : us a. The rimes to με ĕ are not numerous; besides, some of them might be due to special pronunciations of the words concerned, taken from Byron's native dialect: had is given as hed in a citation from Aberdeen (in EDD), shadow, gather have [ĕ] in »ne. Sc. » (EDGr.), rather close [ĕ] in the Aberdeen dialect (EDGr.) (the rimes shadow: meadow may besides be a direct loan from Pope (§ 114). The few remaining cases might very well have been = [a]: [e] (cf. the rimes warriors: fox-terriers (§ 135), squabble: us a: us a, which are, at the best. $= [\mathbf{\check{a}}] : [\mathbf{\check{e}}] \text{ and } [\mathbf{\check{a}}] : [\mathbf{\check{e}}] \text{ respectively}); \text{ they all bear the stamp of}$ emergency rimes.

SWINBURNE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 113. 267 µs ă: µs ā & µs ai (4 cases). µs ă: µs ĕ (10 cases; mostly feminine rimes). man's: dance etc. (2 cases). hath 3 sg.: µs ăth (incl. wrath, cf. § 119) (4 cases). µs ă: wan a. (7 cases). hungry: angry AC 93.

All these rimes may be put down to the three factors (singly or combined): tradition, influence of spelling, and — especially in the case of have, hath, and the feminine rimes — lack of correct rime-words. — The comparatively large number of rimes µs ă: µs ĕ is not to be wondered at, as the rimes of this type are decidedly less incorrect phonetically than the others.

b. Special cases: am, had, has, hath, hast. [For have and 268 shall, see §§ 112 & 123 respectively.]

Of enclitic words with short vowel + single (final) consonant sound there existed in ME, beside the usual weak forms vl + cs (in enclitic use), strong forms vl + cs (in emphatic use and as rimes). Out of these weak forms there developed, according to Luick U. § 419 ff., a new kind of strong forms vl + cs, indicated in many enclitic words by spellings and rimes especially in Northern texts (Luick U. § 419; cf. the late ME Northern forms haid, hais, haith (haist) in NED); the length of the vowel being due to special accent laws (weak stress combined with "Gravis", strong stress with "Acut"). — This rule is applicable to all the words here concerned except hast, in which, however, a long vowel would be easily explained as due to influence of the other forms of the

tense. had may besides have a regular ME ā (cf. Luick U. § 448), as is certainly the case with have (§ 112) and are (§ 117). — Strong forms of the type $\overline{vl} + \overline{cs}$ are not mentioned of am, had, has, hath (,hast) by the early Mod.E. orthoepists. Such a form of am may however be the explanation of SPENSER'S rimes am: us ā (§ 112; B. § 88 does not quote any rimes am: us a from FQ; in Spenser's other works I have noticed only dam: Lamb: am 455 b) which seem to be meant for rimes us a : us a [Luick does not give any ME am, but the spelling ame is quoted from Cursor Mundi by The rime had: µs ā 286 a is far more uncertain and cannot make it probable that Spenser knew a us a-form of had. -B. §§ 81. 88 regards the rimes had, am: µs ā as rimes µs ă: µs ā; but with regard to Spenser's pronunciation of has and was he lays down the rule (B. § 207) — independently of the accent conditions adduced by Luick — that a weak form vl + cs when used under stress may result in two strong forms: $\check{v}l + \bar{c}s$ and $\overline{v}1 + \overline{c}s$. Spenser's rimes to has, however (for the rimes to was, cf. § 310), seem conclusive only for a form $vl + \bar{c}s$, completely equivalent to us as generally [has: us as, 3 cases; has: was, 3 cases; has: we as: was, numerous]. — hath, hast are treated in Spenser's rimes as us ath and us asc generally [hath: scath, 2 cases (cf. § 112); hath: us ath (+ scath, § 182), 8 cases; bath: wrath: hat'th: hath 87 a; hath: death 500 b. hast: taste v.: defast pp. 122 b; haste: past: fast: hast 58 b; hast: us asc, 3 cases]; of course they here have strong forms vl + cs, equivalent to us ath, us asc also with regard to the lengthening power of the consonant(s). The rime hath: death is probably an instance of this lengthening influence; cf. § 282 (death is often rimed: µs \(\bar{e}\) by Spenser, see \(\bar{e}\) 100, 243).

Pope does not use am, has, hath, hast as rimes; had is rimed only: $\mu \in \mathbf{a}$.

In Byron's pronunciation as in SWINBURNE's the strong forms of the words here concerned no doubt have $\mu \epsilon \, \tilde{a}$, and the rimes of these poets to these words are to be explained as rimes of the same kind to $\mu \epsilon \, \tilde{a}$ in general.

Present E. aa and oo.

269

a. Quality of μ s au (incl. μ s $\breve{a}.(c)$, i. e. ME $\breve{a}.(c) > \mu$ s au(l) > present E. >>; cf. »Phonetic Notation»).

Judging from orthoepistical evidence, monophthongic pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ au is very common in the 16th c., though the old

diphthongic pronunciation is not universally dropped until the second half of the 17th c. The monophthong is generally compared (or identified) by the 16th-18th c. orthoepists with foreign (German, French, etc.) a long; a statement which has been interpreted in different ways by different investigators of early E. pronunciation. According to Ellis (p. 141 ff.) Sweet, and Luick, the monophthong is a very open [o]-vowel (Sweet's »Low back round»), probably »wide» (Sweet HES § 859; = Luick, Anglia 16, 470), represented in present E. by the corresponding »narrow» sound [33]; in Viëtor's opinion (El. § 45, Anm. 6), accepted e. g. by Brotanek p. XV, us au is in the beginning of the 17th c. represented by [a], which remains far into the 18th c. when the present E. 33 is adopted. In Sh. § 44, Viëtor maintains his opinion, admitting however that the vowel in question »was rather of a velar than of a palatal or even a clear type, and that it might be more exactly denoted by $[\alpha :]$ ». Thus, the difference of the two opinions only concerns the existence or non-existence of a slight rounding. Now, a very slight rounding would not have been enough to facilitate, to any degree, the riming of the monophthong us au with us o, so this argument of Viëtor's in Sh. l. c. (i. e. the absence of rimes of this kind in the Elizabethan period) does not carry much weight; besides it should be kept in mind that the diphthongic pronunciation of us au was no doubt the more common one throughout the 16th c. — Further, it may be inferred from the statements of Walker, who completely distinguishes $\mu \epsilon$ au $(=a^3)$ from $\mu \epsilon \, \text{ar}^{(c)}$ etc. $(=a^2)$, that the identification of E. and foreign vowel sounds made by many early E. orthoepists, is not to be implicitely trusted. In the »Table of . . . vowels» on p. XVI of his Dictionary, he identifies »a3» with the »broad German a» and the French »â in âge, Châlons»; »o3» with the French so in or, for, encors. On the other hand, in Princ. 167 he identifies »03 » with the sound written au, which is (Princ. 213) = the sound of the s. awe, sor of the a in hall, ball, etc. > (i.e. a3). Yet he observes, Princ. 83, that the English give a broader sound to this letter in these words» (i. e. fall, ball, etc.) »than the Germans themselves would do, were they to pronounce them. - Finally, the theory of an unrounded pronunciation of us au in the standard early Mod. E. seems to imply a corresponding unrounded pronunciation of us o as well - cf. Viëtor El. § 45. Anm. 8, and further the fact that ME ŏ | lengthening consonant(s) is in present St. E. identical with us au, an identification made

already by Cooper (cf. §§ 287, 298) — and such a pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ in the standard language seems improbable, at least from the middle of the 17th c. down (cf. § 312).

Horn U. p. 21 ff. assumes, mainly on the evidence of the present E. dialects and of early Mod. E. spellings, that there was in the early Mod. E. »Schriftsprache» a double pronunciation of us au, = $[\bar{a}]$, and (open) $[\bar{Q}]$, originating in different dialect developments; the latter pronunciation represents the present E. 20. while the former appears now in some dialects only. — Horn is unquestionably right in concluding that the spellings recorded by him (l. c.) represent an [a]-pronunciation of us au, no doubt corresponding to the [a]- and [e]-pronunciations of me au in some present E. dialects. But this pronunciation need not have been anything more than a dialectal peculiarity which — but for rare exceptions — never really entered the (standard) literary E. used by the (literary) poets and appearing (or meant to appear, at least) in the statements of E. orthoepists. The rimes, and the identification (by many orthoepists) of us au and foreign a, adduced by Horn 1. c., prove little; cf. § 271 f. & § 269 respectively. As to the identification of the vowels in law etc. and hard etc. by some foreign orthoepists (Horn l. c.), it may very well be a mistake on the part of these orthoepists (= Luick 1. c.), due either to mere ignorance or to the circumstance that the difference between the E. vowels in question was far less considerable than the difference between o long and a long in their own languages (besides, German had only one long o-vowel, corresponding to the E. $\mu \in \bar{Q}$). Very conclusive in this respect is the fact that English grammarians do not make this identification of us au and us ăr(c) etc.

Apart from rimes $\mu \epsilon$ au: $\mu \epsilon$ au (cf. § 40) and the rimes to -ought (cf. § 275), Spenser has the following rimes to $\mu \epsilon$ au: I) tale: call: overall 338 a; 2) saw pret.: overthrow: below: throw 302 a; 3) abroade: fraude 460 b (abroad has $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$ or possibly a vowel = the monophthongic $\mu \epsilon$ au, cf. § 301); 4) roade pret.: baude s. (= bawd) 233 a (roade may stand for the Northern rade, cf. § 112). — The rimes I), 3), 4), certainly point to a monophthongic $\mu \epsilon$ au; probably a very open $[\bar{o}]$ -vowel, different in quality from $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$ as well as from the conservative 16th c. »back» pronunciation of $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$ (§ 252). This special quality of the monophthong fully accounts for the scarcity of rimes to $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}$ and $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$; so it is not necessary to adduce diphthongic pronunciation as an explana-

tion of this fact. — The rime 2) may be based upon the old diphthongic μs au, but it may also be = monophthongic μs au: monophthongic μs ou, as this latter diphthong was in the process of monophthongisation in Spenser's time, cf. § 304.

Among Pope's rimes, the following are of interest for deci-272 ding upon the quality of us au : 1) call : Baal 407; law : ca (French) 445; 2) abroad: µs au 147, 291; groat: fault 442; 3) unaw'd: God 399; was: draws 93, 470. — These rimes are not very conclusive as to the pronunciation of us au, save for its being a monophthong, which is a matter of course in Pope's time; but they are not incompatible with the theory of a »wide» [55]. An [a]sound is not proved by the rimes 1); because the French (etc.) a (in ca; possibly used also in Baal, cf. Ekw. § 95) is wrongly identified with, and pronounced as, (the monophthongic) us au by the E. orthoepists and certainly also by the public up to the present time [cf. above § 269, Walker; and further Kenrick (Ellis p. 1051), Sharp (Ellis p. 1052); see besides Storm p. 57]. The total absence of rimes to the close $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\varrho}$ is not surprising, there being practically no traditional authority for rimes of this kind [cf. Spenser above; Dryden, Dierberger § 37 ff., no cases; for-ought see § 276]; the difference of orthography may also have had some influence.

In Byron's time $\mu \epsilon$ au was undoubtedly an [93] sound in 273 the Southern E. pronunciation, as appears from e. g. Kenrick and Walker; but Byron's rimes make it probable that his pronunciation of $\mu \epsilon$ au was the Sc. E. unrounded »low back wide » cf. § 26. — The rimes in point are the following (the rimes shall, canal: $\mu \epsilon$ all may be purely traditional (cf. § 123), and the rimes $\mu \epsilon$ au: French a prove as little regarding the pronunciation of $\mu \epsilon$ au as Pope's law: ϵa ; irrelevant in the present case is also the rimes to $\mu \epsilon$ wa, incl. was, cf. § 131): 1) Cossacque: attack: walk 735 a; caught, taught, daughter, slaughter: water: flatter, matter (6 cases); man: spawn: can 777 a; man: ataghan: Amaun (?, not in NED) 251 a; as: was: cause 784 b. 2) was: pass: cause 370 b; was: bass: maws 654 a. 3) yawn, Sirs: dancers 61 a. [Cf. besides 4) the rime laurels: Charles 371 a, §§ 132, 133.]

The rimes 1), 2), can hardly be due to tradition: Spenser and Pope have none; Dryden only one, man: drawn 163 (and cause: was 622) to judge from Dierberger § 39; the orthography does not support them, and they are too numerous to be occasional emergency rimes. This being so, it seems impossible that the

rimes I) should be meant to be rimes [æ]: [55] (W. a^4 : a^3) according to the Southern pronunciation of the time. In Sc. E. pronunciation on the other hand (see § 26), they would be rimes $\vec{v}l: \vec{v}l$ (cf. also for quantity in Sc. E., above § 24); and even if they were not quite so in Byron's pronunciation (for Byron's $\mu\epsilon$ cf. § 266), they were no doubt based upon the Sc. E. pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ au. — The rimes awkward:backward:stuckhard (2 cases), withdrawn:down:frown 710 b, paused:caused:chased 729 a are too anomalous to be of any use in the present question.

It is true, there are some rimes με au : με \(\bar{Q}\) and : με \(\bar{Q}\) (rimes -ought, (a) broad: us \(\bar{\rho}\) & us \(\bar{\rho}\) do not count, cf. \(\setmins\) 277, 301 respectively): 1) notion: ocean: precaution 731 b; caused, paused: ue o (3 cases); thought: remote: caught: sought 194 a; Minotaur: wore : more 667 a: Tauris: bore his 770 a. 2) fraud: God 374 a; bother: author 162 a; and dollars: colours: bawlers 699 a. — As to the rimes 1), the difference between the rime-vowels in Sc. E. pronunciation is not great enough to make rimes impossible, at least not with a careless rimer like Byron; in fact there is a rime, laureate: tory at: are ye at 625 a, that is best explained by the Sc. E. pronunciation of us au. Besides it should be noted that the rimes 1) with one exception only occur in DI (cf. § 248). - To the rimes 1) there is at least one corresponding in Pope's rimes (God: unaw'd); cf. Dryden's taught: got 421, Dierberger § 40. Traditional influence is not excluded, especially as rimes of this kind ($= \tilde{v}l : \bar{v}l$) are very probably used by other 18th c. poets more freely than by Pope.

In Swinburne's pronunciation μe au is of course = present E. ∞ ; for the rimes see §§ 66, 131 (cf. §§ 20, 277, 310, 317).

274 **b.** ME $\breve{al}^{(c)} > \text{present E. aa.}$

Spenser and Pope have no rimes [except for Pope's safe: laugh 61 (cf. § 276)] that show the branching of ME ălc (Luick, Anglia 16, 462 ff.) leading to present E. [ei (safe) X] aa (half) X 32 (walk, bald). Spenser's salve (= save): behalve s. 250 a is irrelevant, cf. § 116. — Byron has the rime safe: half: chafe 692 b, where he seems to have in mind the vowel [æ] in half, still given by Bch and Sheridan (Ellis); if the rime is not merely modelled on earlier rimes, cf. e. g. Dryden's knaves: halves 162 (Dierberger § 1), Pope's safe: laugh 61. [I have not taken into consideration rimes of the types half: laugh, walk: hawk, in Byron and Swinburne.]

c. ME. -ought, -aught (incl. laugh & laughter).

Rimes -aught: -ought, and reverse spellings -aught ~ -ought, 275 occur in plenty before Spenser's time (in Skelton, Hawes, Tottel, Heywood, etc.; cf. Unna p. 33, where further references are given), while certain rimes us au : us ou are hardly to be found. The rimes might be due to want of rime-words for the group -aught, yet the reverse spellings remain; so that especially with regard to the later development there is a great probability of -ought and -aught being at least occasionally identified already about 1500, either on account of dialectal developments or of analogical influence producing doublets us au ~ us ou (Horn Gr. § 142). — This may be the explanation also of Spenser's numerous rimes and occasional reverse spellings of this kind (cf. § 62; B. §§ 179 & 190 f.). However, the two groups -aught, -ought were probably kept apart as a rule in Spenser's own pronunciation or in the (standard) literary language of his time; this seems to be implied by the great superiority in number of the rimes -ought: -ought (much greater than in Pope's rimes) and from the fact that all Spenser's reverse spellings -aught ~ -ought can be explained as adaptations to the spelling of other words in the same rimes. — B. § 191, and Luick E. St. 26,268, consider these rimes as consciously inaccurate ([au]: [ou]). — The rime begot: whot (= hot): got: brought 289 b seems to point to the later pronunciation of -ought with monophthong and the fricative dropped; but it proves nothing as to the more or less open quality of this eventual monophthong (cf. § 311 f. & § 314). - The rime water (cf. § 279): laughter 575 b may be a rime us a : με au (Gill transcribes »launter») or possibly = με au : με au; but it may as well be = us a : us af (Gill: »dialecti laf»), cf. the rime gotten: soften: often 220 b. — In draught us au seems to be ascertained by the (four) rimes to -ought, -aught = present E. 33 (§ 122).

Pope's rimes -ought: -aught (§ 62) should be accepted as 276 correct, on account of the contemporary orthoepistical evidence of $\mu\epsilon$ au in -ought. — Besides the comparatively numerous rimes to -aught (incl. draught), -ought is rimed only: $\mu\epsilon$ ū 474, $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ 487, and, finally,: groat (3 cases) which had $\mu\epsilon$ au ~ $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{Q} (cf. § 302). To $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{Q} and $\mu\epsilon$ ou there are no rimes. — The absence of rimes of -aught to $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ and to groat may very well be due to mere chance, especially as the words -aught are much less numerous than the words -ought (cf. the fact that only -ought, not -aught, is rimed with

draught). — Thus there is no sign in Pope's rimes of the identity of -ought and $\mu \epsilon$ ou in general, stated by several orthoepists from Gill down to the 18th c.; cf. Luick, Anglia 16, 452 ff. Such rimes are not, however, totally missing in early Mod. E.: Dierberger § 40 gives three cases from Dryden (brought, thought: float, note 567, 584, 610) χ no rimes -aught: $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$; Walker's Rhyming Dict. gives one from Broome (thought: wrote), and Mead p. 114 quotes from Waller 3 cases (thought: note, wrote). — laugh has the »front» vowel leading to the present E. aa(f) in the rime safe: laugh 61 (whether $[\check{z}]$ or $[\bar{z}]$ is meant cannot be decided); draught on the other hand has $\mu \epsilon$ au in the rimes to -ought (4 cases).

Byron rimes -ought: -aught evidently without any hesitation: e. g. in CH aught, caught, taught: ought, sought, thought, wrought 194 b, 216 b, 218 b, 223 a, 230 a, 241 a; (taught : fraught 219 a; thought: (-)wrought 204 a, 218 a. But in rimes to other vowels, he makes an obvious distinction between the two groups; doubtless on account of Sc. E. influence (cf. § 26). Thus, there are 6 rimes us a: -aught (none: -ought; 3 rimes us o: -ought \(\text{none} : -aught; \text{ 13 rimes us \(\bar{\oldsymbol{o}} : -ought \) (incl. boat : thought : lot 657 b) (only I rime: -aught (+:-ought). The scarcity of rimes of -aught to us o and to us o might possibly be put down to the inferiority in number of the words -aught (this may very well be the case in Swinburne's rimes), but the rimes us a : -aught certainly point to some phonetic ground for the division of the rimes to -ought and -aught. In fact, it seems probable that, in Byron's pronunciation, us -aught had us au (cf. § 273), and us -ought a vowel standing between $\mu \varepsilon$ and $\mu \varepsilon$ on (=(a)broad, cf. § 301).

SWINBURNE's rimes -ought: -aught are of course correct rimes [22]: [22]; the rimes to present E. 2 (§ 131) are rimes $\overline{v}l: \overline{v}l$.

278 d. με wăr(c) (incl. quăr(c)). water.

[As to the lengthening influence of -r(c), cf. § 282].

In Spenser's rimes (§§ 40, 122, 124, 164) there are no signs of an influence of the w on the vowel in $\mu \epsilon$ wăr(c); the rime swarmd: deformd: armd: warmd 126 b proves nothing in this respect because containing also $\mu \epsilon$ ărc, and it is besides outweighed by the three cases $\mu \epsilon$ ăr(c): $\mu \epsilon$ ŏrc (§ 122).

Pope has the rimes war: abhor (2 cases), warm: form (2 cases), illustrating the w-influence asserted by contemporary orthoepists; the rimes are the more conclusive because no rimes $u \in arc^{(c)}$:

με ŏr(c) occur. — On the other hand there are the rimes με wăr(c): με ăr(c) (16 cases); further με wăr(c): με ār, με air, bear, tear (9 cases), reward: appear'd 378; in which με wăr(c) is treated as equivalent to με ăr(c). These rimes seem to authorize the conclusion that Pope knew a »front» vowel ([æ] or [æ]) in με wăr(c), a pronunciation still recorded in many words by 18th c. orthoepists (especially foreigners, cf. Löwisch p. 40; for Jones, cf. Ekw. § 101).

Byron's rimes point to complete identification of me war(c) and $\mu \in ar(c)$, viz. under the Sc. E. »Low back wide» (cf. § 26). In the first place there are the particularly numerous rimes us wăr(c): µs ăr(c). Then there is the fact that µs wăr(c) and µs ăr(c) are treated quite equally in the rimes to other vowels; except that the rimes us war(c): us ar and: us erc are fewer than the corresponding rimes of us ar(c), which difference may, however, be put down to mere chance, as the rimes us ar(e): us ar and : us ĕrc not are very numerous either. The rime far : present E. e is, to some extent at least, counter-balanced by the rime warrior: present E. e 732 b. — The rimes are as follows. $\mu \epsilon$ wăr(c): $\mu \epsilon$ ăr(c) (numerous); conferr'd: reward: card 769 b. us arc: us erc (§ 169; 5 cases; for hearth: present E. 22, cf. § 348). war(ring): us a (3 cases) [cf. warrior (§ 133): µE ă 3 cases; : µE ĕ 732 b, § 135] X far : με ĕ 372 a. reward : prepared (2 cases) (με ar(c) : present E. ea (q cases). us war(c): us or(c) (13 cases); form: worm: contorm: warm 213 b)(με ăr(c) (:με wăr(c)):με ŏr(c) (9 cases); forms: worms: charms 719 a. — Cf. laurels: Charles 371 a (§ 132). — Traditional influence may be adduced to explain the occurrence of the rimes of $\mu \epsilon$ war(c) and of $\mu \epsilon$ ar(c) which deviate from the present E. pronunciation, but this explanation does not apply to the parallelism of the rimes of $\mu \epsilon$ war(c) and of $\mu \epsilon$ ar(c). Especially the fact that the rimes containing us ăr(c): us ŏr(c) are almost equal in number to the rimes $\mu \in war(c)$: $\mu \in \tilde{o}r(c)$, seems a conclusive proof of the identification of us war(c) and us ar(c) in Byron's pronunciation. — At any rate, this fact certainly proves that us war(c) and us or(c) (both = present E. 22) were not identified in Byron's pronunciation.

SWINBURNE'S rimes (§ 66) show the present E. pronunciation. The only deviating cases, the few rimes $\mu\epsilon$ wăr(c): $\mu\epsilon$ ăr(c) (§ 122), are traditional.

water. This word appears with με ā & με ă in the 16th c. 279 orthoepists. Hart gives με ā (Jespersen § 19) and Bll με ă (Hauck p. 37). — Gill transcribes *water* (= με ă), *wäter* (= με ā)

and *wâter * (*zweifelhaft * according to Horn Gr. § 49, Anm. 2; *å * is used by Gill e. g. for the vowel in call, bawl, and is equivalent to his *au * in e. g. pawn, Jiriczek p. 30). Mason (Brotanek p. 74) transcribes *ouäter *; = \mu \mathbb{e} \mathbb{a} \mathbb{o} \mathbb{o} \mathbb{o} = \mu \mathbb{e} \mathbb{o} \mathbb{o} = \mu \mathbb{e} \mathbb{o} \mathbb{o} = \mu \mathbb{e} \mathbb{o} = \mu \mathbb{o} \mathbb{o} = \mu \mathbb{o} \mathbb{o} = \mu \mathb

Spenser has the rimes water: flatter, matter (2 cases), evidently = $\mu\epsilon \, \check{a}: \mu\epsilon \, \check{a}$; and water: laughter 575 b (cf. § 275). — Pope has no rimes to water. — Byron rimes water: daughter etc. (these rimes have not been collected), further water: $\mu\epsilon \, \check{a}$ (8 cases), water: -aught: $\mu\epsilon \, \check{a}$ (6 cases). In Byron's pronunciation water was probably equivalent to words with $\mu\epsilon \, au$, i. e. he used the Sc. E. long »Low back wide» (cf. §26); it is worthy of note however that in Byron's native dialect the stressed vowel in water is = $\mu\epsilon \, \check{a}$ (EDGr. $[\check{a}]:$ »ne. Sc.»). — Swinburne's rimes to water are all correct.

280 e. ME au < OF a (cf. Luick, Anglia 16, 479 ff.).

Spenser. The words with present E. 20 (haunt, vaunt, etc.) are rimed, besides inter se, with (§ 122) grant, enchant (spelt -au-) and present E. weak-stressed -ant (spelt -au-); all rimes \(\pm\) au: \(\pm\) au (cf. B. § 184), as well as the rime commaund: \(\pha\) paund pp. 474 a. — The rimes \(delta\) mand : \(delta\) and s., \(hand\), \(understand\) (§ 116; 3 cases) may \(beta\) cases \(\pm\) may be = \(\pm\) a \(\pi\) a, in accordance with transcriptions occasionally used by contemporary orthoepists (e. g. Gill: "branch"), \(\ps\) dance"; cf. Horn Gr. \(\xi\) 132). — The rimes \(want\) is an early (i. e. OE) Latin loan (cf. CD: \(\pi\); Gill gives \(\pm\) a \(\pi\) in \(\phi\) in and \(\pha\) and \(\pha\) and cos not appear until the end of the ME period (NED: first quotation 1440; spelling \(-au\)— not until the 16th c., cf. \(\pi\) a a in present E., \(\xi\) 116, foot-note 2).

Pope. The words with present E. 30 (haunt, vaunt, etc.) are not used as rimes by Pope. — The numerous rimes command, demand: $\mu \in \check{a}$ (§ 116) point to a pronunciation $[\check{e}]$, in accordance with the present E. \hat{a} -variant. Such a pronunciation is by no means improbable in Pope's time; cf. Lediard's $[\check{e}]$ in dance, branch, and . . . generally before r, n Ellis p. 1041. This $[\check{e}]$ may be the direct continuation of the earlier (occasional) $[\check{a}]$ (e. g. Gill, cf. above), or it may be due to analogical influence of the contemporary variation $[\check{e}] \sim [\bar{e}]$ in words with ME \bar{a} [lengthen-

ing consonants. — For the rime wanted: planted 257 (§ 132), cf. Spenser, above.

Byron. Besides in rimes haunt, vaunt, etc.: present E. 22, and aunt: present E. aa (which have not been collected), the words haunt, vaunt, etc. (= present E. 22) and aunt are rimed as follows (§§ 122, 123, 186, 187): chant: vaunt: aunt 768 a; haunt, vaunt: present E. aa (4 cases); jaunt, vaunt: Kant n. pr., cantos, Atlantis (2 cases); mansion: expansion: a stanch one 814 b; haunt: pant, grant: want (2 cases); vaunt: cant s.: want 627 a. — The words aunt, haunt, vaunt, daunt, are pronounced with µs ă (= [ă]) in the Southern Sc. dialects (stanch, haunch with [ĕ]) cf. Murray p. 144 (EDGr. does not give the words), and it is possible that Byron knew this pronunciation; but probably he used the pronunciation with a long »Low back wide», corresponding (cf. § 26 and the §§ referred to there) to W.'s a³ in vaunt, a² in aunt, daunt, haunt, jaunt, stanch (Princ. 214-216). — The rimes prove nothing on this point.

To words with present E. aa (and the spelling a) Byron has the following rimes of interest [for the rimes to haunt, vaunt (+: other vowels) see just above]: present E. aa:æ (§ 116; numerous); pant v.: faint 602 a; yawn, Sirs: dancers 61 a (§ 122); present E. aa: µs wă (§ 132; 7 cases); commander: squander : salamander 735 b; Alexander : wander : meander 727 a (§ France: advance: once 155 b; bronze: once: glance 618 b. — The present E. variation aa ~ æ in the words of this group (æ especially in Northern E., cf. Lloyd § 141) may very well have existed in Byron's pronunciation (i. e. as long »Low back wide» ~ us ă). Walker, Princ. 78, gives evidence of this variation a² ~ a⁴) in grant, dance, etc. (in which he recommends a4 himself). His rule Princ. 70 that "the termination mand in command, demand, etc.... retains the long sound inviolably» need not have been valid with Byron; cf. the fact that Northern E. has [aa] ~ [æ] in the words in -mand (Lloyd 1. c.), and that chance, chant, dance, have [a] (= µe a) in the present Aberdeen dialect (branch [e] in »ne. Sc. » according to EDGr. § 202; these are the only cases of present E. aa < OF a given by EDGr.). - The rimes do not help us to a more exact determination of Byron's pronunciation of the words in question. The practical absence of rimes to present E. ei & e may be pure chance and does not prove a difference from us a in Byron's pronunciation; cf. the fact that there are no rimes of the numerous and frequently used words hand, land, stand, etc. (= $\mu \epsilon \ \breve{a} | n^c$): present E. ei & e.

SWINBURNE. Rimes. (per)chance etc.: man's (§ 116; 2 cases). wanted: panted PB I:227. — It is evident from the scarcity of these rimes present E. æ: aa, that Swinburne's pronunciation of the words in question is in accordance with the present Southern E. pronunciation. The (traditional) rime PB I: 227 is probably based upon the common pronunciation of pant with present E. æ.

281 f. μ e ăth, μ e ăf(c), μ e ăs(c), μ e ăr(c); father, rather.

For rimes to rath(e), scath(e), cf. §§ 107, 118, 119. — To rather there are only irrelevant rimes, except for Byron's feather: rather: together 376 b, for which see § 120. — father has µs ă in Spenser's rime father: gather 515 a (cf. gather: scater 531 a), in accordance with the statements of e. g. Hart and Gill. Byron's father: gather (5 cases) are no doubt mainly traditional (cf. Spenser; further Dryden, Dierb. § 1). In Byron's pronunciation father probably had the Sc. E. long »Low back wide» vowel (cf. § 26), so that these rimes are equivalent to e. g. the rimes µs au: µs ă (§ 273). — To µs ăf(c) there are only irrelevant rimes (yet cf. § 61).

Spenser. The certain rimes to $\mu \in \bar{a}(r)$ are as follows.

με ăth: με ā [bath: wrath: hat'th (3 sg.): hath (3 sg.) 87 a]. με ăs: με ā [1 case; uncertain is embras s...: pas v. 274 b, cf.§ 118]; +: was [2 cases]. — με ăsc: με ā [13 cases; the numerous rimes to chaste, haste, taste, waste, and, probably, to waist s. (spelt waste), prove nothing, cf. §§ 118, 182, 184]. — με (w)ăr (only two inflected forms, barred, marred; the rimes are inf.: με ār & με air do not count, cf. § 117): με ār [regard: hard: mard: star'd 317 b; mard: reward: far'd 159 a; ward: unbard: far'd 275 a; equivalent is heard: debard: prepard 314 b (§ 214 b)]. — με (w)ărc: με ārc [§§ 117, 124, 183; 20 cases; for hard (= heard): reward: dar'd 534 b, cf. § 214 a].

These rimes are too numerous to be placed on a level with the few certain cases $\mu \in \bar{a} : \mu \in \bar{a}$ (§ 112), and certainly want some special explanation. — Rimes of the type glass: embrace (a | final s) occur in Chaucer (cf. Cromie -as p. 33). From

¹ Here are included Spenser's rimes με wăr(c): με ār(c), which are quite equivalent (as far as the rime-vowels are concerned) to the rimes με ăr(c): με ār(c) in Spenser's pronunciation.

these rimes ten Brink § 35 infers unsettled quantity of ME ăls in the words glass, grass, was; but the opposite conclusion, unsettled quantity of OF als (in words as embrace, face, etc.) in ME seems to be equally well authorized at least by the rimes of the Ellesmere MS. — Any of these alternatives is theoretically possible in the case of Spenser. The 16th c. orthoepists seem to know nothing about a lengthening of the vowel in ue ăs(c); while με ă-variants are given e. g. by Hart (Jespersen § 20) in misplacing, places, by Bll (Hauck p. 36) in grace, ace, place, mace [us a is however occasionally given for OF a > present E. ei, also in other positions (Hart: page, persuaded) as well as for ME ā of Teut. origin (Bll e. g. naked, wave, graze)]. Yet, if Spenser knew this pronunciation it would be remarkable that the rimes us as (final s): $\mu \in \bar{a}$ are so few, especially when compared to the numerous rimes us asc (i. e. -ast; no other cases occur) : us a; unless we may assume (cf. B. p. 8) a general (late) shortening of the vowel in inflected forms (before two consonants: cf. conceld (= concealed), hept (= heaped): με ĕ § 100; awakt, -shapt: με ă § 112); a shortening which seems, however, to be practically unknown to the orthoepists and does not appear in the later development. — On the other hand, this distribution of the rimes (as of the rimes $\mu \epsilon$ (w) $\check{a}r^{(c)}$: $\mu \epsilon \bar{a}r^{(c)}$, cf. below) exactly falls in with the other theory; because already in ME there are some certain cases of a short vowel becoming lengthened | st (Grundr. § 86) but not specially | s (final), and the Mod. E. lengthening of the vowel is recorded earlier by the orthoepists in the case of us asc than in the case of us as: cf. Cooper »pæs» (Ellis).

The rimes $\mu\epsilon$ arc (incl. the rimes containing barred, marred): $\mu\epsilon$ arc (i. e. -rd, no other cases occur) are no doubt signs of an occasional lengthening of ME at in this position. [a] is actually given by 16th c. orthoepists in some words with $\mu\epsilon$ arc; cf. Grundr. § 95, and further Hauck p. 31 f. (who quotes from Bll garden, harm, yarn, warn, quarn). The absence of rimes $\mu\epsilon$ (w) arc (final r): $\mu\epsilon$ art tallies with the fact that the lengthening force of final r is not evidenced in ME and not recognized in the Mod. E. period until much later than that of rc: cf. Cooper & Kenrick, e. g. bar (short vowel) χ barge (long vowel) [yet exceptionally sfærs, »dærk» in Bolling 1678, according to Horn Gr. § 45].

B. § 154 points to the rimes at last: cast: past: creasts. (= crest; $\mu \in e^{-} \mu \in e^{-}$, cf. 101) 250 a, regard: reard 202 a, as certain signs of a lengthened a, because $\mu \in a$ is never rimed by

Spenser with $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$ or $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\epsilon}$. The former rime may simply be due to an alteration at the hands of the printer (cf. § 9 f.): cómpast creast, for an original créast compást (cf. compást e. g. 195 b); but the latter holds good, as there is no authority for a pp. *rard, equivalent to the early Mod. E. hard (= heard, cf. § 213 f.); cf. Spenser's shard (= sheared) § 39. — The rime hath: death 599 b may be another case of the same kind (cf. § 268). — In these last cases at least — the rimes to present E. \Rightarrow (< ME ĕr(c)) are irrelevant, cf. § 169 — the lengthened ME ă seems to have a »front» pronunciation (= [$\bar{\epsilon}$]). On the other hand the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ (w)ărc: $\mu\epsilon$ ŏrc (cf. § 314) certainly require a »back» pronunciation of ME ă; but these rimes are best explained as equivalent to the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ă: $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ (cf. § 314). — The rime Carle: quar'le: marle 143 a points to a short vowel in -arl-.

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 117, 169.

με ἄs: με ã (11 cases); με ἄs^c: με ᾶ (incl. chaste etc.) (9 cases).

με ἄr: με ār, με air, bear, wear (5 cases); hard: dared 483. star:

revere 231. με ἄr^c: με ĕr^c (10 cases). με ἄr^(c): με wăr^(c) (16 cases).

Besides was: pass 329 (cf. § 310).

The orthoepists of Pope's time give a »front» vowel in μ s as(c)& μ s af(c), and this pronunciation is certainly at the bottom of all the rimes given above, also in those to μ s af(c) and the rime to af(c) and the rime to af(c). S§ 278, 310). The distinction between short vowel in af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c). Second af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are af(c). Second af(c) are af(c) are af(c) are described as based upon a variant of af(c) with a »front» vowel (cf. § 278) is of course equivalent to af(c) as regards the lengthening force of af(c).

Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 119. For Byron's pronunciation and rimes of με ăr(c), cf. § 278. masses: places: embraces 377 b. με ăsc: με ā (incl. haste etc.) (11 cases). passage: message: presage 797 b; gesture: vesture: pasture 775 b. με ăs(c): as, gas, passus (Lat.); hast (12 cases). was: class, pass: as, has (3 cases). με ăs(c): was, wast (cf. § 310)

(numerous). was: pass: cause 370 b. — path, lath (,wrath cf. § 119): hath (3 cases).

The general Sc. E. pronunciation of us as(c) is either with the usual Sc. E. deep [a] (Bell Pr. of El. p. 35) or with an [e]-sound (Bell 1. c., Storm p. 400). Storm 1. c. considers this »front » vowel as an imperfect approximation to the Southern E. sound; but [ĕ] is the pronunciation used in the Sc. dialects — except in the Southern counties, where an [xe]-sound is used — in most Teut. words of this class. EDGr. gives ass, brass, glass, grass, fast, fasten, hasp (,wasp) [of Rom. words EDGr. gives no information, but Murray p. 144 gives the Sc. E. deep [a] in e. g. pass, past, castle]. — The pronunciation of $\mu \in as(c)$ most likely to have been used by Byron is his usual us a (cf. Walker, who gives ME a |s(c) = »a⁴» as the best pronunciation, while »a²» in such words »borders very closely on vulgarity», Principles 79); and, in fact, his rimes to us as(c) correspond very closely to his rimes to us a (cf. § 266). It is only to be observed that the signs of a »back» pronunciation (= [a]) are — probably quite accidentally — far less marked in the rimes to us as(c) then in those to us a; consisting only of the solitary rime to cause (: was) and the numerous, but certainly mainly traditional, rimes to was and wast. — The rimes to use e may (but need not, of course) imply influence of the dialectal [ĕ] in $\mu \in as(c)$ (cf. the rimes present E. æ: e, § 266).

Byron's pronunciation of the vowel in path, lath was probably either = a long »Low back wide» (cf. § 26; further e. g. § 278): Walker gives »a²», Lloyd § 141 the same vowel in path in Northern E.; or (possibly) = μ e ă: EDGr. § 23 gives bath, path generally with μ e ă (yet it records bath with $[\bar{a}]$ from »Inv.» and »ne. Sc.»). — The rimes of course prove nothing.

SWINBURNE. The rimes deviating from the present Southern 285 E. pronunciation (aa) — a few rimes to present E. ei (§ 119, 2 cases), present E. DO (\$\mu \text{war}^{(c)}\$, § 122), present E. & (§ 116; hath) and a remarkably large number of rimes to was, wast (§ 132) — may all be regarded as purely traditional.

g. Present E. $\infty < ME \bar{u} \& \bar{o} \mid r^{(c)}$ (= Walker o¹ & o³ | $r^{(c)}$). 286 A. — According to Luick, Anglia 16, 455 ff., the following are the outlines of the history in the Mod. E. period of the words with Walker's o¹ | $r^{(c)}$ (< early Mod. E. $[\bar{u}]$ & $[\bar{o}]$) and o² | r (< ME \bar{o} | final r) [the words with present E. \Rightarrow given in Luick's groups are here disregarded, cf. §§ 164, 165, 167, 169, 355. For practical reasons I

have re-arranged Luick's groups and added the equivalent (i. e. in Walker's pronunciation) words occurring in the rimes].

a (Luick's groups 1, 2, 3). ME ō|final r: swore, ore (Luick l. c. p. 461), door, floor; whore, poor. »moor ist nicht belegt.»— Early Mod. E. [ū]; > [ō] about 1700. — An equivalent word is probably Moor (F. More), ME More, Moore, Mowre (CD); cf. the forms with -oo- (and -ore) quoted by CD and Skeat Et. D. from the beginning of the 17th c., and further Jones' More with [ū]. — [door (Bll & Gill [ō]) may have ME ō; cf. e. g. Viëtor, El. § 45, Anm. 7, Sh. § 48.]

 b_1 (Luick's group 4). ME $\bar{o}|r^c$: forth, afford, hord (= hoard), ford, board [word]. — Early Mod. E. $[\bar{u}]$; > $[\bar{o}]$ about 1700.

 $b_{\rm II}$ (Luick's groups 5, 6, 7). ME $\bar{\bf u} | {\bf r}^{\bf c} : bourn$ (= brook), mourn, sword [worm, work, world, worth, worse, worst]; court, gourd, course, source, discourse, form [*scourge* stets u, v*]; fourth. — Early Mod. E. $[\bar{\bf u}]$; > $[\bar{\bf o}]$ about 1700. — Equivalent words: coarse (cf NED), recource, de-, per-, reform; horde (or belonging to group c?).

- c (Luick's groups 8, 9). ME $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ |rc (< OE[$\check{\mathbf{o}}$ -] and OF $\check{\mathbf{o}}$; cf. § 288) [boar < OE $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$, see group d]: born(e), sworn, worn, torn, shorn; force, divorce, forge, sport, port wind composita *. »Zunächst gilt * [$\bar{\mathbf{o}}$]; [$\bar{\mathbf{u}}$] »taucht auf . . . am ende des 17 jahrhunderts *; [$\bar{\mathbf{u}}$] > [$\bar{\mathbf{o}}$] about 1700, with groups a, b. Equivalent words: (for)lorn, beforne; corse (*dead body *), remorse, fort, forte (F.), sort, resort, consort v. (cf. § 69, beginning) [purport, effort, consort s.], portal, porch, portion; scorse (* cf. B. § 119; Cooper gives [$\bar{\mathbf{u}}$]). In some of these Walker has $o^1 \sim o^3$, cf. § 290.
- d. ME $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ |final r; e. g. more, bore, sore, etc. $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}]$ is given by Cooper in boar (Luick l. c.) and by Hodges in more (?, cf. § 288, end). The usual development of this group is : early Mod. $[\bar{\mathbf{Q}}] > 18$ th c. $[\bar{\mathbf{Q}}]$; Walker o¹.
- 287 In the words with Walker's o³|r^c (< ME ŏ), ME ŏ remains short at least as a rule up to the second half of the 17th c., when με ŏ has become a very open vowel, so that it gives, when lengthened, a very open long vowel (= με au), i. e. probably a »wide» [22] (§ 269); cf. Miège: »bârn», Ellis p. 1004; Jones: sort (,soft) under the rule au-o, Ekw. § 284. The following words of this group occur in the rimes:
 - e. absorb, disorb; lord (ME $\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \sim \check{\mathbf{0}}$), accord, record, cord, chord, border, order; storm; corn, horn, Horn (n. pr.), morn, thorn; adorn (cf. § 291), scorn, suborn, corner, Horner (n. pr.), hornet; horse, morsel; short, tort, exhort, dis-, ex-, retort; mortal; forty; furtune;

importune, torture; north; forward; (dis)gorge [George, not in W.; yet cf. Kenrick p. V; Bch & Sheridan, Ellis p. 1077]; torch; abortion, distortion.

f. A special group is formed by the words with Walker's o's (< ME ŏ) |final r; cf. § 295. In the rimes occur abhor, for, and or.

On the authority of Spenser's rimes, B. § 118 points to the 288 probability that in the (standard) literary language of the 16th c. ME or (final r) was represented not only by the regular [ūr], but also by an [or] due to the influence of -r on the preceding vowel (cf. ME er). — Horn (U. p. 35 ff.; Gr. § 105) takes up this theory in a somewhat altered form. He concludes from orthoepistical statements and from occasional spellings — he does not accept rimes as proof (= Luick E. St. 26, 266) — that the early Mod. E. $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}]|_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{c})$ (< ME $\bar{\mathbf{o}}|_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{c})$ = groups a, $b_{\bar{\mathbf{I}}}$, c; and < ME $\bar{\mathbf{u}}|_{\mathbf{I}}\mathbf{c}$ = group b_{17}) was kept in some dialects, while in others it changed to $[\bar{Q}]$ in the 16th c., and that these two developments became mixed in the literary language; only towards the end of the 17th c. the [o]-forms definitely got the upper hand in most words. — This theory is very plausible in the case of the groups a & b. case of group c there is a decidedly weak point: the late appearance of the [ū]-pronunciation (only Cooper: born(e), sworn, worn, force, & EO: born(e), shorn, torn; divorce, force, etc.), which especially as the pronunciations [o] and [o] are often recorded by 16th and early 17th c. orthoepists — makes the existence of a ME ō in these words (= Horn) very doubtful. Most likely they are to be considered as ME o-words and to be placed on a level with boar (given with [ū] by Cooper) and (possibly) more (given with [ū] by Hodges 1644, according to Horn Gr. § 105. Anm. 3; yet cf. Ellis p. 1022: Hodges (1643) »mower more» »alike in sound», which seems to imply an [o] in more); i e. the [u] in the words of group c is due to the analogy of words in which there was a phonetically legitimate vacillation $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}] \sim [\tilde{\mathbf{o}}]$ (= groups a & b).

Besides this variation $[\bar{u}] \sim [\bar{o}]$, which effects an intercourse of 289 the groups a, b, c, d, on the basis of an $[\bar{u}]$ - or an $[\bar{o}]$ -vowel, intermixings of the different groups are theoretically possible on another account, viz. a variation $[\bar{u}] \sim [\bar{o}] \sim [\bar{o}]$ in the words of groups $b_{\mathbf{I}}$, c, e, f (possibly also in sword, cf. B. § 117, Viëtor Sh. § 53; and in world, word, of which early Mod. E. $[\bar{o}]$ - forms are actually recorded, cf. Luick 1. c., B. & Viëtor 1l. cc.), due to different developments of OE \bar{o} and OF (Lat.) \bar{o} (cf. Luick 1. c., Viëtor Sh. l.

c.). OE o in closed syllable was either I) lengthened in early ME: result [o] which went with other ME o > early Mod. E. u. later > $[\bar{\mathbf{Q}}] | \mathbf{r}$, cf. § 288 (= group $b_{\mathbf{I}}$); or 2) retained up to late ME and then lengthened: result $[\bar{\varrho}]$ = early Mod. E. $\bar{\varrho}$ (cf. the $[\bar{\varrho}]$ -forms of corn etc. below); or 3) retained into the Mod.E. period = early Mod. E. $\ddot{o} > \text{later [DD]}$ (groups e & f). OE [\ddot{o} -] (in boren pp., etc.) and the [o] in words appearing in the language only in ME (o< OF and Lat.) develop either according to 2) or according to 3), resulting in group c and groups e & f respectively. — Now, there are no intrinsic grounds discernible for the words adopting one or the other of the possible developments; so it is to be expected that the actual division of the words was preceded by a time of vacillation between the different developments. - In fact, the early Mod. E. orthoepists afford some instances of this vacillation. As to the words generally following rule I), board (Gill: Hodges, Horn Gr. l. c.) and torth (Hodges, Horn l. c.) are recorded with an [o] which may be due to rule 2) as well as to rule 1) ($\lceil \tilde{u}r \rceil > \lceil \tilde{o}r \rceil$), see above. Certain [o]-forms are not found [only in word, world, cf. above]; Luick quotes »hord» with [ŏ] from EO, but if this form is taken from Ellis p. 1077 (cf. Luick, Anglia 16, 452) it seems to be a mistake, as Ellis' »hord» is certainly only meant to render EO's spelling of hoard. — As to the words following rule 3), a deviating form with [o] [according to rule 2); certainly not according to rule 1) ([o] < $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}]$), as no $[\tilde{\mathbf{u}}]$ -forms appear of the words of groups e & f is found in corn, thorn, former (Bll, Hauck p. 19); besides in lord, where already ME \(\bar{\omega} \sim \omega. \)— The words generally following rule 2) have very often an [ŏ] (in accordance with rule 3)); thus Gill gives [ŏ] in e. g. borne (and born), worn, torn, forlorn (W. 03 ~ 01), force, divorce, forge, sport, fort, resort (W. 04 ~ 01); Bll (according to Ellis p. 883) gives [o] in borne (and [o] in born).

After the [ū]-forms in groups b, c had been universally abandoned (about 1700), intermixings of the two new groups W. o¹ & W. o³ are explicable on the basis both of the old [ō]-forms in group e and of the old [ŏ]-forms especially in group c; the latter forms being by far the more numerous, they are of course more likely to survive than the few [ō]-forms in group e. Such relations between the two new groups are actually implied by W.'s hesitating between o³ (& o⁴) and o¹ in some words: in forlorn, remorse, sort, o¹ is given only as an unusual pronunciation; shorn has only o³ in the edition of 1791, o¹ in later editions; resort

has o, seldom o', according to the edition of 1791, o', seldom o', according to later editions; and consort v. is given with o' only.

In form W. gives o^3 (= shape etc.) χo^1 (= seat etc.), a distinc-291 tion made already by Cooper (**wide** [50], cf. § 269 χ [\$\bar{u}\$]). W.'s o^3 and Cooper's **wide** [50] certainly point to an earlier [\$\overline{o}\$], as do Bll's [\$\overline{o}\$] in perform (Viëtor Sh. § 53; cf. B. § 119) and Spenser's rime deform: μ e (w) μ e (v) μ e (b) μ e cooper, Miège, EO, correspond to the 16th (& 17th) c. spelling -ou- (NED; cf. Spenser's -ou- in the rime perfourmed: refourmed: tourned 303 a, § 164; further Unna p. 21). — A somewhat -imilar case is adorn. This word has ME \$\overline{u}\$ and is often spelt sou- in the 16th c. (NED). The pronunciation [\$\overline{u}\$] was, however, evidently abandoned very early; Gill has [\$\overline{o}\$]; W. o³. Spenser rimes the word only: μ e o and: μ e o. Cf. B. § 119.

B. — W. o'r < ME \bar{o} (= group a, § 286). Spenser. The rimes 292 door, floor, ore: ME \bar{o} (§ 67) require no further comment; they are no doubt meant as rimes $[\bar{o}]$: $[\bar{o}]$. The rimes floor: (pour v. +) F. ending -our (2 cases, § 138), might also be based on $[\bar{o}]$ in floor (cf. §§ 293, 319); but they are probably intended to be = $[\bar{u}]$: $[\bar{u}]$, as indicated by the spelling floure. An $[\bar{u}]$ -pronunciation is proved in door, floor, ore by the rimes to $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$ (§§ 150, 197), as there are no rimes $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}(r)$: $\mu \epsilon \bar{o}(r)$.

POPE. The rimes to door, floor, swore show the regular vowel $= \mu \in \bar{Q}$ in these words. There is nothing that points to an $[\bar{u}]$ -vowel; the rimes to whore, poor, amours are of interest only for the vowel in these words (cf. § 321).

BYRON'S and SWINBURNE'S rimes door, floor, swore, ore: present E. 33 are of no interest. — For the rimes to present E. 48 (§ 138) and present E. 49 (§ 150), cf. §§ 322, 330.

C. — pour v. (cf. NED) seems to enter the Mod. E. period as 293 pour(e) (14th c. etc., = \mu \varepsilon \varphi\), pore (15th c., probably = \mu \varepsilon \varphi\), poor (Palsgrave, Shakespeare; probably = \mu \varepsilon \varphi\). The usual early Mod. E. pronunciation is \mu \varepsilon \varphi\, with mentioned (though disapprovingly) still by W. (who recommends a pronunciation = poor); the slate 18th c. and 19th c.» pronunciation \mu \varepsilon \varphi\, v \text{ is not easy to account for }\varphi\; it may be a sillalectal survival of the 15th c. pore, though connecting evidence is wanting; it may also repr. 16th c. poor (= \varphi\varphi\) altered as in floor, door \(...\text{ so}\) (NED). — Spenser's usual pronunciation of pour is with \mu \varphi\varphi\, as appears from his numerous rimes to \mu \varepsilon\varphi\, \varphi\, \varp

poure: floure (= floor): power: devoure 575 b, are probably equivalent (cf. § 292). The 15th c. pore (or the 16th c. poor) appears in the rime pour'd: Lord: accord 262 a (§ 67). — Pope rimes pour only: με ū (§ 150; 3 cases), no doubt in accordance with his own pronunciation. — Byron generally rimes pour as a με ō-word: cf. his rimes to W. o'r (equivalent are Moor, Moore cf. § 322) (numerous, §§ 67, 138), to W. o'r & o'r (§ 67: 5 cases) and to W. u²rc (§ 168; 3 cases). On the other hand, there are 6 rimes to με ū (§ 150). These may be due to a με ū-variant of pour in Byron's pronunciation; or else to tradition. — Swinburne treats pour just like με or in general. I have found no rimes to με ū (such are however used by Swinburne according to NED).

D. — W. o'r < ME $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ | r (final) (= group d, § 286). Apart from the possibility of an occasional $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}]$ (~ $[\bar{\mathbf{o}}]$), cf. § 288, ME $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ | final r followed the development of ME $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ in general (= $\mu \epsilon \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$) up to the 19th c. »broadening» | $\iota^{(\mathbf{c})}$. The rimes prove nothing as to the quality of the vowel (cf. $\mu \epsilon \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$, § 303); as they are easily found (§§ 40, 66, 67-72, 122, 124, 131, 150 151, 156, 164, 167, 168, 212), I do not give them here.

295 E. — W. o³r < ME ŏ | r (final) (= group f; § 287) [Only abhor need be considered, the only word of this group used as a rime by Spenser and Pope. With Byron and Swinburne με ŏr is quite equivalent to με ŏr c].

abhor must be considered to have entered the Mod. E. period with [o], no lengthening of short vowel | final r being known in OE and ME. Now, Spenser rimes the word (§§ 68, 164) with accord, lord, board (spelt bord), sword, word, which all have, or may have, $[\breve{o}]$, but also with ME \bar{q} final r where $[\breve{o}]$ is impossible. An $[\bar{q}]$ in abhor (cf. the spelling -ore in the three rimes to ME -ore, § 68) is explicable only as due to some kind of analogy. — In Pope's time even the uninflected abhor may possibly have a lengthened vowel. It is true, still Kenrick has o (= us o) in abhor (generally o^5 (= $\mu \epsilon$ au) in $\mu \epsilon \breve{o} r^c$ (cf. $\mu \epsilon \breve{a} r^{(c)}$, § 282); yet Kenrick has a short vowel also in war, far, where long vowels are actually found in the 17th c. (Cooper »waar », Ellis; besides Bolling 1678 »fær», Horn Gr. § 45). — Pope has the rimes abhor: more 297; : whores 325; : war 397 469. The first two rimes are no doubt phonetically incorrect, = [o]: [o] or possibly wide [oo] (cf. § 287) : [ō].

296 F. — W o'1° and o'3° (groups $b, c, (d, e; \S\S 286, 287)$). Spenser.

To what extent the special Mod. E. lengthening of ME olic (leading to e. g. Cooper's »wide» [22] and the present E. oo) may have been at work already in Spenser's time, it is impossible to decide, as [o] and [o] were mixed | 1° from other reasons, cf. § 289 f. — The theoretical $[\breve{o}]$ (< OE \breve{o}) or the $[\bar{o}]$ (< ME $\bar{o}|r^{c}\rangle$ seems to be required by the rimes §§ 68, 69, as phonetic variants, in (a)board, afford, ford, hoard, sword (cf. Spenser's usual spelling -o- ~ -oo- in board, afford, ford; see B. § 114); the $[\bar{Q}] < ME \ \bar{u}|_{1}^{c} \ \text{in mourn, course, discourse, recourse, source,}$ court (Spenser's scorse is not certain, cf. § 286, c), cf. the rime (and spelling) Corte (= court): torte s. 523a. - B. § 119 assumes, mainly on account of the consistent spelling -ou- and the absence of rimes to us oic (group e), that source, course, discourse, court had only [ū] in Spenser's pronunciation. Now, there is outside FQ the -o-form 523 a; and the absence of rimes to us ors might be due to Spenser's knowing only [o] (short) in horse which is pactically the only possible rime-word with us ors. - Thus the rimes do not imply that Spenser knew the (analogical, cf. § 288) $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}]$ in groups c, d, which does not appear in the orthoepists until long after Spenser's time; nor the purely theoretical $[\bar{u}]$ in group e. — For the few rimes present E. $99: W. 0^1 r^c & 0^3 r^c$ that are not uncertain or irrelevant (§§ 164, 165, 166), cf. § 355. - report, enforced show the regular ME o in the rimes to us o 132 a, 375 b (§ 128).

Pope shows no hesitation whatever in riming W. o¹rc: o³rc; cf. especially his rimes to horse. How far this may be due to varying pronunciation is impossible to tell, because the rimes might very well be purely traditional (and orthographical) rimes [o]: [ō] or wide [oo] (cf. § 287): [ō]. — There is nothing in the rimes that points to an [ō] in any of the groups.

BYRON. The indiscriminate use of W. o're & o're in rimes inter se proves no more in Byron than in Pope: tradition (and partly the orthography) can very well account for the rimes. On the other hand the great number of these rimes might also possibly be due to Sc. E. influence (see § 26). Cf. Byron's obvious distinction between us war(o): us or(o), § 278.

SWINBURNE's rimes W. $o^1r^c: o^3r^c$ are in accordance with the present Southern E. pronunciation.

h. με ŏth; με ŏf(c), με ŏs(c).

Spenser. The words with με of(c) are rimed only inter se, ex-

cept in the case gotten: soften: often 229 b (§ 131), which requires a short vowel. — The solitary rime | th (90 a; § 128) cannot prove anything as to the pronunciation of us oth; especially as there seem to have existed early Mod. E. forms with short vowel of both, lo(a)th, troth (Horn Gr. §§ 94, 96, 140.) — The rimes st are more conclusive. post < OE, and post = ME loan from the Rom. languages, have [o] in Chaucer, according to ten Br. §§ 13, 81; so that these words may have $\mu \in \bar{Q} \sim \mu \in \check{O}$ st in early Mod. E. But in the other words with present E. ou |st there is no reason to suspect a variant with με ŏst. The 16th and 17th c. orthoepists give only με ο; Mason's spelling -o- (= µɛ ŏ) in host, hostess is considered by Brotanek p. XXXII as a misprint for $-\delta$ - (= $\mu \in \bar{Q}$), and Miège's »məst» (Sweet HES p. 342) seems to be meant as a weak form (»in compounds of most», Ellis p. 102). — Certain cases of µs ŏ: με ο being scarce in Spenser (cf. § 129), the numerous rimes με ŏst: με ost require a special explanation. Traditional influence does not seem to be admissible, as these rimes are not used by Chaucer (no such rimes are recorded by ten Br.; nor, from the Ellesmere MS, by Cromie — always excepting rimes post: [o]); thus lengthening of the vowel |st is the most plausible conclusion, though such lengthening is not expressly stated until Cooper (yet there are earlier signs af this lengthening in the London vulgar language, cf. Horn Gr. § 59). — engross may have had [o] ~ [o] in ME (cf. the spellings gross ~ groos, groce, etc. from the 15th c.: NED) and still in Spenser's time, so that the rimes to us os may be correct. The orthoepists give no information until Jones' us \overline{\rho}.

Pope has the rimes engross: με ŏs (3 cases), με ǫst: με ŏst (numerous) [for the irrelevant oath: wroth, cf. § 128]. Apart from the possibility of a (spelling-?) pronunciation with με ŏs in engross (cf. Bch »engros», Ellis p. 1076), the rimes are certainly chiefly traditional, either = [ō]: »wide» [oo] (cf. § 287), in accordance with e. g. Cooper (frost etc. Ellis p. 1008), Jones (Ekw. § 284), Kenrick (cloth, oft, cost, etc. = vowel 5, = in call, caul, etc.; p. V), or = [ō]: [o] (cf. the numerous rimes με ŏ: με ō, ou), as the lengthening of ME oັ | th, f, s, was certainly not universally accepted in Pope's time [cf. Walker (Princ. 170), who still declares that *this length of o, in this situation, seems every day growing more and more vulgar».]

Byron has the following rimes of interest (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 128).

με of: coffin 790 b. off, cough: enough, rough, tough (3 cases);

30I

+ : of (§ 208; 2 cases). Koklophti: scoff'd high: Mufti 732 a. off: aloof: enough 817 b. $\mu\epsilon\ \breve{o}3:\mu\epsilon\ \breve{o}$ (3 cases). $\mu\epsilon\ \breve{o}s:\mu\epsilon\ \bar{o}$ (6 cases); $\mu\epsilon\ \breve{o}s:\mu\epsilon\ \bar{o}$ (numerous); $\mu\epsilon\ \breve{o}th:\mu\epsilon\ \bar{o}$ & $\mu\epsilon\ ou$ (4 cases).

These rimes may very well be explained by an unlengthened με ŏ, in accordance with W.'s o4; and this pronunciation seems to be indicated by the rimes containing us u and us o. In this case the rimes would be equivalent to the corresponding rimes with me o (cf. §316), and the great number of the rimes |st—if a special reason is wanted - would be accounted for by tradition being particularly in support of these rimes (cf. Pope). — On the other hand there seem to be special Sc. E. peculiarities to account for the numerous rimes us ost: us ost. These might either be due to a general mixing (more or less in accordance with Sc. dialectal pronunciation) of the Standard E. vowel distinctions on this point: cf. Bch's (Ellis) »>» = ue ŏ in engross, host, lost, most, post; off X »A» (= ue wa) in cost, frost, loath (»oo» (= ue o) in ghost, loathe; or else be correct rimes us o : us o according to Bell's general observation (see above § 26) with, among others, the example »cost... pronounced.. coast...». How far either of these explanations should be applied to Byron's rimes it is impossible to decide.

SWINBURNE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 128.300 µs of: µs o (1 case, § 131).

The total absence of rimes $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: ME δ before lengthening consonants (χ several rimes $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$, § 130) in spite of the strong traditional authority especially for the rimes of this kind | st, may be considered as a proof that Swinburne has the present Southern E. lengthened vowel (22).

i. broad, abroad; groat.broad, abroad (Cf. Luick U. § 90).

SPENSER'S rimes broad, abroad: $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ (15 cases) are quite regular and in accordance with the contemporary orthoepists; then there are the rimes mode (= mood): abrode: rode pret.: brode 247 b; broode: abrode: abrode: abode: lode s. 288 a, of which at least the last is a rime $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$: $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$, cf. § 193). —The exceptional abroad: fraud 460 b is difficult to judge of. Of course it may be an incorrect rime $\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$: $\mu\epsilon$ au; but as there are no certain rimes of this kind (see § 271) it is hard to deny the possibility of abroad here having the open vowel given already by Hodges 1644 (Ekw. § 294) and then by Cooper

etc. (cf. Luick l. c.) If this is so, Gill's transcription »abrâd»

in the edition of 1619 (*abröd*) in the edition of 1621) might be something more than an interesting misprint, cf. Jiriczek p. LV.

Pope rimes $abroad: \mu\epsilon \bar{Q}$ (2 cases); : $\mu\epsilon$ au (2 cases); : $\mu\epsilon \delta$ (1 case). The open vowel given by the orthoepists of the time is necessarily implied by the rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ au χ no rimes $\mu\epsilon \bar{Q}: \mu\epsilon$ au. The rimes to $\mu\epsilon \bar{Q}: \mu\epsilon$ au of course be traditional, as such rimes are common in Dryden (Dierb. § 48) and other 17th c. poets (Mead p. 114); otherwise they might be due to the regular $\mu\epsilon \bar{Q}$ in (a)broad, which seems to be known still by Jones (Ekw. § 294).

Byron rimes broad, abroad: $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ (4 cases); : $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ (4 cases); : $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$, ou + $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ (2 cases); broad: fraud 684 a. — It is obvious that Byron distinguished the vowel in (a)broad from his $\mu\epsilon$ au; a distinction no doubt due to Sc. E. influence (cf. § 26). The scarcity of the rimes to $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ (& ou) would, however, seem to imply that he did not use this vowel either in (a)broad. In fact, he may very well have used a vowel standing between his $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ and his $\mu\epsilon$ au (= in $\mu\epsilon$ -ought, § 277); cf. Bell's distinction for St. E., above p. 17, foot-note. — Bch gives broad with ν 00 but abroad with ν 1.

SWINBURNE'S rimes are in accordance with the present E. pronunciation.

302 groat (Cf. Luick U § 90).

Spenser: no rimes.

POPE rimes groat: thought (3 cases); : fault (1 case); : oats (1 case); : sot (1 case). The first rimes prove the open vowel in groat, given by Cooper, Jones, etc.; the rime to oats may be due to the old $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ in groat, given by Price (Ellis).

Byron's and Swinburne's rimes to present E. 22 and ou I have not recorded; other rimes do not occur.

Present E. ou.

303

The rimes are conclusive as regards the relations between $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ and $\mu \in OU$ (as $\mu \in OU$ I have considered also ME $\bar{O}I^{\circ}$ & $\bar{O}I^{\circ}$); but they prove nothing as to the quality of $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ and the monophthongated $\mu \in OU$. Nor could they be expected to do so. Apart from the 19th c. diphthongation, the change of $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ in the Mod. E. period is limited to a "closing" of the vowel from "low back" to "mid back", while the contemporaneous changes of the adjacent vowels with which it is rimed are either greater or more

difficult to define; so that the rimes of $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho}$ (and $\mu \epsilon$ ou): other vowels are of interest mainly for the quality of these latter.

Spenser. ME ŏ|final l in Rom. words (in this position l 304 did not vocalize) has gone with the corresponding Teut. vowel to early Mod. E. [\bar{q} ul]. This pronunciation is indicated in mould, roll, enroll, control (for extol cf. § 129) by Spenser's rimes (§§ 73, 147). — The rimes $\mu \in \bar{q} : \mu \in \text{ou}$ (§§ 73, 74; equivalent cases §§ 129, 207) are strictly in accordance with the statements of contemporary orthoepists (cf. Ekw. § 304). Thus, the rimes between final $\mu \in \bar{q}$ & $\mu \in \text{ou}$ are far more numerous than before consonants (it should be noted, however, that this is the case, though not so conspicuously, also in Byron and Swinburne (cf. § 76) where it must be due to mere technical conditions); further there is only one certain rime (rolls: scrolls: holes 131 a) $\mu \in \bar{q} : \text{ME } \bar{o} \mid c$, $\bar{o} \mid c$, where monophthongic pronunciation is only occasionally mentioned (cf. e. g. Gill) until Wallis, except where l was dropped (cf. Spenser's rime folk: cloak 449 b: Gill $*f \circ k$).

Other rimes of interest (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 41, 128, 129, 137, 141, 155, 184, 192, 207).

με \bar{Q} : με au (no certain cases; for roade pret.: baude s. 233 a, cf. § 271). με \bar{Q} : (a) broad (numerous; equivalent probably mode: abrode: rode: brode 247 b, cf. § 193); +: brood 288 a. με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} 3(t), \bar{O} 5th (numerous; cf. § 297). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} 7 (2 cases). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} 6 (few certain cases, cf. § 129; equivalent are the rimes one: με \bar{O} 7, cf. § 332). με \bar{Q} 5: με \bar{O} 7 [see § 320; equivalent are the rimes to look, took, shook (cf. § 325) and probably to love(r), above (cf. § 334), recover (cf. § 205), come (I case? cf. § 334); further the rimes shone pret.: Moone 537 a (§ 136) and couch: με \bar{O} 8 (cf. § 147)]. flood: God: abode 286 b (cf. § 333). won s.:-upon: done pp.: alone 338 b (cf. § 333).

με ou : με au (only saw : overthrow : below : throw v. 302 a). με ou : με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ (5 cases, § 147; for equivalent cases cf. § 328). με ou : world (2 cases, § 163; cf. world : extold 70 b, § 163).

The rimes $\mu \epsilon ou : \mu \epsilon \bar{u} \chi no$ (certain) rimes $\mu \epsilon \bar{\varrho} : \mu \epsilon \bar{u}$ speak for diphthongic pronunciation of $\mu \epsilon ou$ (as well as of $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$); in the same direction points also the absence of rimes $\mu \epsilon ou : \mu \epsilon \bar{o}$ (χ Pope).

Pope rimes $\mu\epsilon \bar{Q}$ and $\mu\epsilon$ ou unhesitatingly together in all po-305 sitions (cf. § 75), judging from the rimes of CH and SBS (§ 76) to about the same extent as Byron and Swinburne. — Other rimes of interest (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 128, 129, 137, 147):

με \bar{Q} : abroad (2 cases). με \bar{Q} : groat (1 case). με \bar{Q} : με ŏs(t) (,ŏth, 1 case) (numerous). με \bar{Q} : με ŏ (incl. gone) (numerous). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{Q} : με \bar{Q} (7 cases). broke, spoke pret. : look, strook pret. (5 cases). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{u} (5 cases). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{u} (numerous, incl. the rimes to love etc.; for the rimes to one, none, cf. § 336). — με ou : με \bar{Q} (incl. gone) (6 cases). billet-doux pl. : rows s. 74. με ou : με \bar{u} (numerous). με ou : son, won (3 cases) [for the rimes to one, none, cf. § 336].

According to contemporary orthoepists $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ and $\mu\epsilon$ ou are both $= [\bar{\varrho}]$ in Pope's time. The number of rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ou : $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}$ compared to the rimes $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$: $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}$ does not prove that Pope knew the old diphthongic pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ ou, still given by Cooper ($-[\bar{\varrho}]$); because about the same distribution of the rimes present E. ou : au is found also in Byron, where it is certainly due to other than phonetic causes (cf. § 330).

Byron has the following rimes of interest (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 128, 130, 137, 141, 148, 155):

με \bar{Q} : με au (4 cases); με \bar{Q} : -aught + -ought (I case). με \bar{Q} : -ought (I2 cases). boat: thought: lot 657 b. με \bar{Q} : (a)broad (4 cases); road: broad: God 773 a. με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} (numerous). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} (rather numerous; equivalent are shone: με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} (2 cases) § I92); +: με \bar{O} (2 cases). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} (1 cases). με \bar{Q} : με \bar{O} (1 cases). με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} (1 cases). με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} (1 cases). με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} (2 cases). με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} (2 cases). με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} (2 cases). με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} : με \bar{O} (2 cases). με \bar{O} : με

stow'd: abroad: God 716 a. both: growth: broth 664 a. μ e ou $(+\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}): \mu\epsilon\bar{O}$ (7 cases). μ e ou $(+\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}): \mu\epsilon\bar{O}$ (11 cases). mooring: lowering 56 b. woman: Knowman: common 820 a. μ e ou: μ e \bar{U} (numerous, §§ 148, 150); $+: \mu\epsilon\bar{O}$ (1 case). μ e ou: μ e \bar{U} (7 cases); gone: shown: one 164 b; none: town: own 836 b.

The rimes evidently prove nothing as to the quality of $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ & ou; they are further discussed with the other rime-vowels contained. — For general reasons (cf. § 22 ff.) it seems very probable that Byron used the usual Sc. E. pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon\bar{\varrho}$ & ou (cf. § 23). — Bch has »ou» (Ellis) (= $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}$) in e. g. cold, old (cf. W. p. X »cowld» (cold); »bowld» (bold) = »Irish»), and Bell Pr. of El. p. 36 gives another diphthong in these words as a Scotticism; but Byron has no rimes that indicate a peculiar pronunciation in these words. His rimes soul: $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}$ might be thought to indicate the pronunciation with $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}$, given by Bell in »bowl, soul, mould, etc.» as a Scotticism(cf. § 27); but as these rimes are not

particularly numerous they should no doubt be considered as equivalent to Byron's other rimes present E. au: ou (cf. § 330).

SWINBURNE has the following rimes of interest (irrelevant 307 or uncertain cases, see §§ 128, 130, 155):

με \bar{Q} & με ou : με \breve{O} th (I case). : με \breve{O} (I3 cases). : με \ddot{O} (4 cases). : με \ddot{U} (6 cases); + : με \ddot{O} (I case). : με \ddot{U} (numerous); + : με \ddot{O} (I case); + : με \ddot{O} (I case). : με \ddot{U} + : με \ddot{O} (4 cases).

Cf. the other rime-vowels contained, along with which these rimes are treated.

Present E, o.

308

a. με wă (incl. quă) [For was cf. § 310].

Daines 1640 (Horn Gr. § 49) is the first orthoepist that mentions an influence of w on the following a; after him comes Cooper who in his grammar (p. 13) identifies the vowel in was, watch with his »o gutturalis»; further Miège, EO, etc. On the other hand no w-influence is mentioned by Jones (Ekw. § 101); and [x] is kept e. g. by Ludwig 1705, 1717 (Löwisch p. 40). Walker still mentions, disapprovingly, a pronunciation with a4 in quality and quantity; Kenrick gives a^{11} (= $[\check{z}]$) in these and some other words: squab, squabble (both ~ [o]), squash, squat, wan (~ [o]), wasp, wast 2 sg.; and Sheridan gives [x] (Ellis) in wan, wasp (criticized by Walker) and in some words with -qua-. Buchanan has [x] (Ellis) in many words, e. g. quality, quantity, quarrel, squabble, wan, wand; in the rest he gives »AA» (Ellis), e. g. watch, was, want, The absence of [ŏ]-forms of these words in Buchanan's notation is no doubt due to a mistake on Buchanan's part; yet the existence of an (occasional) 18th c. long vowel is proved by Kenrick's o⁵ (= με au) in quantity (~[ž]), quarrel, squander, wad, waddle, where Walker and the present E. have short vowel.

Spenser knows nothing of a w-influence. In his rimes (§§ 309 132, 133) με wǎ is rimed only : με ǎ (and : με ā), while there are no rimes με wǎ : με ŏ χ several rimes με ǎ : με ŏ. Cf. με wǎr(c) § 278.

Pope's what: not 340 [and was: draws 2 cases] prove an [o]-vowel in μ e wă, especially as he has no rimes μ e ă: μ e o [or: μ e au]. But the rimes to μ e ă (§ 133, 8 cases; equivalent is the rime wanted: planted 257, cf. § 280) may be considered to contain the old pronunciation [$\check{\mathbf{z}}$] (= μ e $\check{\mathbf{z}}$) of μ e wă [as also the rimes was: pass 257, cf. § 310].

Byron. Rimes [for quarrel: laurel cf. § 133; for rimes to was, § 310]. µe wă: µe ŏ (5 cases). µe wă: haunt, vaunt: grant, pant (2 cases; § 186). want: cant s.: vaunt 627 a. ue wă: ue au (I case). με wă: laurel: με ă (10 a (§ 133). με wă: present E. aa|nc (6 cases); + : µe ă (2 cases, § 189). µe wă : µe ă (numerous). Suwarrow: to-morrow: harrow 737 a. squabble: rabble: able 697 a. warriors: tox-terriers 732 b. — The scarcity of the rimes to με ŏ fully proves that Byron distinguished με wă χ με ŏ (cf. further § 316); a very natural fact, as such a distinction is made for the present E. (cf. § 26) by Bell, Ellis, and Murray (in NED). But the rimes cannot be considered to prove, conclusively, that Byron identified me wa and me a (under the Sc. E. [a]-vowel, cf. § 25 f.) : the rimes to present E. æ, though very numerous, and those to present E. aa, might be purely traditional - some might besides be based on the [x]-variants evidenced in many words in the 18th c. (cf. § 308) and the two rimes to $\mu \in \bar{a}$ (+ : $\mu \in \bar{a}$) and to $\mu \in \bar{e}$ are counterbalanced by the rime $\mu \in \breve{o}$: $\mu \in \bar{a}$: $\mu \in \breve{a}$ 791 a (cf. § 266). — On the other hand the rimes are certainly not against the identification mentioned: the rimes us wa: us o, which alone could make difficulties, are counterbalanced by the rimes us a: us o (cf. § 266); and the all but total absence of rimes $\mu \in w \bar{a} : \mu \in \bar{a}$ (only $+ : \mu \in \bar{a}$, 697 a; further was: µe ā 335 a, cf. § 310) proves no more than in Spenser, where only was occurs in rimes present E. o : ei.

SWINBURNE'S rimes $\mu\epsilon$ wă: present E.æ (§ 133) and: present E. aa (§ 132) may be considered as purely traditional. — With regard to his rimes $\mu\epsilon$ wă: $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ (§ 77) it should be noted that they are on the whole limited to special words or sound-combinations to which riming words are scarce; this may be a sign that he knows the distinction made by Bell, Ellis, and Murray, between $\mu\epsilon$ wă and $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ. — As to the possibility of restrictive traditional influence cf. § 20.

310 was.

SPENSER. Rimes. was: has (3 cases). was: has: \mu \text{ as } \text{ (18 cases)}. was: \mu \text{ as } (88 cases). was: \mu \text{ as } \text{ (2 cases)}. was: \mu \text{ as } \text{ (4 cases)}. \text{ No influence of w on the following a being visible in Spenser's language (cf. \s 309), was is quite on a level with has; i. e. the form occurring in the rimes is a strong form \text{ vl} + \text{ cs, cf. } \s 268. In the face of the great number of rimes to \mu \text{ as, the four rimes to \mu \text{ a \text{ cannot prove a strong form \text{ vl} + \text{ cs, especially as, though this strong form ought to have voiced -s (cf. Gill \swaz \sigma \text{ was }) no rimes to \mu \text{ a \text{ a} \text{ a} + voiced -s occur (cf. B. \s\text{ 207, who}

gives another explanation of this last fact). — The frequent use of was as a rime may explain the number of rimes was: $\mu \in \bar{a} \chi$ hardly any other rimes $\mu \in (w) \bar{a} : \mu \in \bar{a}$.

Pope's rimes — was: draws (2 cases); was: pass 257 — illustrate his two pronunciations of $\mu \epsilon$ wa (cf. § 309). The -s in was is probably voiced in both cases; if Pope had known the old strong form with breathed -s (which would have had the power of lengthening the preceding vowel), rimes of the type was: pass would certainly have been more numerous.

SWINBURNE. Rimes. $was(t): \mu \epsilon \ as(t)$ (23 cases). chrysopras: was: cause (1 case). — The rimes to $\mu \epsilon \ as(t)$ afford a remarkable instance of traditional influence.

b. με ŏ. 311

[Cf. Grundr. § 102; Ellis p. 93 ff.; Sweet HES § 802 ff.; Luick, Anglia 16, 469 f.; Viëtor El. § 45, Anm. 8; Horn, U. p. 26 ff., & Gr. § 55 f.].

The E. orthoepists of the 16th c. and the first decades of the 17th c. (e. g. Gill) generally give $\mu\epsilon \ \delta$ as an open [δ]-vowel, the short of $\mu\epsilon \ \delta$. But there are also unmistakable signs of a 16th c. change of $\mu\epsilon \ \delta > [\tilde{a}]$; a dialectal development according to Horn U. l. c. These signs consist in: 1) numerous a- spellings for ME δ (cf. e. g. Diehl p. 22; Horn U. p. 28; Bernigau p. 31); 2) present E. α </br>
ME δ in some words (Horn Gr. § 56) whose present E. vowel is plausibly explained only as springing from an early $[\tilde{a}]$ (i. e. before $\mu\epsilon \ \tilde{a}$ had definitively become an $[\tilde{\alpha}]$ -vowel). [(3) Spenser's rimes $\mu\epsilon \ \delta : \mu\epsilon \ \tilde{a}$ (I have not found such rimes recorded from any other 16th c. poet), one of which is mentioned by Horn, U. p. 28, in this connection, do not necessarily imply the pronunciation $[\tilde{a}]$

of $\mu\epsilon \, \check{o}$ (cf. § 314); and also (4) Bellot's (1580) comparison (cf. Horn U. l. c.) of $\mu\epsilon \, \check{o}$ with F. a, which comparison does not amount to complete identification of the two vowels (: »O se prononce quasy comme le A en françois»), is easily explicable with a very open [\check{o}]-pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon \, \check{o}$ (= 17th & 18th c., cf. below).]

By the middle of the 17th c. µs ŏ had generally passed to a 312 very open sound, as is evidenced by e. g. Wallis and Cooper giving it as the short of us au, and by English and foreign orthoepists universally comparing (or identifying) it, up to the beginning of the 10th c., with the short a in their own languages. The sound meant is probably the present E. o (= Sweet, Luick; Ellis: = the vowel in present E. what), possibly somewhat more open still, cf. us au (§ 260); but not an unrounded vowel [a] (as assumed by Viëtor l.c.). It is true that many orthoepists from Wallis downwards exactly identify us of with foreign a short, but this may very well be due to imperfect knowledge of either sound; the short o in other languages was not compared to us o, partly because there was a marked difference of quality, but mainly because it was generally identified with us ŭ. - Moreover, many orthoepists do not completely identify us of and foreign a. Lediard (Ellis p. 1043) describes the Engish sound as α short quick German α ... pronounced from the throat»; Miège (Ellis p. 102) states that »il y a bien des mots ou l'o a un son mêlé de celui de l'a»; Sewel 1708 (Löwisch p. 30) that it is »eenigsins gemengd, byna als of'er een A onder gehoord wierd»; Ludwig (Löwisch p. 39) that it is sein kurtzes dunckles a vom gaumen heraus pronuncirts. — The fact that from the beginning of the 19th c., foreign grammarians generally give their short o, not their short a, as identical with us o, may denote a slight »closing» of the English sound. Yet the main reason is certainly this, that from the 10th c. down, με ŭ has been compared to German ö, F. eu, etc., no longer to German, F., etc. short o; which latter could now be used, without risk of confusion, as a parallel to us o.

The above refers to the standard pronunciation, as it appears in the orthoepists of the second half of the 17th and the whole of the 18th c. On the other hand, there certainly existed at the same time a not accepted, unrounded pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon\delta$, evidenced by the »foppish» forms »passible», »bax», »clack», etc. in Vanbrugh's Relapse (1697) — cf. the forms »rat», »lard», »stap», »Gad», quoted by Ellis p. 1067 from Sheridan's

.

»refashionment» of this work (1777) — and by the »vulgar» pronunciation stated by Bryant 1767 (»a < o vor r»: Horn U. p. 29 f.); possibly also from the American »aff», »saft», »drap», »crap», given as »foreign and local dialect» by Webster (Ellis p. 1066). If these forms stand for a vowel [$\check{\mathbf{z}}$], they should be judged as the words with present E. a < m Š; i. e. originating in early [$\check{\mathbf{z}}$]-forms (cf. § 311). But very probably they mean an unrounded »back» vowel (whose difference from the usual [a]-sound could hardly be shown in spelling in any other way); cf. the present dialectal E. & American E. [$\check{\mathbf{z}}$] < ME $\check{\mathbf{z}}$ (Horn U. p. 28 f.). — The German late loanwords baxen, Frack (cf. Horn Gr. § 55) may be due to this pronunciation, or to substitution.

Spenser. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, treated 314 above, see §§ 42, 43 (present E. ɔ:æ|nd), 48 (present E. ɛ:ɔ|ng), 129, 131, 135, 136, 154, 192, 207.

με \ddot{o} : με \ddot{o} (few certain cases, cf. § 129; equivalent is one: με \ddot{o} , cf. §§ 154, 332). με \ddot{o} : brought 229 b (for με \ddot{o} : soften & often, see § 297). με \ddot{o} : με \ddot{a} (6 cases). overcommen (= -come): commen inf. 338 a (for equivalent cases, cf. § 339). flood: God: abode 286 b; won s.: upon: done pp.: alone 338 b.

It seems likely that in the rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{a} — to which are probably equivalent, in Spenser's pronunciation, the rimes glorie: varie 538 a (§ 124), and the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ (w) \breve{a} r°: $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} r° (4 cases, § 122; for the uncertain wormes: armes 530 b, gather: other 514 a, cf. §§ 169, 333 respectively) — Spenser had in view a very open [\breve{o}]-vowel (= the 17th & 18th c. $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o}) or even the dialectal [\breve{a}] (cf. § 311) in the $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} -words. — Of the other rimes, the solitary cases $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} : $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{u} and $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} : flood & abode show nothing whatever as to Spenser's pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} ; the rime $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} : brought is of little more use, especially as Spenser's pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ -ought is doubtful (cf. § 275). The rimes $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} : $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} are of more interest, but they are too few to be conclusive; nor does their scarcity prove a difference in quality between Spenser's $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} and $\mu\epsilon$ \breve{o} , because rimes vl: vl are also scarce in Spenser.

Pope. Irrelevant or uncertain rimes, see §§ 129, 136, 154.315

The rimes με ŏ: με ō are evidently, as appears from their number, traditionally kept as rimes vl: vl, though a close με ŏ and an open με ō are equally improbable in Pope's time. The rimes με ŏ: με ou (§ 129) are also traditional (used e. g. by Dryden, cf. Dierb. § 74), or quasi-traditional (on account of με ou being = με ō in Pope's pronunciation). Tradition is further

partly the cause of the rimes (§ 154) to present E. v and (§ 140) to present E. u (which two vowels were rather similar in quality about 1700; cf. § 326, Pope): they are rare in Spenser, but more frequently used by 17th c. poets (e. g. Dryden, cf. Dierb. § 74). At any rate, these rimes are of little value for determining the quality of Pope's $\mu \in \delta$. — The remaining rimes, not: what 340, god: unaw'd 399, grot: thought 487, may be considered as signs of the very open pronunciation of $\mu \in \delta$ given by contemporary orthoepists (cf. § 312). — For the rimes abroad: rod 275, Sot a.: groat 317, see §§ 301, 302.

316 Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, treated above, see §§ 130, 140, 154.

Byron rimes µs ŏ — besides with itself — with the following με ŏ:με wă (5 cases, § 77; further in Suwarrow: tomorrow: harrow 737 a); —: laurel (648 b, cf. § 133); —: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ (numerous, § 130; + many rimes to $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ + : other vowels, see below). — : $\mu \varepsilon$ ou $(+ : \mu \varepsilon \bar{Q})$ (7 cases, § 130; further stow'd : abroad : odd 716 a: woman: Knowman: common 820 a; gone: own: alone: grown : down 103 b; gone: shown: one 164 b); —: $\mu \in \bar{Q}r$ (2 cases, § 131; and before all: moral: her all 631 a); - : \mu \colon of, \colon s (4 cases, \xi 131; further in Koklophti: scoff'd high: Mufti 732 a, of: scoff: rough 365 a, 730 b); —: (a) broad (4 cases, § 131; further 716 a, see above, and road: broad: god 773 a); -: us ought (3 cases, § 131; and boat : thought: lot 657 b); — : $\mu\epsilon$ au (2 cases, § 131; and dollars: colours: bawlers 699 a); — : µ & ă (2 cases, § 133; further in 737 a, see above; same ages: damages: homages 791 a; upon: one: man 696 a); —: present E. aa (only in bronze: once: glance 618 b); —: µe ā (only in 791 a, see above); — : με ō (viz. tomb, wounds, 2 cases, § 136; further in from : room : home 773 b; Gothic : so thick : through thick 833 b; mood: stood: god 241 a; woman: two men: common 617 b; of: move: love 238 a; nominal: overcoming all: entombing 697 b); —: present E. u (viz. good, brook, 2 cases, woman, 4 cases, § 140; further in 241a, 617b, see above; woman: common: Roman, no man 635 a, 637 a, 718 b; everybody: should I: muddy 825 b; uncommon: summon: woman 800 a; put: not: but 653 a); —: $\mu \epsilon \bar{u}$ (viz. owlish 101 b, cf. blonde F. & monde F. : ground 809 b; further in To 3 b, see above; on : down : undone 732 b); - : $\mu \in \mathbf{\tilde{u}}$ (incl. (n) one, done, etc., numerous, § 154; further in με ο : με ŭ : με ο, 9 cases, § 207; and in the rimes 164 b, 365 a & 730 b, 699 a, 696 a, 618 b, 238 a, 697 b, 825 b, 809 a, 653 a, 732 b, see above); —: present E. 22 (only in before all: moral: her all, see above); —: μ s oi (only paternosters: cloisters s.: fosters 377 b).

The rimes tell us very little about the quality of Byron's με ŏ. The scarcity of rimes με ŏ: με wă — cf. the scarcity of rimes με ŏ: με au (the rimes με ŏ: με-ought, : με or, : με ŏf, and: (a) broad do not count, cf. §§ 277, 294, 299, 301) — certainly proves that Byron distinguished me o x me wa, but this distinction may be exclusively due to his using a special pronunciation of us wa (cf. § 300). Again, in the case of the rimes us o: us ŭ — which are strikingly numerous even excepting eminently traditional cases like among, tongue, etc.: long, song, etc. the numerousness of these rimes need not be due to a pronunciation of $\mu \in \eth = \mu \in \mathbf{u}$ (cf. § 26), but may be the result — besides of tradition — of Byron's using the Sc. (E.) open pronunciation of us u (cf. §§ 27, 342). — Thus, there is the question, regarding Byron's pronunciation of uso, only of a comparatively small distinction (: Sc. E. »Mid back wide round» X St. E. »Low back wide round»), as to which Byron's curiously heterogeneous rimes to us o allow no conclusions. - For further discussion of the rimes — as far as they are not to be regarded as mere individual licences — cf. the other rime-vowels concerned.

SWINBURNE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, treat-317 ed above, see § 130.

με \eth : με $\overline{\eth}$ (numerous). laurel: sorrel PB II:71 (cf. § 133). με $\overline{\eth}$: με $\overline{\eth}$ (II cases). με $\overline{\eth}$: με $\overline{\eth}$ (με $\overline{\eth}$) (2 cases). με $\overline{\eth}$: με $\overline{\eth}$ (I case). με $\overline{\eth}$: με ought (7 cases). με $\overline{\eth}$: με-aught (+: με ought) (I case). sundawn: thereon PB I:135. με $\overline{\eth}$: με $\overline{\eth}$ (I case). blossom: bosom (II cases, cf. § 140); +: lose him PB I: 172. με $\overline{\eth}$: renown PB II:206. με $\overline{\eth}$: με $\overline{\eth}$ (incl. (n)one, done, etc.) (numerous, especially love etc.: of). blood: rod: God: food SN 62. love etc.: move, prove: of (7 cases). love etc.: strove pret.: thereof: move SBS 26. με $\overline{\eth}$: με $\overline{\eth}$: με $\overline{\eth}$ (4 cases).

The rimes $\mu \epsilon \delta$: present E. 33 are no doubt qualitatively correct in Swinburne's pronunciation; the rimes $\mu \epsilon \delta$: present E. ou prove nothing, being purely traditional. — For the rimes $\mu \epsilon \delta$: $\mu \epsilon \tilde{u}$, cf. § 343. — The rimes to present E. u and uw, and those containing more than two different rime-vowels, are no doubt mainly traditional and emergency rimes. renown: $\mu \epsilon \delta$ occurs in a translation of Villon and, consequently, does not count, cf. §1.— For the rimes to $\mu \epsilon$ wa cf. § 309.

318

Spenser. I. ME $eu,\ eu,\ \overline{\ddot{u}}$ [comprehensive notation : (me) ew].

Besides the rimes ME eu: eu: u inter se and ME eu, u: you etc. (for which see §§ 44, 78, 79) there are the following rimes of interest (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 44, 80, 137):

με $\overline{u}|_{\Gamma}$: flower, lower, tower (3 cases; § 143). covet : renew it 549 b (probably = με \overline{o} : με ew, cf. § 153). use : abuse : bruse (= bruise) : loose v. 395 b.

B. § 168 concludes from the rimes of FQ and their spelling that in Spenser's pronunciation ME eu was a diphthong [eu], falling or even-stressed, not rising; ME eu and ME \bar{u} both, at least occasionally, = a diphthong [iu], rising or even-stressed, not falling, and with a vowel- [i], not a [j], as first element, because of the scarcity of rimes to $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{\varrho}$ (and to $\mu\epsilon\,\bar{u}$). This conclusion holds good also for the rimes of Spenser's other works. It may be added, however, that the first element of ME eu may have been, in Spenser's pronunciation, = [e] or a still more close vowel, so that the rimes ME eu: ME eu & \bar{u} need not have been very incorrect [cf. Alphabet Anglois, 1625: ME eu in few, dew, ewe = F. you, Horn Gr. § 126].

319 II. ME \bar{o} (and $\mu \epsilon \bar{o} < ME \bar{u}$).

Before -r there are the following rimes of interest (|rc only loord s.: word 466 b, cf. § 162; for yours: \mus \bar{u} cf. \sqrt{8} 79, 143):

Moor, poor: με $\bar{q}r$ (12 cases). Paramore: με $\bar{q}r$ 253 a. floure (= floor): Paramoure 128 b. Paramoure: succoure: floure (= floor): poure v. 133 b. amour: με \bar{u} (numerous). Toure n. pr.: -amoure: με \bar{u} 159 a. dore: towre (= tour v.): devoure 588 a.

Moor, poor have $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ in the rimes to $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ r, according to § 286 ff. In fact there are no rimes, only some spellings poor(e) (cf. § 138), that point to a pronunciation $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ in these words; but it was no doubt known to Spenser in these words (as a variant) as well as in floor, door (§ 292). — -amour, tour v., possibly also Toure n. pr. (= Tours), may have a regular $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ in the rimes : $\mu \in \bar{Q}$. On the other hand, these rimes, and the rime 588 a, might also be interpreted as rimes $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$, equivalent in quality to the rimes door, floor, ore: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ (§§ 150, 292); in this case their great number would be due to tradition (cf. Chaucer, Cromie p. 201 ff.). An $[\bar{Q}] < [\bar{U}]$ ir is probably the vowel in Paramore: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ 253 a; but an $[\bar{Q}]$ in this word might also be due to weak-

stress development (cf. favour, valour, etc., B. § 138, Horn Gr. § 151) or to Latin influence (cf. I ib. § 152 and the ME rimes honoure: sore, before (2 cases) cited there). [For succoure, cf. B. § 138.]

Other rimes of interest (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see 320 §§ 44, 137, 144, 153, 192, 193, 198):

με $\bar{\wp}$: με ew (see § 318). με $\bar{\wp}$: με $\bar{\wp}$ (§ cases, § 144; for equivalent cases cf. § 328). με $\bar{\wp}$: με $\bar{\wp}$ (§ 137, 11 cases; equivalent are probably shone pret.: Moone s. 537 a (cf. § 136); brood s.: abroad: με $\bar{\wp}$ 288 a (cf. § 301); loved: roved: proved 320 a (cf. § 334); lover: over: recover: move her 321 b (cf. § 205); for other equivalent cases cf. §§ 304, 334). με $\bar{\wp}$: με $\bar{\wp}$ (groome s.: come: somme s. (= sum) 323 a; soon: donne pp.: wonne pp. 321 a; Moone s.: sunne: runne s. 327 a. For the rimes με $\bar{\wp}$: done and με $\bar{\wp}$: above, dove, love; come, some, -some, see § 333 and § 334 respectively). με $\bar{\wp}$ | d & t: good etc., foot (numerous; § 139); +: blood, flood (numerous; § 203); με $\bar{\wp}$ | d & t: blood, flood (numerous; § 153).

These last rimes of us old & t are all best explained as rimes με ο : με ο; cf. §§ 326, 333. Gill gives με ο - [ŭ] in food, only [ŭ] in wood a.; but the pronunciation with [ŭ] does not appear in Spenser's rimes, as there are no rimes food, wood a., etc.: ME ŭ X several rimes good etc., blood, flood: ME u (cf. §§ 326, 333). — The rimes με ō: με ŭ and: με ū may be considered to prove that $\mu \in \bar{o}$ in Spenser's pronunciation had reached the $[\bar{u}]$ -stage evidenced by most orthoepists in the 16th c. (cf. Horn Gr. § 101). On the other hand, as Spenser obviously knows the old monophthongic µs ū besides the later diphthongic pronunciation (see § 328), he may very well have known the older, more open pronunciation of $\mu \in \tilde{Q}$ (= a very close [\tilde{Q}]-sound), recorded e. g. by Palsgrave and Bll (Luick, Anglia 14,280 ff.; Horn l. c.); and this pronunciation may be at the bottom of the rimes to us Q. Even so, these rimes would, however, be too incorrect phonetically not to require some special explanation. To some extent they are certainly traditional, as such rimes occur in plenty in late ME poets from Chaucer down (cf. ten Br. § 31; Dib. § 119); besides it should be kept in mind that there are very few correct rime-words extant especially to με ō final and με ō|v, either in ME or in Spenser's time, so that we have to reckon with the possibility of emergency rimes. Yet, as such rimes are not numerous in Spenser, we have to consider the possibility that phonetic variants suiting the rimes may be at the bottom of the cases given above as $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ [as well as of corresponding cases in ME]. Thus, an early Mod. E. $[\bar{Q}]$ -form may have existed of two (= Chaucer); go may have had $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ (cf. Luick U. §§ 139, 143), possibly also so, woe (= Chaucer) [and home (cf. Luick U. § 143), roam v. (< Rome, Skeat Et. D.)].

POPE. In Pope's time ME eu, eu, eu, ü had all gone to [iū], [jū]; cf. Viëtor El. § 41, Anm. 6 [the disappearing of the [i]-element after r, l, is of no importance for the rimes and is here disregarded]. — The equivalence of με ew and με ō in Pope's pronunciation (as well as in Byron's and Swinburne's) appears from the rimes με ew: με ō (§ 80) and from the rimes of με ew and με ō to other vowels (cf. below). — The absence of rimes με ew: με ō, ou X numerous rimes με ō: με ō, ou, is probably not due to phonetic causes, except |r, where the rimes point to an occasional »broadening» of με ō > [ō], a change that need not have included also με ew|r, and yours (: endures 344; no other rimes in Pope).

Of words with ME $\bar{o}|r$ Pope uses as rimes poor, amour; further whore, Moor, Moore n. pr., which have present E. uə->>, cf. §138, foot-note. — poor has $\mu \bar{o}$ in the rimes to cure, endure, secure, sure (5 cases); the rimes to door, floor (2 cases) and to $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} (5 cases) may be taken as rimes $[\bar{o}r]$: $[\bar{o}r]$ (= $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} ; $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} , cf. §§ 286, 288) and the rime poor: sour zg1 as a rime $[\bar{o}r]$ (= $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o}): $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} (cf. § 329). In Moor (: Matadores 79), whore (: door 441, : four 331, : $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} (II cases), : abhor 325), Moore n. pr. (: whore (3 cases)), the rimes show only $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} amours : doors 147 may also be a rime $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} : $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} ; cf. Bch's $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} (Ellis p. 1072) and Spenser's -amore: $\mu \bar{e}$ \bar{o} (§ 319).

Other rimes of interest (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 136, 137, 144):

με $\bar{0}$: με $\bar{0}$ (7 cases). billet-doux pl.: rows s. 74. food, rood: good, wood s. (4 cases). με ew: good (3 cases). full: rule 53. με $\bar{0}$: με $\bar{0}$ (4 cases). food: blood, flood (4 cases). embru'd: blood 168. fool, school: dull, skull (4 cases). doom, room, tomb, womb: come (9 cases); prove, move: above, dove, love (very numerous). — These rimes prove nothing as to Pope's pronunciation of με $\bar{0}$ & με ew. The rimes με $\bar{0}$: με $\bar{0}$, με $\bar{0}$ are purely traditional; cf. Spenser. The rimes to good, wood, and to με $\bar{0}$, do not prove a short vowel in food, rood (cf. Gill, § 320 above), as good and με $\bar{0}$ are rimed also with με ew, where no shortening is

recorded. — For the rimes to present E. v, cf. further § 336 f., § 341.

Byron. Before -r the rimes are as follows:

322

paramour: o'er, store; nor (2 cases); paramour. tambour: hour (2 cases). poor: \mus \overline{Q}r (2 cases). unmoor: shore 249 a; : lowering (\mus ou) 56 b. Moor: \mus \overline{Q}r, door, pour (9 cases). Moore n. pr.: \mus \overline{Q}r, pour (6 cases); : nor 648 b; : \mus \overline{u} 637 b. whore: \mus \overline{Q}r (3 cases); : lord 782 a. — I have not looked for rimes of these words to \mus \overline{Q}r, ew (in CH there are none), so I have no direct evidence of \mus \overline{Q}r in any of the words. whore, unmoor, Moor, Moore n. pr., may be considered as \mus \overline{Q}r evords in the rimes to present E. \to here given, cf. \mathbe{S} 138, foot-note. — poor, paramour: present E. \to are uncertain. Yet the scarcity of rimes poor: \mus \overline{Q}r seems to indicate that Byron pronounced poor with \mus \overline{Q}r (= W.); for the possibility of a \mus \overline{Q}r in paramour, cf. Spenser and Pope, above. — The two rimes to hour are of course purely traditional (and spelling) cases.

Other rimes (irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 137, 145):

µɛ ō : µɛ ō (2 cases). µɛ ō : µɛ ō, ou (rather numerous; for
equivalent cases cf. § 306); + : µɛ ō (2 cases). µɛ ō & ew : good
etc. (numerous). µɛ ew : book, look, etc. (II cases). µɛ ō & ew
: foot, soot (I4 cases). µɛ ō & ew : John Bull, full, bully (4 cases).

µɛ ō : nɛ ū (5 cases). mood : stood : god 24I a. woman : two men
: common 617 b. — Besides there is a great number of rimes to
µɛ ū (+ : other vowels), especially blood, flood, above, dove, glove,
love, cf. §§ 153(, 201 ff.). — The rimes show nothing as to the
quality of Byron's µɛ ō and µɛ ew, which are = [ū], [jū] (W. o², u¹)
in the standard E. of Byron's time. For the Sc. E. pronunciation
of the vowels in question cf. § 23; as to food etc. cf. also § 24.

SWINBURNE. whored: lord SBS 35 is no doubt intended 323 to be a rime present E. 22: 22; probably also moorland: foreland: norland PB II:164. Purely traditional are certainly the rimes paramour, Triamour: µs ū (6 cases).

Other rimes (for wound s. : $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{u} see § 145):

room: from: tomb: womb SN 72. abode: mood PB I:289; move: drove pret. PB I:60; woven: proven: cloven SS 183. youth: growth TL 17. \$\mu\tilde{\phi}\til

324

a. could, should, would. Cf. Luick, Anglia 16,471 f.; B. § 193.

Spenser rimes these words (§ 141) to -old (i. e. $\mu\epsilon$ ou, cf. § 303); the only exception is mould (Rom.): should: defould 64 b (= $\mu\epsilon$ ou: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$). Spenser evidently has $\mu\epsilon$ ou in could as well as in should, would; of could there is also the variant couth: $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ 118 a (see § 144).

POPE has only the rimes should, would: good 218, 442; could: blood 128. He evidently has the present E. pronunciation.

BYRON'S and SWINBURNE'S rimes I have not collected. Those incorrect according to present E. pronunciation are given with the rimes to good etc., see § 326.

325 b. ME $\bar{0} \mid k$ (Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see § 141).

Neither in Spenser's rimes nor in Pope's is there any sign of a shortened vowel. Excepting the rimes -ook: -ook, there are only rimes -ook: με \bar{Q} ; in Spenser look, shook, took: με \bar{Q} (3 cases; not quite certain, cf. § 141); in Pope look, strook: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ (5 cases). In Spenser's time the orthoepists generally give us ō (according to Horn Gr. § 104 also short vowel in look in the 16th c.). Jones gives [ŭ] in many words (his µɛ ŭ in look, took, which presupposes an early shortening, can be explained as a provincialism, Ekw. § 329), later also Kenrick in e. g. book, cook, shook; yet us o was certainly never quite abandoned in the 18th c., as it is given by W. 1791 in all words with -ook (book, cook, look, shook, took, etc.). - Byron rimes -ook: με ew (II cases) and: με ο 752 b, in which rimes us o is probably meant in the words with -ook; in the other rimes, book it: pocket 773 a, look: us ŭ 762 a, short vowel may be meant in book, look. — SWINBURNE'S rimes are in accordance with the present E. pronunciation.

326 c. ME o d & t.

Spenser. Rimes. good etc., foot: $\mu \in \bar{o} \mid d \& t$ (numerous). —: blood, flood (numerous). +: $\mu \in \bar{o} \mid d$ (numerous). good, wood s.: bud s. & v. (2 cases). good: Lud n. pr., mud (+: blood, flood) (2 cases). wood s.: stood: bud v.: aloud 407 b.

The rimes containing $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{o} \mid d$ & t = present E. uw are probably rimes $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{o} : $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{o} (cf. § 320), long vowel~short vowel being given in most of these present E. u -words by the 16th

c. orthoepists and by Gill (for blood, flood cf. § 333). The rimes to Lud, mud and certainly also those to bud (see § 334) are rimes $\mu \epsilon \ddot{\mathbf{u}} : \mu \epsilon \ddot{\mathbf{u}}$; the rime 407 is probably = $\mu \epsilon \ddot{\mathbf{o}} : \mu \epsilon \ddot{\mathbf{u}}$, cf. § 334.

Pope. Rimes. good, wood s.: $\mu\epsilon \ \bar{o} | d$ (4 cases); good: $\mu\epsilon$ ew d (3 cases). wood: God (2 cases). good: proud 328. good, stood, woods.: blood, flood (numerous). blood: could 128. — The rimes are traditional (cf. Spenser, above; besides Dryden: flock: took; grot: foot; God: stood; God: stood: mood; loud: stood; proud: flood; proud: good; crowd: good; Dierb. §§ 74, 78) and allow no conclusions as to the rime-vowels meant. two rimes wood: God would be best explained by an unrounded με ŭ in wood (cf. woman: uncommon § 327, and the rimes με ŭ: με ŏ § 341), and this pronunciation is assumed by Dierberger for took, foot, stood in the rimes of Dryden quoted above. There are no rimes wood, good, etc. : ME ŭ to adduce in favour of this pronunciation, but this might be chance, especially as the rimes blood, flood : ME ŭ are very scarce (Pope 3 cases; Dryden only one, blood: mud, to judge from Dierb. § 52). A more serious objection is that the pronunciation in question may very well have been only a S. W. provincialism (cf. look, took § 325), and in this case not likely to be found in the language of Dryden and Pope. — Besides, there was only a very small difference between us u and the vowel in full etc. (identified with the vowel in good etc. by Cooper, Ellis p. 101) still at the end of the 17th c.. This appears — disregarding the fact that us u is identified with German short u still by the »Anonymous instructor of the Palatines» 1710 (Ellis p. 184), a statement no doubt due to inaccurate observation as well as e. g. Greiffenhahn's German u (1778) both in dozen, wonder, work, honey, etc., and in wolf, woman, etc. (Löwisch p. 73) - e. g. from Cooper's statement p. 5: »Et quamvis inter bull & cut minima videatur, aliqua tamen est differentia» (another passage to the same effect is quoted by Ellis p. 181); cf. further Sweet HES § 798 f.1 As pointed

¹ Sweet l. c dates the complete separation of present E. $u \times v$ as late as the middle of the 18th c. This date seems somewhat late; it may be observed in passing that the quotation from Lediard (adduced in proof by Sweet) to the effect that the u in full was an obscure sound between German u short and μv u, disagrees with Ellis (p. 1043), according to whom Lediard describes u v v as "an obscure sound" etc., while the v in full is identified by him with the German v short. — It is quite another matter that the present E. distribution of the v- and v- words to one or the other group was not definitely accomplished until the end of the 18th c. (cf. § 327).

out by Sweet 1. c., this statement and others of the same import imply that the vowel in full etc. (= in good etc.) had to some extent participated in the *unrounding* characteristic of \$\mu \tilde{u} \tilde{u}\$, so that the present E. u is the result of a *re-rounding* of the vowel in use — at least as a variant — at the end of the 17th c. Consequently the rimes good etc.: \$\mu \tilde{o}\$ would be little more objectionable to Dryden, and possibly also to Pope, than the rimes \$\mu \tilde{u} \tilde{u} \tilde{u} \tilde{v} \tilde{o}\$, \$\frac{1}{341}\$. As to the rimes good etc.: \$\frac{1}{3000}\$, \$\frac

Byron. Rimes (incl. rimes to could, should, would).

—: $\mu\epsilon \bar{o}$ & ew |d & t (numerous). —: blood, flood (numerous). +: $\mu\epsilon \bar{o}$ |d (6 cases). good use: produce 768 b. mood: stood: god 24I a. foot: put: but 692 a. everybody: should I: muddy 825 b. blood: mud: good 714 b. — The rimes are traditional (cf. above, the corresponding cases in Spenser, Dryden, Pope) and are in no way conclusive as to Byron's pronunciation of good etc. — Walker's remark p. XI (edition of 1791) that soo in food, mood, moon, soon, etc. . . is generally shortened in Scotland to that middle sound of the u in bull shortened in Scotland to that middle byron, as there is a great number of rimes of good etc. to $\mu\epsilon$ ew, for which no such shortening is mentioned.

SWINBURNE's rimes, good etc., foot : $\mu\epsilon \ \bar{o}$ & ew | d & t (numerous), — : blood, flood (numerous), + : $\mu\epsilon \ \bar{o}$ & ew |d (4 cases), are purely traditional.

327 **d**. ME ŭ.

Spenser's rimes full, wolf, put, bush, push: µs ŭ (10 cases) are certainly correct (= µs ŭ: µs ŭ), as the branching leading to present E. u X v is not recorded till long after Spenser's time (first by Hodges, to judge from Horn Gr. § 61).

POPE has the rimes full: rule 53, woman: uncommon 478, full: dull (3 cases).

The rimes full: dull, woman: uncommon can be mere loans from older poets (cf. Spenser, above; Dryden, Dierb. § 76: woman: no man; woman: common; pull: dull; full: dull) and prove nothing. It appears, however, from the orthoepists that full, pull, woman, etc. still had variants with $\mu\epsilon$ \check{u} in the 18th c. (cf. Horn Gr. §§ 61 ff., 66; Dierb. § 76; Löwisch p. 75 f.); so that $\mu\epsilon$ \check{u} may be the vowel meant in the rimes to uncommon and dull (cf. for rimes $\mu\epsilon$ \check{u} : $\mu\epsilon$ \check{o} § 341).

Byron. Rimes (Uncertain is bosom: blossom, cf. § 140). John Bull, full: fool, school (3 cases). bully: truly: newly 802 a. pudding, full, pull, wolf, woman, put, bush, push, cushion: $\mu\epsilon\check{u}$ (27 cases, + but: put: foot 692 a). put: not: but 653 a. woman: (un)common (4 cases). woman: $\mu\epsilon\check{q}$ (3 cases). woman: two men: common 617 b. woman: common: no man, Roman, Knowman (4 cases). uncommon: summon: woman 809 a. woman: summon: human 797 b.

In SWINBURNE I have found only the rime woman: human PB I:121, which does not require any comment, and the rimes bosom: blossom (II cases), for which cf. § 140.

Present E. au, aus.

328

SPENSER. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 46, 143, 144, 147, 150, 151.

με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ | r (3 cases). με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ (§ 144, 5 cases; rimes of this kind are probably wount a. (= wont): recount: surmount 131 a, woods.: stood: bud v.: aloud 407 b; cf. § 334). με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: -amour, Toure n. pr. (numerous). dore (= door): towre v. (= tour): devoure 588 a. με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ (incl. pour v., cf. § 293): door, floor, ore (4 cases). με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: με ou (§ 147, 5 cases; rimes of this kind are probably mould (Rom.): should: defould 64 b, cf. § 324, and showed: wowed (= wooed): vowed 412 a, cf. § 198). Of rimes με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ (cf. § 151) and με $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ (cf. § 147) there are no certain cases.

The rimes to $\mu\epsilon$ ou, especially as there are no certain rimes

to $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$, may be considered to prove that Spenser knew the diphthongic pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$, given by most orthoepists of the 16th c. (cf. Viëtor El. § 49, Anm. 9; Luick, Anglia 14, 283). The rimes to -amour, Toure are uncertain (see § 319), but those to $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ —incl. door, floor, ore, see § 292 — and especially those to $\mu\epsilon$ $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$, in which traditional influence seems out of the question, certainly show that Spenser knew also the old monophthongic $[\bar{\mathbf{u}}]$ (possibly »zweigipfelig», Horn Gr. § 106), given by Palsgrave, *Bll, and probably by Mulcaster (Viëtor l. c.; Luick l. c.).

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 144, 147, 150.

proud: good 328. sour: poor 291. cowl, owl: fool 221, 374. mouth: youth, truth 473, 484. $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:\mu\epsilon$ ou (numerous). $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ (5 cases). $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:\mu\epsilon\bar{Q}$ (viz. adore, more, o'er) (4 cases). out: thought 474.

The rimes to us o (incl. youth, truth; cf. Dryden's us use. pursue, 4 cases, Dierb. § 78 f.) are traditional and do not prove that Pope knew the old monophthongic pronunciation of us ū. Tradition is also the chief cause of the rimes to us of and us or (incl. poor, cf. § 321) - cf. Dryden, Dierberger § 78 - and of the rimes to us ou; the great number of these latter may also to some extent be due to the likeness in spelling. On the other hand it is probable that the phonetic incorrectness of the rimes to us o(r) & us ou was not quite so considerable in Pope's pronunciation as it is in present E.; because it seems that the first element of the diphthongic us ū was in Pope's time (and considerably later, cf. Byron, below) somewhat rounded; cf. the relations of $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ and $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ to $\mu \in \bar{U}$ which latter is identified with the first element in us ū by e. g. Wallis, Cooper, Jones (Ellis p. 156 ff.). These considerations apply also to the rime out: thought.

330 Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 145, 148, 150.

paramour, tambour: hour (2 cases). $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$ (5 cases). $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:\mu\epsilon$ ou (incl. ME δ I(c) & δ Ic, cf. § 303) (§§ 148, 150, numerous; besides bestower: shore: power 367 a, § 150). $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:\mu\epsilon\bar{o}$ (+ $\mu\epsilon$ ou) (II cases). $\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:door,floor;adore,etc. (5 cases, § 150; equivalent is hour: bower: Moore n. pr. 637 b, § 143). withdrawn: down: frown 710 b. polish: owlish 101 b. blonde (F.) & monde (F.): ground 809 b. gone: own: alone: grown: down 103 b. <math>\mu\epsilon\bar{u}:\mu\epsilon\bar{u}$ (6 cases). none: town: own 836 b. on: down: undone 732 b.

It is possible that in the standard pronunciation of Byron's

time the first element of the diphthongated us u was still a rounded vowel (cf. Kenrick p. VI: »ow» is a »commixture» of »the short o and long u»; W. (1791) p. XVI: $v_0^{38} = v_0^{38}$ The long broad v_0^{3} , and the middle obtuse u⁸...»); at any rate it was no doubt a »back» vowel (given as such still by Bell, e. g. Sounds p. 63, Pr. of El. p. 32; cf. the Sc. (E.) pronunciation, § 27 above). This pronunciation may have influenced the number of Byron's rimes us ū: us ou and : $\mu \in \bar{Q}(r)$ — to which latter are equivalent the rimes $\mu \in \bar{u}$: door, floor, Moore, and possibly also paramour (§ 322) — but the main cause of these rimes is certainly traditional influence and, in the case of the rimes us ū: us ou, probably also influence of the spelling. - The pronunciation of us ou as us u recorded as a Scotticism by Bell (see § 27, above) would explain Byron's rimes us ū: με ou, if it could be adduced as a general explanation; it is, however, very unlikely that Bell means his rule to include all words with us ou, because the Sc. dialects generally distinguish in pronunciation between etymologically different groups of us ou, (cf. Murray p. 148 f., EDGr. §§ 41, 127, 128, 168),1 and a less comprehensive rule would hardly explain Byron's rimes με ū: με ou in which different etymological groups of both rime-vowels are joined together. — But of course there remains the possibility that the Sc. (E.) X St. E. difference in the relations of µs ū and us ou may have occasionally -- perhaps unconsciously to the poet himself — suggested rimes of this kind to Byron. — Another Scotticism given by Bell l. c., viz. the pronunciation of µs ū as με ō, can hardly be ascribed to Byron, as his rimes με ũ: με ō are conspicuously few, and practically limited to cases with both tradition and spelling directly in their favour. - But it seems necessary to adduce the Sc. (E.) pronunciation of »pound, ground, etc.» (Bell l. c.) with us u to explain Byron's remarkable rimes με ū : με ŭ; especially as these rimes, with only one exception, consist of words with $\mu \in \bar{u} \mid n^c$, even as Bell's examples (cf. also Murray p. 148; EDGr. § 104). Traditional influence is not likely in this case; rimes of this kind certainly occur in early Mod. E. (e. g. Dryden, Dierb. § 78 f.: house: us, Caucasus, 2 cases; crown, town

¹ Sc. dial. [au]- (or [ɛu]-, [ou]-) forms are recorded by EDGr. of [§ 168. OE ōw:] flow. glow, grow, stow: [§ 127, OE āw:] blow (»Inv.»), mow (»ne, & wm. Sc.»), soul (many Sc. dialects); [§ 41, OE al + d:] told (»wm. Sc.», *Kcb.»); but not of the words low, owe, own a. (: OE āg); nor of the bulk of the words with OE āw, (e)al + d, as know, crow, slow, snow, etc.; bold, cold, fold, etc.

son, won, 3 cases; one: shown: town, I case); but only very sparingly. — If this explanation of the rimes $\mu \in \bar{\mathbf{u}} : \mu \in \bar{\mathbf{u}}$ is correct, these cases afford a curiously striking instance of dialectal influence in Byron's rimes.

331 SWINBURNE. Rimes [με ū: wound s., see § 145]. Paramour, Triamour: με ū (6 cases). mouth: youth SSp 18. growth: mouth: youth: south PB II:92. με ū: με ου, ō (6 cases). -ion: shone: renown: upon PB II:206. με ū: με ŭ (brows: does: calamus PB I:260; doth: mouth, south (7 cases).

The rimes are traditional or mere individual licences; besides, the rime PB II:206 and one of the rimes $\mu \epsilon \bar{u} : \mu \epsilon$ ou (PB II:197) occur in the translations of Villon, cf. § 1. For the rimes to does, doth, see further § 343.

Present E. v.

a. Special groups: present E. $\epsilon <$ ME $\bar{\varrho}$, ME $\bar{\varrho}$, ME $\bar{\upsilon}$, ME $\bar{\upsilon}$, ME $\bar{\upsilon}$

SPENSER.

332

I. ME \bar{Q} . one, none, attone adv. (once, nonce occur only in Champions: attons 162 a, attonce: nonce 349 a).

Rimes. — : $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$ (incl. anon, gone, shone, see § 129, wone v., see § 47) (numerous; §§ 154, 155, 207). — : $\mu \epsilon$ ou $(+ : \mu \epsilon \bar{Q})$ (§§ 155, 207; 8 cases). one: -ion: upon 98 b. attone: don inf.: on 324 a. (n)one: done inf. & pp.: $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$ (3 cases). — Besides rimes to the ending -(i)on, cf. § 154. — Spenser evidently pronounced one etc, with $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$. The cases 98 b, 324 a are rimes $\mu \epsilon \bar{Q} : \mu \epsilon \bar{Q}$. For done, see below.

333 II. ME ō. blood, flood; done (does, doth, no cases); mother, brother, other; glove.

blood, flood. Rimes. flud: mud 407 b. buds v.: fluds: studs: buds s. 433 a. flood: mud: blood: good 302 b. blood, flood: good etc. (numerous). blood, flood: brood etc. (numerous); +: food (= feud) 232 b. woo'd: blood 509 a. blood, flood: good etc. : brood etc. (numerous). flood: Good: abode 286 b. — Apart from the rime 286 b (cf. the rime 338 b, done, below) — which is quite anomalous, as rimes $\mu \in \mathbf{U}: \mu \in \mathbf{Q}$, $\mu \in \mathbf{Q}: \mu \in$

 $(= [\breve{u}]) \sim \mu \epsilon \ \bar{o}$, both given by contemporary orthoepists ($\mu \epsilon \ \bar{o}$ by Smith, Ellis pp. 883, 890).

done. Rimes. 1) pp. done, donne regularly: με ŭ, cf. B. § 105; further e. g. 493 b, 514 b, 521 a, 529 b. doone: με ŭ 550 b. — fordonne: soone: boone 197 a; soone: donne: wonne pp. 321 a; done: one: με δ 381 b; won s.:-upon: done: alone 338 b. — 2) inf. donne: με ŭ 63 b, 195 a, 206 b, 410 b; fordonne: με ŭ 253 a. doon: soone 93 a; done: (n)one: με δ 158 b, 165 a.

It is obvious from the spelling -onne and the numerous rimes to με ŭ, that με ŭ was Spenser's usual pronunciation of the pp. as well as of the inf. done. The rimes to us of and us of may be based on an occasional pronunciation us o, given in done pp. by Hart (pp? Jespersen § 34), Bullokaı (Hauck p. 17, unless short vowel is meant by Bll's dwnn, as, according to the rule (Hauck p. 14), the usually long w has the corresponding short sound before double cons.), Mason (Brotanek p. XXXIV. Or = με ο? The spelling is »dón», cf. Brotanek p. XLI), Gill (»dial. Bor.»); cf. the spelling doon given by Cheke (Ellis p. 888). In this case the rimes to $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ would be explained as the other rimes $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$ (cf. § 320); the rime 338 b would be equivalent to 286 b, see above, flood. — B. § 105, while pointing to Chaucer's occasional rimes done inf. & pp. : µs \(\bar{q}\) (ten Br. \(\ssrt{q}\) 31, 197; Cromie p. 169; cf. for such rimes in late ME, Dib. § 119), is of opinion that there existed in Spenser's time an inf.: »don», and possibly also a pp. »don» moulded on the inf. [cf. the 17th c. us o in do (Wallis, Cooper; Ellis p. 1006) and µs o in done (Cotgrave-Howell 1650; Löwisch p. 65)]. — Spenser may, however, have been led by ME rimes to assume »don» as a ME form, even though such a form did not actually exist either in ME or in Spenser's time.

mother, brother, other. Rimes (besides inter se). discover: mother: other: brother 245 b; other: gather 514 a; mother: other: together 282 a. — The orthoepists give, besides με ŭ (and Hart's με ϙ̄, Jespersen § 34), also με ŏ in mother (Bll, Hauck p. 25) and other (Smith, Gill; Ellis p. 898). — The case 514 a may be a rime με ŏ: με ӑ; yet, as Spenser has gether ~ gather (see § 114), the rime is possibly equivalent to 282 a, which is best interpreted as με ŭ: με ĕ; cf. § 339 f.

glove. I have noticed only the irrelevant rime love: glove 448 b.

III. ME ŭ-. Rimes. wount a.: µs ū 131 a; wood s.: stood: bud 334 v.: aloud 407 b. buds s.: woods 458 a; budde v.: good 481 a. come,

A. Gabrielson.

some, -some: με ō (incl. Rome § 155; cf. § 137) (numerous, §§ 153, 205; equivalent is possibly wombe: come: rome v.: home 291 a, cf. § 320, end); love, above (,dove): με ō (incl. hove inf., cf. § 44) (§ 153, numerous; a rime to με ō & με ō is probably lover: over: recover: move her 321 b, cf. § 205). groome: come: somme s. 323 a. love, labove love (3 cases); loved: roved: proved 320 a. overcommen pp.: commen inf. 338 a. discover: lover: endever: ever 329 a. — The rime discover: over: hover: lover 411 b is uncertain, cf. §§ 205, 155. — [Rimes ME ŭ-: με ŭ, see below.]

The words with ME ŭ-, rimed to us ū, us ō, us ō — i. e. come, some, -some; love, above; to which may be added bud s. & v. (Luick U. § 546), dove (Luick U. § 552), wont (Luick St. p. 5; differently Koeppel p. 58) - probably have us ō in these rimes (cf. Luick U. § 537; further Hart's us o in above, love, Jespersen § 34, and the spellings loov'd 162 a, wount 131 a, 413 a, woont 313 b); especially as the rimes to uso are very numerous and as there are no certain rimes µs ŭ: µs ū or: µs ō. At any rate, traditional influence is probable, especially in the rimes of ME ŭ | m, v (cf. for late ME rimes of this kind, Dib. § 113). - However, Spenser's usual pronunciation of these words was no doubt us u, given almost unanimously by the orthoepists of the time; cf. the rimes to $\mu \in \ddot{u}$: dum a.: overcum pp.: mum: becum inf. 267 a; become pp.: somme s.: overcomme inf. 490 a; bud s. & v.: ue ŭ (433 a, cf. above, flood,) 452 a, 505 b, 589 a; wont a.: us ŭ 313 b, 413 a, 474 a, 474 b, 484 b. — love, above, dove occur (except for the rime love: glove § 333) in rimes: µe ŭ (alone) only in a few rimes inter se, as there are no other words with us u v than those mentioned (and shove). -- The rimes 338 a, 329 a are rimes µs ŭ:µs ŏ and µs ŭ:µs ĕ respectively; bud: good, wood 458 a, 481 a may be taken as (correct) rimes $\mu \in \mathbf{\tilde{u}} : [\mathbf{\tilde{u}}] \ (= \mu \in \mathbf{\tilde{u}}).$

IV. ME ŏ & ŭ | ng. Rimes. among (+ sprong 146 a): ME -ong < -ang (14 cases); dung (spelt dong), tongue (spelt -o-) (+ hong 36 a, 46 b): ME -ong < -ang (8 cases); +: prolong 122 a [Pret. & pp. -ong, see § 48]. — In among Spenser's usual pronunciation is probably με ŏ (I have noticed only one rime to με ŭ, tongue: emong 474 a) in accordance with Bll (Hauck p. 25) and Gill. But the other rimes given above are not in agreement with the

¹ Bll's curious με ŏ in *love* (Hauck p. 25) may here be disregarded, especially as Spenser has very few certain rimes με ŏ: με ο̄ (cf. § 314).

² As Spenser has only one rime *above*: με $\overline{\rho}$, it does not seem probable that he knew Hart's με $\overline{\rho}$ -variant of *above* (Jespersen § 34).

contemporary orthoepists. Yet, with B. § 91, I regard these rimes as correct rimes $\mu = \tilde{u} : \mu = \tilde{u}$, due to the influence on the literary language — evidenced by $\mu = \tilde{u}$ in among, mongrel, etc. — of a dialectal ME change of -ong >-ung (no doubt including also the Rom. prolong); cf. Horn, E. St. 30, 369 ff. — Horn Gr. § 60 regards Spenser's rimes of this kind as incorrect, as well as Dryden's etc.; but the history of among ($\mu = \tilde{u}$ in Bll & Gill; $\mu = \tilde{u}$ not until Butler 1633) makes it probable that the influence of the dialectal -ung-forms was still valid in Spenser's time.

Роре. 336

I. ME \bar{Q} . one, none [once is only rimed: dunce 233, 238, 306, 369, 396, 412].

Rimes. one: run, sun 45, 296, 299, 322, 442, 501; : (un)-done 130, 214, 221, 240, 324, 421; : John, on 177, 318 [one: Solomon Gormogon 141, 421]; : $\mu\epsilon\bar{q}$, ou (7 cases). — none: gone 323; sun: upon: none 57; none: $\mu\epsilon\bar{q}$, ou (incl. shone 370, cf. § 154) (13 cases).

The absence of rimes none: $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ as against numerous rimes one: $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ, compared to the greater number of none: $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{Q}\), ou-rimes than one: $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{Q}\), ou-rimes, clearly shows that Pope knew only the pronunciation $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{Q}\) in none (the pronunciation $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{Q}\) is not found until Lediard, Horn Gr. \(\bar{S}\) 96,3). — The rimes one: $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{Q}\), ou and: $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{O}\) may be interpreted as traditional rimes $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{U}\): $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{Q}\), ou and: $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{O}\) (cf. such rimes below \(\bar{S}\) 341); but in these rimes Pope may also have had in view the old $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{Q}\) in one, still given by Wallis, Cooper (Ellis p. 1012), Ludwig 1717 (Löwisch p. 65), and evidently approved also by Writing Scholar's Companion 1695, which declares *wun* to be vulgar (Horn Gr. \(\bar{S}\) 96,1). — Finally, the rimes one: $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{O}\) might be correct, as $\mu\epsilon$ \(\bar{O}\) is given in one by some 18th c. orthoepists; cf. Horn Gr. l. c.; Löwisch p. 65 (Ludwig).

II. ME o and ME ŭ-. The vowels of words belonging to these 337 groups are generally given as $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ by the orthoepists of Pope's time. Yet, in flood, blood(-ily), Cooper still gives the vowel in good etc. (Ellis) — in flood ~ $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ — and this variant, which is certainly due to the 16th c. $\mu\epsilon$ o, may be meant by Pope in the numerous rimes blood, flood: good etc. (could 128), food, embru'd (§§ 152, 153). — A late [ŭ]-vowel < earlier $\mu\epsilon$ o is theoretically possible also in the other words with ME o and ME ŭ-, and might be adduced to explain the rimes come, love, above, dove: $\mu\epsilon$ o (§ 153); yet, as the vowel of these words is given as $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ by all ortho-

epists who distinguish the vowel of full etc., good etc. from $\mu\epsilon \, \check{u}$, it is no doubt only = $\mu\epsilon \, \check{u}$ also in Pope's pronunciation. — A pronunciation of done pp. with $\mu\epsilon \, \bar{Q}$ (cf. done, do, above §§ 137, 333) cannot be inferred from Pope's rimes done: $\mu\epsilon \, \bar{Q}$; because there are some certain cases $\mu\epsilon \, \check{u}$: $\mu\epsilon \, \bar{Q}$ among his rimes.

Cf. the following rimes. flood: mud 386, 387, 388 [blood: flood; numerous]. — (un) done: begun, nun, sun, won pp. 101, 130, 139, 202, 227, 233, 414, 474; : son 172, 343; : pun 313; : one (6 cases). — must: dust 88, 150. — (be) come: drum, dumb, hum, sum 202, 335, 375, 390, 406, 474; : plum 341, 441. — son: begun, Hun, run, won pp. 27, 28, 79, 129, 213, 317, 319, 339, 368, 393, 394, 408, 413, 413; : pun 376; : done (2 cases).

love, above, dove, shove occur in rimes: με ŭ (alone) only in (very numerous) rimes inter se; except for the rime glove: love 75. — mother, brother, other are rimed only inter se, except for other: pother 291 (probably = με ŭ: με ŭ, see § 154) and motherige: brotherige: Gotherige n. pr. 497 (= με ŭ: με ŭ?).

338 III. In Pope's pronunciation of ME-ung and of ME-ong < -ang, µs ŭ and µs ŏ were no doubt divided just as they are in present E.; so that the rimes between these kinds of words are given § 341 (among does not occur).

Byron. ME $\bar{\varrho}$. The fact that Johnston (1764) and Sheridan (1780) still give $\mu\epsilon\ \check{o}$ in one (= *won*); Horn Gr. § 96,1) does not justify us in ascribing this pronunciation to Byron in his rimes one (and none?): $\mu\epsilon\ \check{o}$ and: $\mu\epsilon\ \bar{\varrho}$, ou; especially since his rimes one (, none): $\mu\epsilon\ \check{o}$ form only a small part of his numerous rimes present E. ϵ : 3.

The other groups, done etc. (i. e. present E. $e < ME \, \tilde{o}$, ME ŭ-, ME -ung and ME -ong < -ang), certainly have $\mu e \, \tilde{u}$ in Byron's time.

SWINBURNE has, of course, the present E. pronunciation in the groups of words in question.

339 b. Quality of µs ŭ.

Spenser. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 47, 151, 153, 154, 160, 161, 332-335.

με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}} : \mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{u}}$ (no certain cases). $\mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{u}} : full$ etc. (10 cases); : good etc. 136 a, 302 b (equivalent are probably bud : good, woods. 458 a, 481 a, cf. \S 334). $\mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{u}} : \mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (certain cases 321 a, 323 a, 327 a, cf. \S 320). $\mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{u}} : \mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (overcommen pp. $: commen \, inf. \, 338 \, a,$ cf. \S 334; equivalent are probably the two rimes $\mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \, \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{c}} : present \, \mathbf{E}.$ 20, 239 b, 398 a, cf. \S 355). $\mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{u}} : \mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (no certain cases); $+ : \mu \mathbf{e} \, \ddot{\mathbf{o}}$

(possibly flood: God: abode 286 b, won s.:-upon: done: alone 338 b, cf. § 333). με ŭ: με ĕ (3 cases, § 159; equivalent are grovel: level 314 b, cf. § 135, probably also florish: guarish: με ĕ 244 b, cf. § 211, and the two cases με ĕrc: με ŭrc (?) 409 a, 511 b, cf. § 355. The rime other: gather 514 a is uncertain, cf. § 333).

The rimes us ŭ: full, good, etc. are correct, cf. § 326 f. The other rimes are too few to allow of any certain conclusions as to the precise quality of us u. The rimes to us e are, however, remarkable. It is true that they bear the stamp of emergency rimes, and that they are all, except the two cases |rc, feminine rimes (in which the difference of the rime-vowels is somewhat compensated by the likeness of the following weak-stressed syllables); yet these rimes and the scarcity of rimes us u : us o (later far more common, cf. Pope, § 341, and further Dryden, Dierb. §§ 74 f., 76 f.) may very well imply that Spenser's us u was more closely related in quality to use than to use o, which latter, on the other hand, is often rimed with us a (cf. § 314), but not with us e. Again, this may be a sign of the beginning of the »unrounding without change of tongue-position» which Sweet HES § 798 concludes from the orthoepists to have been the first early Mod. change of ME ŭ; a change including, in its first stages, also the vowel in full, good, etc., cf. § 326 (POPE).

In this connection it may be mentioned that $\mu\epsilon\ \bar{u}\ (< ME\ \bar{o}\ 340$ and < ME ŭ) occasionally appears as -e- in 15th-16th c. works. Neumann, Paston Letters § 81 f., records ether wyse (= otherwise); welbelevyd (= -loved); Debylyn (*ae. u* = Dublin?); stedyously (= studiously); Dibelius §§ 118, 123 (from Paston Letters) ether (= other); sendry (= sundry). — At first sight these forms might be considered to prove a change of us ŭ (= Dibelius l. c.), but they are certainly to be explained otherwise. Apart from the possibility that one or the other case may be a mere error in writing, analogical influence may be the cause of ether (: cf. ether ~ o(w)ther < ægþer, agþer, Neumann § 94), -beleved (: cf. ME lef < leof), stedyously (: cf. steady, Palsgrave »stedye»); and sendry may be a regular dialect form with -e- < OE y (OE syndrig; ME -u-, -o-, -i-, according to CD). — It is highly improbable that Spenser, in his rimes to me ë given above, had in view these anomalous and certainly very rare forms of other, love.

POPE. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, treated above, 341 see §§ 154, 336, 337.

dull, skull: fool, school (4 cases); come: µs o (9 cases); love,

above, dove: $\mu \in \bar{0}$ (numerous). flood: nod 412; run, sun: (up)on (4 cases); tongue, young: $\mu \in \bar{0}$ (7 cases). sun: upon: none 57. (-)come: home (5 cases); done, son, begun, run, sun, won: $\mu \in \bar{0}$, ou (9 cases) [besides weak-stressed-son: $\mu \in 0$ (2 cases)]; love, above: $\mu \in \bar{0}$ (24 cases). — For the rimes dull: full (3 cases) cf. § 327; for the rimes blood, flood: good etc., could, (numerous) cf. § 337.

Pope's rimes (as also Dryden's, cf. Dierb. §§ 63 f., 74 ff.), compared with Spenser's (§ 339), show a marked increase of rimes με ŭ: με ŏ and: με ō, which fact is, of course, a sign of the growing »obscuration» of με ŭ, evidenced by orthoepists from the end of the 16th c. down. It cannot however be decided from these rimes how far, i. e. exactly to what result, this »obscuration» had led in Pope's time, because both his rimes us ŭ: us o and his rimes us ŭ: us o may be - and are certainly to a great extent - purely traditional (cf. Dryden). - Tradition is certainly also the main cause of the rimes to us o; cf. the corresponding rimes in Spenser (§ 333 ff.) and Dryden (Dierb. § 63 f.); to the rimes to fool, school there are no exact parallels in Spenser and Dryden, but Pope may of course have used these rimes on the analogy of other rimes us ŭ: us o. — The old, rounded pronunciation of us ŭ can hardly be assumed in the case of Pope's rimes to us ō (cf. § 326: even the vowel in full, good, etc. seems to have been less rounded in Pope's time than in present E.). — The very numerous rimes to move, prove are somewhat uncertain, because us u is given in both words by Price, Jones, and EO (Ellis); but this pronunciation may very well have been only a provincialism (as in stood etc., look, took, §§ 325, 326); cf. Ekw. § 329.

342 Byron. Rimes. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, treated above, see §§ 154, 155.

με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$ (6 cases); + : με $\breve{\mathbf{o}}$ 732 b; με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ 836 b. με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$, ew (numerous); + : good etc. (6 cases), woman (797 b); με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (viz. home, grove, rove, over; 7 cases); με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (viz. of, nominal, 2 cases; possibly equivalent is off: aloof: enough 817 b, cf. § 299). με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$, ou (numerous; equivalent are the rimes με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: ME $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ |r, 2 cases, cf. § 294); + : με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (incl. shone, cf. § 130; 10 cases). dollars: colours: bawlers 699 a; awkward: backward: stuck hard (2 cases). με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: bush, bull, etc. (numerous); look, foot (+ put) (2 cases); με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: should, woman, put: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (3 cases). με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (numerous; equivalent probably με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: off, cough, 3 cases; + : με $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$, 3 cases; cf. § 299). με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: με $\ddot{\mathbf{a}}$ (4 cases; equivalent? cf. § 280, France: advance: once 155 b). upon: one:

man 696 a (equivalent? cf. § 280, bronze: once: glance 618 b). μεŭ: με ĕ (9 cases). hurry: furry: flurry 767 a.

The rimes to us o, ew, and to us o, ou, agree very closely with the usage of the 17th & 18th c.; the rimes to which no parallels are found in Pope are few, especially in the case of the rimes με ŭ: με ō. So these two groups of rimes may be considered as, on the whole, purely traditional. As to the rimes us u: us u, they are rather due to dialectal influence than to tradition (see § 330); the two rimes containing ue au are no doubt mere individual licences. — The difficulty of deciding between traditional and dialectal influence and individual licence is particularly felt in the case of the rimes us u : short vowel(s); as is very natural. because the obscure quality of us u made it capable of standing in rimes with different adjacent (short) vowels without offending the ear too seriously. - Traditional influence is possible in the rimes to present E. u; yet there are special grounds (see § 327) for believing that this was not Byron's reason for using these rimes (except of course the eminently traditional group blood, flood: good etc.). — The rimes to us o occur in a number that stands in no reasonable proportion to the number of these rimes in older poets — cf. Pope § 341; Dryden, Dierb. § 74 ff. — (except in the case of the rimes us u : us o | ng, which may be purely traditional). It is true that us u had probably been lowered in St. E. in the period between Pope and Byron (cf. Sweet HES § 700), and had thus possibly approached more to us o; but the great number of the rimes us u : us o seems best explained by supposing that Byron used — or was influenced by — the still more open Sc. E. pronunciation of us u (see § 27). — Finally, there is W.'s and Bell's evidence of a Sc. E. pronunciation of us ŏ = με ŭ (see § 26); but it is hard to conceive that this could have been a common Sc. E. peculiarity, as it does not seem to be based on the Sc. dialects (cf. Murray p. 147 f., EDGr. § 82); and thus it is very doubtful whether it was known by Byron. - The rimes цей: цей are not incompatible with the Sc. E. open цей; because Byron seems to have been influenced in his rimes — though it is hard to decide to what extent, cf. § 266 - by the Sc. E. »back» pronunciation of $\mu \in \mathbf{\check{a}}$. But the rimes to $\mu \in \mathbf{\check{e}}$ if they are not based upon older usage, which does not seem probable, as I have found such rimes only in Spenser [not in Shakespeare (Viëtor), Dryden (Dierberger), Popel — certainly point to a more close (i. e. more »front») pronunciation of me u (note that Byron has no

rimes $\mu\epsilon \delta$: $\mu\epsilon \epsilon$). It is possible that the rimes are meant to be based upon the St. E. pronunciation of $\mu\epsilon$ \bar{u} , the close quality of which Byron would be apt to overrate, if he himself used the open Sc. E. pronunciation.

343 SWINBURNE. Rimes. [For hover: με Q. cf. § 155.]

με $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: \mathbf{u} ε $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$ (bows : does : calamus PB I:260; doth : $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$, 7 cases). $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$, ew (blood; love, above, dove : $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$; numerous); + : good etc. (4 cases); $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$: $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (blood : God : rod : food SN 62; love, above, dove, enough : $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$: of, 7 cases); -beloved : moved etc. : roved etc. SS 16; love etc. : strove pret. : move : of SBS 26. $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$, ou (especially love etc. : $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$; numerous); + : $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (4 cases). blood, flood : good etc. (numerous). $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{u}}$: $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$ (blood(y): $\mathbf{\mu}$ ε $\ddot{\mathbf{o}}$, 4 cases; other cases numerous, especially love etc. : of). hungry: angry AC 93.

Excepting the rimes blood: good etc., food etc. (& -ude, -ued), love etc.: με ϙ and: με ϙ, and many of the rimes με ŭ: με δ, which are typically traditional, Swinburne's rimes seem to be mainly individual licenses. Some of these seem to be due to the wish to improve upon traditional usage in the case of words to which correct rime-words are scarce, but which, on account of their meaning, must be very often used as rimes. This is probably the cause of the rimes does, doth: με ū (Byron has none); and certainly of the increase of rimes love etc.: of (Byron has only of: move: love 238 a), especially as με δ and με ŭ are rather similar in quality in the present standard (Southern) E. pronunciation. — Note that the proportion between the rimes love etc.: με ϙ and the rimes love etc.: με ϙ steadily decreases from Spenser to Swinburne; which is certainly to some extent illustrative of the change in the pronunciation of με ŭ.

Present E. 99.

a. ME er(c) of Rom. origin.

344

In words of Teut. origin, ME ĕr(c) regularly > late ME & early Mod. E. [ăr] > present E. aa; while ME ॡrc (incl. ME ॡ < ॡ | rc, Grundr. § 97) > early Mod. E. [ĕr] > present E. əə. — Of the words with ME er(c) of Rom. origin (Grundr. §§ 30, c; 53) some follow the regular development > [ăr] > present E. aa, while others have present E. əə. Kluge-Lutz regard this present E. əə as regularly developed out of ME ērc; Koeppel p. 36 ff. accepts only the present E. aa-forms as regular (< ME ĕr(c))

and considers present E. 22 as a spelling-pronunciation; and Horn, Gr. § 32 ff., combines both theories, explaining present E. 20 in some words (e. g. herb, hearse, search, term) as due to ME erc, in others (e. g. certain, clergy, fervent, serve) as a spelling-pronunciation. — The ME and early Mod. E. spellings -ar- [cf. NED; Koeppel l. c., and references given there: further e. g. Franz § 25] and pronunciations µs ar [Bch (Ellis) still gives µs a (generally - με ĕ) in converse, deserve, discern, perverse, pervert, reserved, revert, servant, verjuice; the same vowel lengthened in learning, unlearned] often found of many of these present E. əə-words, do not confute Kluge-Lutz' theory, because these us ar-forms might be due to ME variants with ME er > early Mod. E. [ar] (cf. heard, learn, Horn Gr. § 34), kept with me ar in dialects and now and then encroaching upon the literary language (cf. Lediard's us ă in earn, earnest, earth, learn, »etc. », Ellis p. 1044; Bailey's µs ă in earl, learn, pearl, search, Ellis p. 1049). — But on the other hand, there are only a few words of which ME ē-forms are really ascertained. Such are perch, pearl, which have με E-variants in early Mod. E. (Horn Gr. § 83,2), cf. further Gill's με ç in perch; besides herb, hern (= heron), hearse, pert (of all these last there are ME-ee-spellings, cf. NED), term (Wyclif -ee-, cf. Stratmann-Bradley; Gill gives με ē ~ με ĕ); verse (»Veerce, verse, Versus», Prompt. Parv. 1440; cf. Skeat Et. D.). ME ē-forms are further probable of scarce v. (< OF seas, saas, etc. = F. sas), given by Bll with us e, Hauck p. 44, by Cooper with the vowel in bear, cf. § 231; and of search, on account of the spelling -ea-, used in search from the 16th c. (e. g. Tyndale, Sopp p. 15; Stanyhurst 1582, Bernigau p. 14). Late -ea-forms (17th c. etc.) of such words as concern, clergy, merle (NED) do not prove much, because at that time the -ea-words with earlier us e had very often had their vowel shortened to us e, so that -ea- very often stood for με ĕ as well as for με ē (cf. Gill's με ē - με ĕ in earl, earth, learn, fern).

Of the present E. dialects, some are useless for the pro-345 blem in question, because in them words like earn, earnest, earth (present St. E. forms < ME ē) as well as words with ME ĕ|r(e) have vowels presupposing ME ĕ > ă-forms. Such a dialect is that of Kendal, Westmoreland (Hirst § 60, Note; § 68), where the common vowel is = present E. aa or the short of that vowel. The cases in point are as follows: certain, perilous, serve (short vowel); heard, learn (long vowel, = in farther, tar, hearth) [earth makes an exception; it is transcribed by Hirst with \Rightarrow (= *mid)

mixed wide»), which regularly represents ME I/r (e. g. bird, birth, first, Hirst §§ 54, 55). Late loan from the literary language?]. — But in the Southern Scotch dialects (Murray p. 144 ff.) earl, earth, learn have via » (= »High Front Wide with Voice Glide») this diphthong regularly goes back upon ME ā, yet in these and different other words upon ME &; cf. Murray p. 105, Luick U. § 205 - and the same vowel is given in search, pearl, hearse, herb, perch, term, pert, verse; assert, exert, insert, insertion, disconcert; terse; desert, sergeant. On the other hand, concern, prefer, err, deter, certain, serpent, serve, divert, merle, mercy, nerve (yerk, Merlin, stern) have Ⱦ» (= »Low Front Wide»; regulary corresponding to ME ĕ in closed syllables, incl. ME $\breve{e} < OE eo|r(c)$, e. g. in far, dark, etc.); and clerk, merchant, alert (and fern) have Sc. »ai» (= »Mid Front»; regularly corresponding e.g. to ME ai, and to ME ar < Rom. -ar- & OE -ear-). — Apart from this dialect, [iə]-forms [going back upon ME & Luick U. § 242; cf. [i] in earth, herb, mentioned by Cooper and Miège (Luick 1. c.), and in earn, by Lediard (Ellis p. 1044)] are recorded by EDGr. from 'different dialects (especially Yorkshire) of earnest, earth, heard, learn (of the words earn, tern, = OE ea, only in the South and, of tern, on the Isle of Man; cf. [ia] in cart we. Hrf w; arm »Dor. »), and of the Rom. words herb, pert. The other Rom. words recorded by EDGr. (certain, clergy, concern, serpent, servant, service, serve, vermin) have no such [ia]-forms, and are on the whole treated in the dialects as equivalent to words with ME ă'r(c).

Thus, many dialects (incl. Murray's) corroborate earlier evi-346 dence of ME e-forms of perch, pearl, herb, hearse, pert, term, verse, search. Of Murray's other wie words, assert, exert, insert, insertion, disconcert do not prove a ME ē, because they are late loanwords in English (NED 16th & 17th c.); this seems to be the case also with terse (CD and Skeat Et. D. have no quotations earlier than 16th & 17th c.). Very probably these words have come into Murray's dialect as book-words and been perhaps quite casually drawn into the »i>»-group. — desért and sergeant are more difficult to judge of; but as long as there is nothing else to indicate a ME ē in these words, their pronunciation in Murray's dialects is certainly best explained as due to some analogical influence. - On the other hand, the dialect forms recorded by EDGr. unanimously point to [ĕ] as the only ME basis of certain, clergy, concern, serpent, servant, service, serve; and the same ME basis is to be supposed for the present E. əə-forms of all Murray's words with Ⱦ» and »ai» (see § 345) and also of equivalent words

like fervent, ermine, etc. — as long as there is no evidence of ME ēforms.

The present E. 22 in all these ME (and early Mod. E.) [e]-347 words is satisfactorily explained as due to classical influence;1 an influence whose power is clearly manifested by pronunciations such as us e in merchandise (Bll), perfect (Bll, Gill), us Y in virtue (Gill); and by spellings such as firm (16th c.: NED; cf. the pronunciations with ue I in confirm Bll, and affirm Gill). — In the English pronunciation of Latin in the 16th c. -er- was = $\mu \epsilon$ er — cf. Bll's Gilbertus, acervum, gerunt, Hauck p. 49 - and it is clear that this should be the pronunciation of the Latin words (assert, exert, converge, etc.) which entered the English language about that time. As to the words which are found already in ME, the restrictive influence of Latin pronunciation (and spelling) may have been at work from the beginning of the change [et] > [ar] (i. e. from the middle of the 14th c., cf. Dib. § 73). Yet, a Latin influence of any considerable importance is probable only from the 16th c., when the Renaissance began to be felt in England. — In the first half of the 16th c. the change [ĕr] > [ĕr] was probably not yet completed even in Teut. words, inasmuch as old [ĕ]-forms still existed beside the new [ă]-forms — Cheke still gives the phonetic (?) spellings »derk», »ferving» (= farthing), »sterr» (= star) (Ellis); while, on the other hand, Bll has only us a < Teut. ME er, as also Gill, except for $\mu \in \tilde{e}$ in yard and $\mu \in \tilde{a} - \mu \in \tilde{e}$ in swerve — and no doubt there was at that time a corresponding duality of pronunciation also in words with ME er(c) of Rom. origin. If this was so, the establishment of the Latin [e]-pronunciation in such words at that time would imply, not the substitution of one pronunciation for another, but only the selection of the one of two current pronunciations which agreed with the pronunciation (and spelling) of corresponding Latin forms. - Now it appears from the statements of Bll and Gill that in their pronunciation — as probably in that of learned people in general at their time — this (Latin) [ĕ]-pronunciation (leading to present E. əə) was established to about the same extent as is the case in present E. The pronunciations of words with ME e1(c) of Rom. origin recorded by these two orthoepists are as follows:

¹ This influence will also, of course, have been active in the case of ME ĕ-forms of words as *herb*, *term*, *verse*, which differed little from the corresponding Lat. words. In such cases, consequently, both ME ĕ and ME ē would give present E. 22.

Bullokar (according to Hauck). Le &: uncertain, serving, person, conferring, discern, universal, superfluons; virtue; herb, term; merchandise, perfect [Gilbertus, acervum, gerunt]; besides in verlatz (= varlets); Le &: hern (= heron); searce v. (= F. sasser) [Le I: confirm]; Le ă: no cases. — Gill (according to Jiriczek). Le &: aver (written »avér»), certain, concern, defer (written »defér»), desért (~Le ă), deserve, determined, err, expert, interpret (-er- stressed?), mercy, merciful, Mercury, personal, perversely, preserve, Proserpina, sergeant, servant, serve, service; search, verse, term (~Le &); perfect; besides in varnish; Le &: perch; term (~Le &); Le ă desért (2 times, in rimes: Le ăr; generally Le &), garland, margent (= margin, cf. NED), marvel; merchantable, merchants; Le I: [affirm,] virtue.

Thus, the pronunciation of aver, concern, etc., etc., is not exactly — at least not originally — a spelling-pronunciation, but rather a learned pronunciation. It has probably been the ruling pronunciation among cultivated people ever since the end of the 16th c.; and the occasional µe ăr-forms given by 17th and 18th c. orthoepists (cf. for the 17th c., Ellis p. 1001 ff.; Bch's pronunciation see above § 344; for foreign grammarians, cf. I.öwisch p. 76 f.) are not likely to have been fully accepted in the (standard) literary language of that time.

In the words with present E. aa < ME ei(e) of Rom. origin, the absence of the Latin influence is generally easily accounted for. garland, harbinger, tarnish, varnish have no parallels in Latin; marvel, parsley, partridge differ too much from the corresponding Latin words; garner has -a- in Latin (granarium); farm, parson are doublets with specialized significations.

SPENSER's rimes $-e(a)r^{(c)}$: $\mu \epsilon \, \text{ar}^{(c)}$ (= present E. $\ni \theta$: aa) present no difficulties (cf. § 169, 213, 214).

Pope's rimes present E. \ni : aa are limited to preferred (1 case), desert, pert (6 cases), ob-, reserve (3 cases): μ s $\check{a}r^{(c)}$, which may all be purely traditional and do not prove that Pope had in view the pronunciation μ s $\check{a}r^{(c)}$ in preferred etc. (note besides that 6 out of the 10 cases are rimes -ert: heart, where the resemblance in orthography may also have been of influence). — As Pope does not seem to have known — or used in his pronunciation — the sobscurations of the vowel in μ s $\check{e}r^{(c)}$ leading to present E. \ni (cf. § 356), these rimes would be = μ s \check{e} : μ s \check{a} in his pronunciation (μ s \check{a} r = $[\check{e}r]$, cf. § 283), i. e. equivalent to rimes present E. e: \check{e} . Now there are hardly any cases of this latter kind in Pope (cf. §

114); but this fact may very well be due exclusively to the all but total absence of such rimes in older poets (while rimes present E. $99 < \text{ME er}^{(c)}$ & ere: μ s ăr(c) are very numerous in the 16th & 17th centuries).

Byron also makes a remarkably moderate use of rimes present E. 22 < ME ĕr(c) & ērc: present E. aa; which tallies with the fact that from the end of the 18th c. the pronunciation με ar in words like servant, service, etc. has been »looked upon as a mark of the lowest vulgarity» (W., Principles 100). -- With only 6 exceptions (cf. §§ 169, 213), which are, no doubt, purely traditional rimes $\mu \in e^c : \mu \in (w) \breve{a} r^c$, Byron rimes present E. $\ni \ni$ (in the rimes ME er(c) us (w) ar(c), only with hearth, which rimes may be, partly at least, explained by resemblance in orthography and lack of correct rime-words (: Walker, Rhyming Dict. has only the unusual garth s. = dam, garden). A pronunciation of hearth with us er in the standard language af Byron's time is not probable - I have found no evidence of this pronunciation later than Jones (Ekw. § 171) and Ludwig 1705 (Löwisch p. 76) — but Byron probably knew a similar pronunciation from his native dialect (EDGr.: [ĕ] in »ne. Sc. »), which may have influenced his rimes.

b. με ĭr, με ĕr, με ŭr.

I. Lengthening influence of r(c).

There seems to be no sign in the early Mod. E. orthoepists of a lengthening | 1(°) in the case of $\mu\epsilon$ ĭr(°) $\mu\epsilon$ er(°), $\mu\epsilon$ ŭr(°) (cf. $\mu\epsilon$ ăr(°) and $\mu\epsilon$ ŏr(°) §§ 282 ff., 287, 296). The first to expressly recognize this lengthening (as well as the non-articulation of r in words as farther, burn, etc., which is mentioned, as a London peculiarity, by Walker, Princ. 419, though only in the case of $\mu\epsilon$ ăr°) seems to have been Guest, in his »English Rhythms» 1838 (cf. Storm p. 373).¹ Yet such a lengthening may of course have existed long before Guest's time, though it may not have been marked enough to be considered by the orthoepists. — The rimes of Spenser etc. afford

349

¹ A lengthening may be implied by the transcription »õhr» in earth, heard, search (χ »õrr» in earl, early, dirt, girl, etc.) in Arnold's Vocabulary 1757 (Löwisch p. 77). But as Arnold's book is only a compilation from other works (the author had not been in England himself, cf. Löwisch p. 20 & note), it seems possible that his »õhr» is only a compromise between an r- modified short vowel (»õ») and the [ē] used at that time as an alternative pronunciation of earn and »many others», cf. § 231.

350

no certain proofs of a lengthening of this kind; cf. however for Spenser § 355.

II. »Obscuring» influence of r(c).

A. usīr.

ME ir. as evidenced by the usual spelling -ir- in Mod. E. [ME ir occurs also in her, cf. Sweet HES § 653] appears in different phonetic forms in early Mod. E. 1) 16th c. orthoepists, and also Gill and Butler, give $\mu\epsilon i (+r)$ as the general sound. But Bll has use in whirl; Gill has use (- us I) in mirth, us u in dirt and (~ us i) in bird; Coote 1596 mentions, as vulgar, the forms »durt», »gurt», [»hur»,] »sur» = dirt, girth, [her,] sir respectively (Horn, Anglia 28, 482); and Butler mentions, as occasional pronunciations, ue ur in bird, first, third (Horn Gr. § 27). 2) Mason transcribes »guerdel», »ferst», »Ser» (besides »thursti», »cheurt» (= shirt) which Brotanek p. XXVI considers to stand for \ddot{u} \langle ME v); Alphabet Anglois 1625 expressly states that $i \mid r^{(c)}$ is pronounced = e (»comme bird berd: third therd: first ferst», Anglia 28, 483); Miège describes the i in ir »à peu prês comme un e ouvert», except in sirrah, shirt, bird, in which it has the sound of με ŏ (Bohnhardt, Phon. Stud. II p. 76). 3) Hodges 1644 gives ir = ur (according to Horn, Gr. l. c; yet 1643 he gives fir, fur as only alike in sound, Ellis p. 1022); and the statements of Price and Cooper are to about the same effect (Ekw. § 243 ff.). 4) Jones gives two pronunciations: us e (or a similar vowel) and us u (besides the old µe I, see Ekw. l. c.). At least in some cases Jones gives both pronunciations in the same words; while many 18th c. orthoepists (incl. Walker) divide the ir-words between the two pronunciations, though they do not agree in their divisions (cf. Ekw. l. c.).1

The statements quoted from Alphabet Anglois and from Hodges, and further the fact that from the middle of the 17th c.

¹ It may be mentioned in passing that Walker, in the transcriptions given in his Dictionary, does not strictly follow his own generel rules Princ. 108 (concerning the letter i): "When this letter is succeeded by r, and another consonant not in a final syllable, it has exactly the sound of e in vermin, vernal, etc.... but when it comes before r, followed by another consonant in a final syllable, it acquires the sound of u exactly.... Mirth, birth, and firm, are the only exceptions to this rule; where i is pronunced like e, and as if the words were written, merth, berth, and ferm." In later editions, e. g. W. 1872, the list of "exceptions" is enlarged by the addition of the words gird, girt, skirt, girl, whirl (which are transcribed with e² in W. 1791 as well as in W. 1872); but this new list is evidently not complete either, as e. g. chirp, extirp, stirp have e² in W. 1791 (& 1872).

the pronunciation [ĭr] of µɛ ĭr is only rarely mentioned by the orthoepists, certainly imply an »obscuring» r-modification of the vowel in µɛ ĭr. But it seems impossible to decide how far the different pronunciations of µɛ ĭr given by different authorities represent different results (in different dialects?) of this r-modification, and how far they are due to earlier [ĕr]- and [ŭr]-forms, cf. below.

As to the 16th and early 17th c. [e]- and [u]-transcriptions of 351 special words (incl. Mason's transcriptions, cf. below), it seems very probable that they not do represent us ir, which is regularly given as [ĭr] at that time, but are based on ME [er]- and [ur]-variants of the words in question. This is no doubt the case with Gill's »merth», Butler's »furst», and probably with Mason's »thursti», *cheurt* (= Brotanek), *guerdel*, *ferst*, which correspond to ME dialect variants [ti], [t] < OE y. This ME variation has not been so quickly levelled in early Mod. E. as might be concluded from the statements of the 16th c. orthoepists. Conclusive in this respect are e. g. Heywood's furst, stur (rimed: µs ŭ) and even stoorth (= stirs, rimed: foorth, woorth) in »The Spider and the Flie» (printed 1556; Unna p. 18), Spenser's spellings -e-, -u- (and rimes: µs ĕr, µs ŭr) cf. § 82, Shakespeare's spelling stur(re) (Vietor Sh. § 17), Stanyhurst's phonetic (?) spelling stur (Bernigau p. 22), Levins' unsterred »immotus», cherne »fidelia», Weisker § 29. — But ME variants are probably also at the bottom of Bll's wherl, of Mason's »Ser», and of the early -u-forms durt, gurt, burd, thurd, sur, [hur] given by Coote, Gill, and Butler. whirl is found with -e- in ME in wherlbone (Stratmann-Bradley); cf. Levins' wherle »spondilus», Weisker l. c., and the form whurld, quoted in CD from Sylvester, 1563-1618. dirt: NED 5-7 -u-. bird: spelt-u-, and rimed: με ŭ, in Heywood, Unna l. c.. third: Heywood, Unna l. c., has the rimes thurd: sturd: wurd (I case), thoord: swoord: boord (I case); phonetic(?) spellings -i-, -e-, -u-, by Stanyhurst, Bernigau 1. c.. sir: spelt sur already in Ancren Riwle, Behrens p. 102; sur, ser(e), in Paston Letters, Neumann §§ 80, 250; spelt -u-, and rimed : us ŭ. in Heywood, Unna l. c.. [her: ME i, y, e; hure in Paston Letters, Neumann § 250.] girth (NED 4-5 e, 5-6 y, 6 u, 4- i; Levins gerth »cingulum», Weisker l. c.) may have its -i-. -u- variants from ME gird (girden, girdel), cf. Björkman p. 152.

Neumann § 266, and Horn, Anglia 28, 483, suggest a late ME r-352 modification of [I]|r > [\bar{u} r]. Such a change would account for the 15th & 16th c. -u-forms; but there are -e-forms as well, cf. above;

besides e. g. in bird (NED: 14th c.), dirge (NED: 14th & 15th c.), etc. The variation -i--e in this group of words might be considered on a level with the general relations between [I] and [E] in certain positions (Morsbach §§ 109, 114) or due to a ME change [I] > [E] | r, actually supposed by Morsbach (§ 129, Anm. I) to be the cause of Northern and Midland forms like ferst, merke (= OE y). Both these ME changes, [Ir] > [Ur] and [Ir] > [Er], could however only be dialectal (and are in fact considered such by Horn and Morsbach); because the usual Mod. E. -ir-spelling decidedly points to an unmodified vowel (cf. the spelling -ar(-) in far, star, dark, etc.).

It may be questioned whether it would not be more plausible to ascribe this late ME and 16th c. variation to analogical influence. The originally locally divided forms, -i, -e, -u- (= $[\ddot{u}]$), of OE y had in late ME to a great extent been mixed together. so that different forms of the same words existed in one and the same dialect. This mixing clearly appears in late ME texts; in Chaucer for instance, words with OE y are found with -i-, or -e-, or -u-, some words even appear in his rimes with more than one pronunciation (cf. ten Br. § 48; Morsb. § 131 & Anm. 1). Now it seems quite possible that this legitimate variation in the numerous words with OE y|r might cause a corresponding variation in many words with OE i, OE e, and ME ii (< F.), in the same position, and, exceptionally, after the change of ME \ddot{u} (< F.) > $[\ddot{u}]$, also in words with OE ŭ. Such an analogical influence would explain, besides the numerous -er- and -ur-forms of (standard) literary language -ir-words such as those given above, also forms like dirk, idurked (OE deorc), kirve (= carve) etc. (Morsb. § 109), chirk (OE ea; NED) etc., sirples (= surplus; Paston Letters, Neumann § 141); and exceptional forms like Thirsday (= Thursday; cf. Horn, Anglia 28, 483). This might possibly also be the explanation of churl (OE eo; NED: 2-5 e; 5 ee, i; 3-7 o; 4-u; etc.); Horn's theory (Gr. § 33) that the (standard) literary language -u-form is due to »Zusammenfall von er, ir, ur unter ər, on account of anr-influence, does not seem probable, as (-o- &) -u-forms are found very early, and the pronunciation us ur 353 already in Bll.

Β. με ĕr.

In μ s er, an r-modification towards present E. \ni is evidenced by Wallis (Sweet HES § 793) who gives a vowel little differing from »Gallorum e feminino» as an alternative pronunciation of short $e \mid r$, »ut vertue virtus, liberty libertas, etc.» (yet distinguished from »u obscurum» in but, curst, etc.); by Cooper (Sweet

HES § 901) who states that er is pronounced = ur, but adder coluber, prefer præfero, slender tenuis» (the same vowel is given in err, Ellis), = ir in bird etc. (Sweet HES § 904); later e. g. by Lediard, who prescribes (Ellis p. 1042) »an obscure sound almost like German o (ce) or a very short obscure e as in her, vertue » (also by Lediard distinguished from $\mu \epsilon \, \check{u}(r)$); and by Kenrick, cf. below. The »unmodified» pronunciation of us er was however kept as a variant still in the 18th c.; cf. Jones, Ekw. § 173 f., and some foreign 18th c. grammarians, Löwisch p. 76 f. - The above refers only to words with the spelling -e-; of many of these words early Mod. E. [e]-forms did not exist (this was the case of most Rom. words, cf. § 344), and, where they did exist (in Teut. words and some Rom. words, cf. § 344), they were, as a rule, comparatively early supplanted by [e]-forms. -- In the case of the words spelt -ea- - in such words the [e]-variants survived much longer, cf. § 231 — an r- modification of [e]|r towards present E. əə appears far later. Of Ellis' authorities, Kenrick seems to be the first to record it; cf. his remarks to earn: »This word like many others beginning with ea is pronounced both short» — i. e. with us er, which was identified by Kenrick with με ĭr, με ŭr, and with με ŭ(+r) - and long (i. e. with με ār). But it appears in the transcriptions »örr», »öhr», used in Arnolds' Vocabulary 1757; and as Arnold was only a compiler (cf. § 249, foot-note), it had probably been mentioned in some earlier work than his.

C. µs ŭr.

355

There are no direct statements in the early Mod. E. orthoepists of an r-modification in $\mu\epsilon$ ŭr; the vowel in burst, curse, etc. has been given as equivalent to $\mu\epsilon$ ŭ close upon the present E. time. So it seems rather improbable that 15th & 16th c. spellings as reterned, herte (= hurt) (Dib. § 118) and the common form werd (Dib. § 112) should imply an obscuring by r of ME ŭ (or Me ŏ). In fact, there is no necessity for considering these -e-forms to represent $\mu\epsilon$ ŭr. For word cf. § 82; hurt has a ME variant with -i- (NED); and -terne may be due to influence of ME terne, turne < OE trynan cf. Morsb. § 129, Anm. 2 (p. 167).

III. Rimes of interest (for the rimes to μs (w) $\tilde{a}r^{(c)}$, see §§ 354 169, 213, 214, 348).

Spenser. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 29, 49, 82, 161, 162, 163, 164, 169, 170, 172, 215.

με ĭr : με ĕr : με ŭr. No certain cases (cf. § 82). — learn:

A. Gabridson.

turn, mourn 409 a; earth: worth, forth 511 b. — burds (= birds): words: Lords 239 b; support: durt (= dirt): hurt 398 a. — heard pret.: afeard: inferd: appeard 399 b.

Spenser's rimes do not show an r-modification (towards present E. 22) of us ir, us er, us ur. — The rime 300 b is no doubt equivalent to the rimes $\mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon} : \mu \epsilon \, \bar{\epsilon}$ (as a general shortening of the vowel in inflected forms, before two consonants, is not probable, cf. § 282). The rest are probably rimes us ur (cf. for forth, mourn, § 164; for bird, dirt, §§ 82, 350 ff.) : με ĕr (cf. e. g. Gill's με ĕ - με ē in earth, learn), and : us o.c (support can have us o, cf. Gill's us o in reported); which may be considered on a level with the rimes us u: με ĕ and : με ŏ (cf. § 330). As there is only one rime με ŭ : με ŏ (§ 154), the rimes 239 b, 398 a would, perhaps, seem better explained as $\mu \in \bar{o}_i^c$: $\mu \in \bar{u}_i^c$ with lengthened u-vowel |r(c)| (i. e. in some degree equivalent to the numerous rimes $\mu \in \bar{Q}$: $\mu \in \bar{Q}$, cf. §§ 304, 320); yet there is no authority for an early lengthening of the vowel in ue ur (cf. § 340). — It may be mentioned for comparison that Shakespeare's rimes me ir : me er : me ur are similar to Spenser's (cf. Viëtor Sh. §§ 16, 17); except for the rime birds: herds VA 455. As there are, however, in Shakespeare at least two certain rimes me I: me e (imprinted: contented VA 511, commission: impression VA 568: Viëtor l. c.), birds: herds may be taken as another rime of the same kind, and does not prove an r-modification in us ir.

356 Pope. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 83, 167, 173.

birth (numerous), mirth (I case): earth (§ 83); bird, third, whirl, first, thirst, dirt, birth: \mu \tilde{u}r (numerous); learn: turn 52; earth: worth 30I. — earth: birth: forth 18I; \mu \tilde{u}r \tilde{u}r: \mu \tilde{o}r^c (= present E. 33) (numerous). — aver, refer: hear, here 193, 479 (cf. weak-stressed-er: \mu \tilde{e}r. 4 cases, § 173).

The rimes do not prove an r-modification in $\mu\epsilon$ ŭr. The rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ŭr: $\mu\epsilon$ ŏre should be looked upon as equivalent to the rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ǔ: $\mu\epsilon$ ŏ (cf. § 341); possibly with the difference of a lengthening of the short vowels before re, cf. §§ 296, 349. — The rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ĭr: $\mu\epsilon$ ŭr may be considered to show a change of $\mu\epsilon$ ĭr towards present E. $\ni\ni$; probably also the rime earth: birth: forth 181, and the rimes birth, mirth: earth. But these last rimes do not allow the conclusion that Pope knew an (alternative) pronunciation with $[\epsilon]$ (or a similar vowel) in these words before others (cf. Gill's »merth» and Spenser's spellings »berth», merth», which probably represent an $[\epsilon]$ -pronunciation in these special words, see §§ 82, 350 ff.). The

occurrence of just these words in the type of rimes in question is fully explained by these words being very frequently used in poetry, and by the scarcity of rime-words with \$\mu\sets\textr{Trth}\$ (&\mu\sets\sets\textructerrightarrow\textr

BYRON. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 84, 170, 174.

µs ĭr: µs ĕr: µs ŭr (numerous, § 84). — µs ĭr, µs ĕr (: µs ŭr):

present E. DO (only W. O¹) (birth, mirth; berth, dearth, earth; worth:

forth, fourth (15 cases); besides 8 cases (§ 168); and before all:

moral: her all 631 a). — µs ŭr: present E. DO (W. O¹ & O³) (numerous).

form: worm: conform: warm 213 b. forms: worms: charms

719 a. — her, err, heard, early: present E. ed (9 cases). — her, err,

(heard,) early; word, curly: present E. id (4 cases). hurry: furry:

flurry 767 a.

The numerous rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ir: $\mu\epsilon$ er: $\mu\epsilon$ ir point to a complete identification of $\mu\epsilon$ ir, $\mu\epsilon$ er, $\mu\epsilon$ in Byron's pronunciation (= Kenrick; but χ Walker, cf. § 350, foot-note; and χ Sc. E., cf. § 27); nor can any difference between these vowels be inferred from their rimes to other vowels. The fact that only $\mu\epsilon$ er is rimed with present E. eə may be due to the spelling or else to mere chance; at any rate it is outweighed by the occurrence of two rimes $\mu\epsilon$ ir: present E. iə. — That $\mu\epsilon$ ir, $\mu\epsilon$ er are rimed: W. o¹, but not: W. o³, is certainly due to mere chance, especially as the 15 rimes to forth, fourth do not count, because there are no words with W. o³|-rth.

SWINBURNE. Irrelevant or uncertain cases, see §§ 85, 170, 174. 358 µs ĭr: µs ĕr: µs ūr (numerous; cf. § 85). — -ern, -earn: (-urn:) mourn, bourne (2 cases); words: present E. >>> (2 cases; yet one uncertain, cf. § 168). — there: her PB I: 289. — her, heard, earliest; word: present E. i>>> (4 cases).

These rimes show the present (Southern) E. complete identification of $\mu\epsilon$ ĭr, $\mu\epsilon$ ĕr, $\mu\epsilon$ ŭr. The rimes to present E. 22, eə, iə are traditional.

-)@(-

-

Addenda et Corrigenda.

[Insignificant misprints are not included.]

- p. II, l. 5 from below, for [59], read: [509].
- » 16, l. 17 ff. from above. The parenthesis should read: (as to the Sc. E. »back» pronunciation.... and με ă. cf. § 25).
- » 20, l. 16 from below, for με e, read: με ĕ.
- » 21, l. 8 » », for wan pt., read: wan pret.
- » 22, l. 19 from above, for eode, read: ēode.
- 22, l. 19 » » . Before (y)wroken, insert: stroke pret.:
 με ǫ 123 a, 202 b; cf. B. § 100.
- 22, l. 9 f. from below, for behott pret., read: behott pp.
- » 22, l. 8 » », for 72 b, read: 72 a.
- » 22, foot-note 1), for are based, read: are chiefly based.
- » 31, l. 10 from below. After 534 a, insert: —; bayt v.: awayt: strayt: bayt (= abate) 148 a.
- » 52, l. 7 from below, for 348 a, read: 384 a.
- » 54, l. 4 from above. The A. at the beginning of the line should be removed to l. 2, after the word Spenser.
- » 56, l. 12 from below, for yet; µs ĭ, read: yet: µs ĭ.
- » 62, l. 13 » » , for (cf. § 99), read: (cf. §§ 97, 99).
- » 65, l. 8 from above. After said, insert: --, saith.
- » 79, l. 18 » » , for Kennick, read: Kenrick.
- » 91, l. 7 from below, for µs ūf, read: µs ŭf.
- » 102, l. 4 » » . After desert s., a comma should appear instead of a colon.
- » 125, l. 13 from below, for $[\tilde{e}^c]$, read: $[\tilde{e}^{rc}]$.
- » 126, l. 4 » » . The after peer refers to the footnote on p. 127.
- » 135, l. 6 ff. from above. The passage: »in the first place because...., and further» should not appear.

- p. 142, l. 3 from above. After heard, insert a colon.
- » 144, l. 2 from below, for με ă(c), read: με ăs(c).
- » 145, l. 11 from above, for με ă(c), read: με ăs(c).
- » 154, l. 11 » » , for $\mu\epsilon\,\bar\varrho$, read: $\mu\epsilon\,\bar\varrho(r)$.
- » 155, l. 6 from below. A colon should appear before µs ŏ.
- » 158, bottom line, for ME ā, read: ME ă.
- » 163, l. 13 f. from below, for »ne. Sc.», read »sn. Sc.».
- » 167, l. 19 f. from above, for the passage: but they are probably intended to be = [ū]: [ū], read: but they probably contain an [ū]-form of floor.
- » 167, l. 2 f. from below, for (§ 150; 12 cases), to which the rimes etc., read: (§ 150; 12 cases). The rimes etc.
- » 168, ll. I & 2 from above, for the passage: are probably equivalent (cf. § 292), read: (cf. § 292), probably also contain this με ū-form of pour.
- » 169, bottom line, for $\mu \epsilon$ of(c), read: $\mu \epsilon$ ŏf(c).
- » 175, l. 9 from below. The parenthesis: »(and: με ã)» should not appear.
- » 180, l. 8 from below. After 617 b, insert: 820 a.
- » 180, l. 2 » » . After 164 b, insert: 732 a.
- » 185, l. 13 » » , for \S 24, read: $\S\S$ 24, 326.
- » 194, l. 3 from above. The brackets enclosing dove should not appear.
- » 198, l. 6 from above. After could, insert: food, embru'd.
- 202, l. 17 from below, for Thus, many dialects (incl. Murray's), read: Thus, the present dialect forms recorded by EDGr. and by Murray.
- » 205, l. 9 from below, for $\mu \epsilon$ er (, read: $\mu \epsilon \, \breve{e}_{1}(c)$.
- » 207, l. 7 » » , for ME i, y, e, read: ME -i-, -e-, -y-, -u-.
- » 207, l. 7 from below. After hure, insert: e. g.
- » 211, l. 5 from above. Add: These considerations apply also to the rimes spirit: merit, which were probably as also the rime mirrors: terrors 478 = με ĭr: με ĕ(r) in Pope's pronunciation (cf. § 97).
- » 2II, l. 12 ff. from below, for the passage: That με ĭr, με ĕr with W. o³ | rth, read: The fact that με ĭr, με ĕr are rimed: W. o¹, but not: W. o³, proves nothing, especially as Byron may have identified W. o¹ & o³ | r(c) (cf. § 296).

